

Supplementary Advice on Conservation Objectives for Anton Dohrn Seamount Special Area of Conservation

March 2018



Contents

Introduction	2
Table 1: Supplementary advice on the conservation objectives for Annex I Reefs-stony and bedrock reef in Anton Dohrn Seamount SAC	4
Attribute: Extent and distribution	4
Extent and distribution of the Annex I Reefs-stony and bedrock reef within the site	6
Attribute: Structure and function	6
Structure	6
Physical structure: finer scale topography	6
Biological structure: key and influential species	7
Biological structure: characteristic communities	8
Function	9
Attribute: Supporting processes	10
Hydrodynamic regime	10
Water quality	10
Water quality	11
Table 2: Supplementary advice on the conservation objectives for Annex I Reefs-Biogenic (cold-water coral reefs) in Anton Dohrn Seamount SAC	13
Attribute: Extent and distribution	13
Extent and distribution of the Annex I Reefs- Biogenic (cold-water coral reefs) within the site	14
Attribute: Structure and function	14
Coral composition	15
Physical structure of the reef	15
Key and influential species	16
Characteristic communities	17
Function	18
Structure and function of the feature within the site	18
Attribute: Supporting processes	19
Hydrodynamic regime	19
Physical topography	20
Supporting habitat	20
Water and sediment quality	21
Environmental Quality Standard (EQS)	21
Water quality	22
Sediment quality	23
References	24

Introduction

What the conservation advice package includes

The information provided in this document sets out JNCC's supplementary advice on the conservation objectives set for this site. This forms part of JNCC's formal conservation advice package for the site and must be read in conjunction with all parts of the package as listed below:

- [Background Document](#) explaining where to find the advice package, JNCC's role in the provision of conservation advice, how the advice has been prepared, when to refer to it and how to apply it;
- [Conservation Objectives](#) setting out the broad ecological aims for the site;
- [Statements](#) on:
 - the site's qualifying feature's condition;
 - conservation benefits that the site can provide; and
 - conservation measures needed to support achievement of the conservation objectives set for the site.
- Supplementary Advice on Conservation Objectives (SACO) providing more detailed and site-specific information on the conservation objectives (this document); and
- [Advice on Operations](#) providing information on those human activities that, if taking place within or near the site, can impact it and present a risk to the achievement of the conservation objectives.

The most up-to-date conservation advice for this site can be downloaded from the conservation advice tab in the [Site Information Centre](#) (SIC) on JNCC's website.

The advice presented here describes the ecological characteristics or 'attributes' of the site's qualifying feature: Annex I Reefs specified in the site's conservation objectives. These attributes include extent and distribution, structure and function and supporting processes.

Figure 1 below illustrates the concept of how a feature's attributes are interlinked: with impacts on one potentially having knock-on effects on another e.g. the impairment of any of the supporting processes on which a feature relies can result in changes to its extent and distribution and structure and function.

Collectively, the attributes set out in the following tables describe the desired ecological condition (favourable) for the site's feature. The condition of the feature contributes to its

favourable conservation status more widely, as well as the site's integrity. All attributes listed in Tables 1 and 2 must be taken into consideration when assessing impacts from an activity.




Figure 1. Conceptual diagram showing how feature attributes are interlinked, describe favourable condition and contribute to site integrity and wider favourable conservation status.

The attributes description for Annex I Reefs are provided in the explanatory notes of Table 1 (Stony and Bedrock reef) and Table 2 (Biogenic (cold-water coral reefs)) below. The Annex I Reefs subtypes are presented in separate tables due to differences of sensitivity to various pressures / activities.

Please note our current understanding of whether the available evidence indicates that each attribute needs to be restored or maintained is not provided. Links to available evidence for the site are provided in the Tables below. If you require further site-specific information on the attributes listed for the site's feature please contact JNCC at OffshoreMPAs@jncc.gov.uk.

Table 1: Supplementary advice on the conservation objectives for Annex I Reefs- stony and bedrock reef in Anton Dohrn Seamount SAC

<p>Attribute: Extent and distribution</p>
<p>Objective: An objective has not been set for this attribute. Links to available evidence are provided below. Please contact JNCC at OffshoreMPAs@jncc.gov.uk for further site-specific information on this attribute.</p>
<p><u>Explanatory notes</u></p> <p>Extent refers to the total area in the site occupied by the qualifying feature and must include consideration of its distribution, i.e. how it is spread out within the site. A reduction in extent has the potential to alter the biological and physical functioning of habitat types (Elliott <i>et al.</i>, 1998). The distribution of a habitat influences the component communities present, and can contribute to the health and resilience of the feature (JNCC, 2004a). The extent within the site must be conserved to the full known distribution.</p> <p>Rock habitats are defined by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• composition (particle size);• energy level; and• biological assemblages - see JNCC's Marine Habitats Correlation Table for more detail about the range of biological communities (biotopes) that characterise rock habitats in the UK marine environment. <p>A significant change in either of these criteria within an MPA could indicate a change in the distribution and extent of rock habitats within a site. The extent of rock is unlikely to change over time, unless as a result of human activity, though habitat boundaries may become indistinct if rock is covered by a thin layer of sediment (JNCC, 2004a). Reduction in extent has the potential to affect the functional roles of the biological communities associated with the habitat (Elliott <i>et al.</i>, 1998; Tillin and Tyler-Walters, 2014). Maintaining or restoring extent is therefore critical to maintaining or restoring the conservation status of rock habitats. There is no recovery potential if the physical structure of the rock feature is diminished or removed.</p> <p>Hard compact substrata refers to rocks (including soft rock, e.g. chalk), boulders and cobbles. Such hard substrata that are covered by a thin and mobile veneer of sediment are classed as rock habitat if the associated biota is dependent on the hard substratum rather than the overlying</p>

sediment. A variety of subtidal topographic features are included in this habitat such as: vertical rock walls, horizontal ledges, overhangs, pinnacles, gullies, ridges, sloping or flat bed rock, broken rock and boulder and cobble fields (EC Instruction Manual, 2013).

The biological community composition found on rock habitats vary enormously and are influenced by factors such as wave action, strength of the tidal stream, water clarity, the degree of scouring/erosion, and the shape of the rock formations themselves (Sebens, 1991; Barry and Dayton, 1991).

A general description of the different types of rock habitats found in the UK offshore marine environment of relevance to this MPA designation type is provided below:

- *Bedrock reef* - occurs where the bedrock which underlies surface sediments on the seafloor arises from the surrounding seabed, creating a habitat that is colonised by many different marine animals and plants. Bedrock is consolidated rock, and can be composed of most rock types (granite, limestone, sandstone etc.).
- *Stony reef* - 10% or more of the seabed substratum should be composed of particles greater than 64 mm across, i.e. cobbles and boulders. The remaining supporting 'matrix' could be of smaller sized material. The reef may be consistent in its coverage or it may form patches with intervening areas of finer sediment. Epifaunal species dominate biological cover. Stony reef should be topographically distinct from the surrounding sea floor with a minimum area of 25 m² (this also applies to the total area of a patchy reef) (Irving, 2009). Areas of Iceberg plough-marks which meet the 'reefiness criteria' (Irving, 2009) can be considered as stony reef, accepting that whilst the cobble areas within the feature may be patchy, the entire plough-mark itself is regarded feature.

There is a strong relationship between Annex I reefs and broad-scale habitats, which is shown in [JNCC's Marine Habitats Correlation Table](#). Both Annex I bedrock and stony reef can comprise of High, Moderate and Low energy circalittoral rock. Therefore, these features have been grouped together in this supplementary advice as they exert similar characteristics and sensitivities to certain pressures. Collectively these will subsequently be referred to as 'rock' features. Annex I Reefs of biogenic origin are covered in separate Supplementary Advice on Conservation Objective (see Table 2).

Extent and distribution of the Annex I Reefs-stony and bedrock reef within the site

Anton Dohrn Seamount SAC contains a series of bedrock, stony and biogenic (cold-water coral) reefs- subtypes of Annex I Reefs. The extent and distribution of the Annex I Reefs subtypes Bedrock and stony reef within the site is shown in the [site map](#) (see Table 2 for details on Biogenic (cold-water coral reefs)). For further site-specific information please see the [Site Information Centre](#).

For information on activities capable of affecting the protected features of the site, please see the Advice on Operations workbook for the site (hyperlink is provided in the box at the top of this document).

Attribute: Structure and function**Objective:**

An objective has not been set for this attribute. Links to available evidence are provided below. Please contact JNCC at OffshoreMPAs@jncc.gov.uk for further site-specific information on this attribute.

Explanatory notes**Structure**

Structure encompasses both the physical structure of a habitat type together with the biological structure. Physical structure refers to [finer scale topography](#) such as the natural shape and surface complexity of the feature within the site. Physical structure can have a strong influence on the hydrodynamic regime at varying spatial scales in the marine environment as well as the presence and distribution of biological communities (Elliot *et al.*, 1998). This is particularly true of rock features which can be large-scale topographic features. The biological structure refers to the [key and influential species](#) and [characteristic communities](#) present. Biological communities are important in not only characterising the rock feature but supporting the health of the feature i.e. its conservation status and the provision of ecosystem services by performing functional roles.

Physical structure: finer scale topography

Rock topography can be characterised by elevation from the surrounding seabed. Sessile species such as sponges, bryozoans and algae communities can thrive in shallower sites as the physical rock habitat arising from the seafloor provides a suitable substratum for attachment. Mobile species such as crustaceans, echinoderms and fish use the complexity of the physical structure of the rock habitat for shelter and hunting. Surface complexity can be highly variable depending on factors such as rock type (i.e. hard or soft rock and the varying rugosity of substrate) and energy regime (i.e. erosion, stability of cobbles and boulders). Large immobile surfaces can develop very different communities to smaller rocks that maybe frequently overturned (i.e. during storms) (Sebens, 1991). Structural complexity can be provided by topographic

features such as pavements, overhangs, cliffs, fissures, cracks, and crevices. Both provide heterogeneity, and the complexity of habitat is known to strongly influence megafaunal diversity and community composition (Lacharité and Metaxas, 2017; Loke *et al.*, 2015; Loke and Todd, 2016), allowing for niche specialisation (Sebens, 1991). Substratum space is an essential resource for sedentary organisms, and its availability is one of the most important population controlling factors amongst sedentary organisms (Barnes and Hughes, 1982) found on rock habitats.

Biological structure: key and influential species

Key species form a part of the habitat structure or help to define a biotope. Influential species are those that have a core role in the structure and function of the habitat. For example, species that help to cycle nutrients and oxygen between seawater and the seabed supporting organisms that live within benthic and pelagic communities. Other key and influential species may include those which provide additional and elevated hard substrates for other species, known as 'secondary substratum' these may affect water flow and thus the transport of resources and propagules within the community (Sebens, 1991). Grazers, surface borers, predators or other species with a significant functional role linked to the habitat can also be influential species. Changes to the spatial distribution of communities across the feature could indicate changes to the overall feature (JNCC, 2004a). It is therefore important to conserve the key natural structural and influential species of the rock feature within the site to avoid diminishing biodiversity and ecosystem functioning within the habitat and to support its health (JNCC, 2004a; Hughes *et al.*, 2005).

The key and influential species typical of rock features will vary greatly depending on location, energy regime and depth, as well as fine-scale physical, chemical and biological processes such as competition, grazing, predation (Barry and Dayton, 1991). Rock habitats can be highly variable in terms of the communities that they support and often support a zonation of benthic species and communities. Biological cover is expected to be dominated by epifaunal species. Habitat structural composition and the local energy regime are those most likely to have the biggest influence on the expected biological structure. For example, energy levels have been found to influence the morphology and size of species such as the cup coral *Caryophyllia smithii* (Bell, 2002). Areas more sheltered from prevailing currents or wave action can support an abundance of attached bryozoans, hydroids and sea anemones.

Recovery of the communities associated with rock habitats also depends on the life history traits of the species themselves (e.g. their growth rate, longevity) and interactions with other species including predators. The scale of the disturbance and action of remaining key and influential species will also influence recovery. Furthermore, the environmental connectivity between populations or species patches, the suitability of the habitat (e.g. substrate type), depth, and water quality (Mazik *et al.*, 2015) will also influence the habitat recovery potential.

Biological structure: characteristic communities

The variety of communities present make up the habitat and reflect the habitat's overall character and conservation interest. Characteristic communities include, but are not limited to; i) representative communities, for example, those covering large areas, and ii) notable communities, for example, those that are nationally or locally rare or scarce such as those listed as OSPAR threatened or declining, or known to be particularly sensitive to anthropogenic activities.

The physical structure of substratum will influence the marine life that's likely to be present within a site. Structural and surface complexity, spaces between rocks, fissures and crevices are all examples of aspects that should be considered (Hiscock et al., 2006). The characteristic communities can be strongly influenced by the prevailing energy levels, with the strength of the tidal stream, turbidity of the waters and degree of scouring from sediments can all influence the communities present. Depending upon the energy regime present (high, moderate, low), a variety of encrusting species and those which attach to the rock can be expected, such as sponges, soft corals, crustose communities, polychaete, ascidians, hydroids and anemones. Other species present may include starfish, brittlestars, sea urchins, crabs, squat lobster, molluscs (such as Piddocks in cases of soft rock) and brachiopods.

Changes to the spatial distribution of communities across the feature could indicate changes to the overall feature (JNCC, 2004a). For example, non-native species may become invasive and displace native organisms by preying on them or out-competing them for resources such as food, space or both. In some cases, this has led to the elimination of indigenous species from certain areas (JNCC, 2004b). It is therefore important to conserve the natural spatial distribution, composition, diversity and abundance of the main characterising biological communities of the rock within the site to avoid diminishing biodiversity and ecosystem functioning within the habitat and to support its health (JNCC, 2004a; Hughes *et al.*, 2005).

Similar to the biological structure of key and influential species, the recovery of characterising species is dependent on the influence of prevailing environmental conditions, life-history traits and interactions between species, with environmental connectivity between populations or species patches, the suitability of the habitat (e.g. substrate type), depth and water quality further influencing the recovery potential of habitats (Mazik *et al.*, 2015).

Function

Functions are ecological processes that include sediment processing, secondary production, habitat modification, supply of recruits, bioengineering and biodeposition. These functions rely on the supporting natural processes and the growth and reproduction of those biological communities which characterise the habitat and provide a variety of functional roles within it (Norling *et al.*, 2007) i.e. [key and influential species](#) and [characteristic communities](#) .

These functions can occur at a number of temporal and spatial scales and help to maintain the provision of ecosystem services locally and to the wider marine environment (ETC, 2011). Ecosystem services typically provided by rock features include:

- Nutrition: due to the level of primary and secondary productivity on or around rock habitat, a range of fish species use these areas as feeding and nursery grounds (Ellis, 2012), depending upon the biogeographic region.

There is no recovery potential if the physical structure of the rock feature is diminished or removed. The recovery of associated populations of individual species or communities depends on life history traits of species (e.g. their growth rate, longevity), and interactions with other species including predators. Furthermore, the environmental connectivity between populations or species patches, the suitability of the habitat (e.g. substrate type), depth, and water quality (Mazik *et al.*, 2015) will also influence the recovery potential of features.

The natural range of rock communities within the site should be conserved to ensure functions they provide support the health of the feature and the provision of ecosystem services to the wider marine environment.

Structure and function of the feature within the site

For further site-specific information on the structure and function of the feature within the site, please see the [Site Information Centre](#).

For information on activities capable of affecting the protected features of the site, please see the Advice on Operations workbook for the site (hyperlink is provided in the box at the top of this document).

Attribute: Supporting processes

Objective:

An objective has not been set for this attribute. Links to available evidence are provided below. Please contact JNCC at OffshoreMPAs@jncc.gov.uk for further site-specific information on this attribute.

Explanatory notes

Rocky habitats rely on a range of supporting natural processes to support the functions (ecological processes) and help any recovery from adverse impacts. For the site to fully deliver the conservation benefits set out in the [statement on conservation benefits](#), the following natural supporting processes must remain largely unimpeded:

Hydrodynamic regime

Water quality.

Hydrodynamic regime

Hydrodynamic regime refers to the speed and direction of currents, seabed shear stress and wave exposure. These mechanisms circulate food resource and propagules, influence water properties by distributing dissolved oxygen, and facilitating gas exchange from the surface to the seabed (Chamberlain *et al.*, 2001; Biles *et al.*, 2003; Hiscock *et al.*, 2004; Dutertre *et al.*, 2012). Shape and surface complexity of rock features can be influenced by coarse as well as finer-scale oceanographic processes, supporting the formation of topographic bedforms. The hydrodynamic regime plays a critical role in the natural formation, size structure and erosion of rock feature.

The hydrodynamic regime can also influence the rate at which sediment is deposited, and this is known to influence the status of reef habitats and/or their associated communities. Sedimentation on reef habitats, though smothering, can influence community composition, alter species growth rates and potentially affect reproductive success, reducing larval recruitment.

Water quality

Contaminants may also impact the ecology of a rock feature through a range of effects on different species within the habitat, depending on the nature of the contaminant (JNCC, 2004a; UKTAG, 2008; EA, 2014). It is important therefore to avoid changing the natural **Water quality** properties of a site and, as a minimum, ensure compliance with existing Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) as set out below.

Environmental Quality Standard (EQS)

The targets listed below for water and sediment contaminants in the marine environment are based on existing targets within OSPAR or the Water Framework Directive (WFD) and require concentrations and effects to be kept within levels agreed in the existing legislation and international commitments. These targets are set out in [The UK Marine Strategy Part 1: The UK Initial Assessment, 2012](#).

Aqueous contaminants must comply with water column annual average (AA) Environmental Quality Standards (EQSs) according to the amended Environmental Quality Standards Directive (EQSD) ([2013/39/EU](#)), or levels equating to (High/Good) Status (according to Annex V of the Water Framework Directive (WFD) ([2000/60/EC](#)), avoiding deterioration from existing levels.

Surface sediment contaminants (<1 cm from the surface) must fall below the OSPAR Environment Assessment Criteria (EAC) or Effects Range Low (ERL) threshold. For example, mean cadmium levels must be maintained below the ERL of 1.2 mg per kg. For further information, see Chapter 5 of the OSPAR Quality Status Report ([OSPAR 2010](#)) and associated [QSR Assessments](#).

The following sources provide information regarding historic or existing contaminant levels in the marine environment:

- [Marine Environmental and Assessment National Database \(MERMAN\)](#);
- The UK Benthos database available to download from the [Oil and Gas UK website](#);
- [Cefas Green Book](#);
- Strategic Environmental Assessment Contaminant Technical reports available to download from the [British Geological Survey website](#);
- and
- [Charting Progress 1: The State of the UK Seas](#) (2005) and [Charting Progress 2: The State of the UK Seas](#) (2014).

Water quality

The water quality properties that influence habitats include salinity, pH, temperature, suspended particulate concentration, nutrient concentrations and dissolved oxygen. They can act alone or in combination to affect habitats and their communities in different ways, depending on species-specific tolerances. In fully offshore habitats these parameters tend to be relatively more stable, particularly so for deeper waters, although there may be some natural seasonal variation. Water quality properties can influence the abundance, distribution and composition of communities at relatively local scales. Changes in any of the water quality properties can impact habitats and the communities they support (Elliot *et al.*, 1998; Little, 2000; Gray and Elliot, 2009). Changes in suspended sediment in the water column may have a range of biological effects on different species within the habitat; affecting the ability to feed or breathe. A prolonged increase in suspended particulates for instance

can have a number of implications, such as affecting fish health, clogging filtering organs of suspension feeding animals and affecting seabed sedimentation rates (Elliot *et al.*,1998). Low dissolved oxygen can have sub-lethal and lethal impacts on fish and infaunal and epifaunal communities (Best *et al.*, 2007). Concentrations of contaminants in the water column must not exceed the EQS listed above.

Supporting processes for the feature within the site

For further site-specific information on the natural processes which support the feature within the site, please see the [Site Information Centre](#).

For information on activities capable of affecting the protected features of the site, please see Advice on Operations workbook for the site (hyperlink is provided in the box at the top of this document).

Table 2: Supplementary advice on the conservation objectives for Annex I Reefs- Biogenic (cold-water coral reefs) in Anton Dohrn Seamount SAC

<p>Attribute: Extent and distribution</p>
<p>Objective: An objective has not been set for this attribute. Links to available evidence are provided below. Please contact JNCC at OffshoreMPAs@jncc.gov.uk for further site-specific information on this attribute.</p>
<p><u>Explanatory notes</u></p> <p>Extent refers to the total area in the site occupied by the biogenic habitat and must include consideration of its distribution, i.e. how it is spread out within the site. A reduction in extent has the potential to alter the biological and physical functioning of biogenic habitats. The distribution of a habitat influences the component communities present, and can contribute to the health and resilience of the feature (JNCC, 2004a). It is important therefore to conserve the full known extent and distribution of the biogenic habitat within a site. The extent of coral habitats can vary naturally due to environmental conditions, and future increases in temperature and sea-water acidity could lead to a decline in coral extent (Jackson <i>et al.</i>, 2014). Thus, activities should not be permitted that are likely to reduce the distribution of the biogenic habitats.</p> <p>Annex I Reefs- Biogenic (cold-water coral reefs) are a biogenic habitat type created by dense aggregations of scleractinian corals. Scleractinian corals are hard reef- forming corals that create calcium carbonate skeletons. The corals may accumulate in places to create large, complex and three-dimensional habitat that and provides a settlement point for benthic organisms. Cold-water coral reefs can develop in a range of marine landscapes and environments, such as seamounts or canyons, and different environmental conditions lead to different types of reef. These reefs can also be home to a diverse assemblage of fish utilising the range of niches occurring both above and within the reef structure (Söffker <i>et al.</i>, 2011). Annex I Reefs- Biogenic (cold-water coral reefs) usually have a maximum length of 1000 m (Buhl-Mortensen <i>et al.</i>, 2010) and can be up to 20 m high (OSPAR, 2009a).</p> <p>Growth rates of many corals are slow, but where they do persist, colonies can live for several thousands of years (Sun <i>et al.</i>, 2010; Carreiro-Silva <i>et al.</i>, 2013). Some reef-forming cold-water corals however have been recorded growing on average 1.4 cm per year (Sabatier <i>et al.</i>, 2012) and other deep-water corals can have growth rates exceeding 2 cm per year (Andrews <i>et al.</i>, 2002; Sherwood and Edinger, 2009). Coral habitats completely damaged by physical pressures such as those associated with benthic trawling do not show signs of recovery a decade after such pressure has been removed (Hall-Spencer <i>et al.</i>, 2002; Althaus <i>et al.</i>, 2009; Williams <i>et al.</i>, 2010; Howell <i>et al.</i>, 2013; Buhl-Mortensen <i>et al.</i> 2013; Buhl-Mortensen, 2017). However, recovery has been observed in areas were some living coral remains after impact (Buhl-Mortensen <i>et al.</i>, 2013; Buhl-Mortensen, 2017). If coral colonies are killed, any recovery of extent and distribution will be</p>

influenced by the method of reproduction, dispersal potential, the relative location of a potential source population of reproductive adults and the presence of suitable [supporting habitat](#) (Dahl *et al.*, 2012, Fox *et al.*, 2016). Evidence indicates that for some types of cold-water corals, successful recruitment events may occur once a decade (Stone *et al.*, 2015), which could limit recovery. Restoration could be encouraged by transplanting fast-growing corals from other locations.

Annex I Reefs- Biogenic (cold-water coral reefs) form over hundreds or thousands of years (OSPAR, 2009a). The sporadic reproduction of corals and slow growth rates of some species means that population recovery of the corals could take hundreds or thousands of years (Andrews *et al.*, 2002; Sherwood and Edinger, 2009; Carreiro-Silva *et al.*, 2013). In addition, if direct physical pressures are removed from a coral habitat, recovery of extent and distribution is uncertain due to predicted future decreases in ocean pH, which dissolves coral skeletons (Jackson *et al.*, 2014). Therefore, it is important to conserve the extent and distribution of the feature as this cannot be easily be restored.

Extent and distribution of the Annex I Reefs- Biogenic (cold-water coral reefs) within the site

Anton Dohrn Seamount SAC contains a series of bedrock, stony and (cold-water coral) biogenic reefs– subtypes of Annex I Reefs. The extent and distribution of the Annex I Reefs- Biogenic (cold-water coral reefs) within the site is shown in the [site map](#) (see Table 1 for details on Bedrock and Stony Reef). For further site-specific information please see the [Site Information Centre](#).

For information on activities capable of affecting the protected features of the site, please see the Advice on Operations workbook for the site (hyperlink is provided in the box at the top of this document).

Attribute: Structure and function

Objective:

An objective has not been set for this attribute. Links to available evidence are provided below. Please contact JNCC at OffshoreMPAs@jncc.gov.uk for further site-specific information on this attribute.

Explanatory notes

Structure with respect to coral habitats encompasses:

- [Coral composition](#) - namely the species, morphology and size of the coral colonies that characterise the community;
- [Physical structure of the reef](#) – including the topography of the reef and the available macrohabitats;

- [Key and influential species](#); and
- [Characteristic communities](#) present.

Coral composition

Coral colonies are made up of genetically identical polyps. Sessile and mobile benthic organisms may be associated with coral colonies, living on or within the coral tissue and around the colonies (Buhl-Mortensen and Mortensen, 2005; Bo *et al.*, 2009; Guilloux *et al.*, 2010; Baillon *et al.*, 2012; Mueller *et al.*, 2013; Baillon *et al.*, 2014; De Clippele *et al.*, 2015). The abundance, diversity and composition of associated organisms can vary between coral species, even within the same order (Baillon *et al.*, 2014; Carvalho *et al.*, 2014). A significant positive relationship has been found between both abundance and richness of associated organisms and coral colony size, number of branches and percentage of exposed skeleton (Buhl-Mortensen and Mortensen, 2005; Bo *et al.*, 2009; Buhl-Mortensen *et al.*, 2010; Baillon *et al.*, 2012; Carvalho *et al.*, 2014). These characteristics of the coral colony contribute towards its structural complexity, therefore structural complexity of an individual coral colony is likely to be influenced by age and species. Biodiversity may be increased by enhanced structural complexity because of an increase in the heterogeneity of habitats available for other benthic organisms e.g. providing elevated perches for other filter feeders (De Clippele *et al.*, 2015) or refugia from predators (Buhl-Mortensen and Mortensen, 2005; Buhl-Mortensen *et al.*, 2010). The size and morphology of corals may also influence their susceptibility to damage from physical pressures such as abrasion, with larger individuals and species with less flexible skeletons more likely to be impacted, and the ability of corals to repair tissue after damage (Mortensen *et al.*, 2005; Henry and Hart, 2005; Stone *et al.*, 2015).

Species composition, and size and age structure of the coral community or communities forming the biogenic habitat, will influence the associated biological community and therefore it is important that these aspects of the habitat should be conserved.

Physical structure of the reef

Physical structure refers to finer scale topography and habitat composition. Physical structure can have a strong influence on the hydrodynamic regime at varying spatial scales in the marine environment as well as the presence and distribution of biological communities (Elliot *et al.*, 1998). Reef size and structure can create sheltered areas and increases sedimentation of particulate organic matter. This can result in higher abundances of associated organisms than surrounding habitats (Morigi *et al.*, 2012). Fish species associated with coral reefs have been shown to prefer different altitudes within a reef, and different slope aspects (Söffker *et al.*, 2011; Quattrini *et al.*, 2012). Variations in slope and surface roughness of a reef can increase diversity in benthic communities across the reef (Henry *et al.*, 2009) and so should be maintained.

Different habitats can occur across a cold-water coral reef (Buhl-Mortensen *et al.*, 2010; Lancaster *et al.*, 2014):

- **Living coral tissue** – dense areas of living cold water coral reef colonies. Within areas of live reef there are additional microhabitats, such as the tissue of living corals, surfaces of exposed coral skeletons, spaces within coral skeletons and the gaps between coral branches.
- **Dead coral framework communities** – dead coral reef framework can support communities of species that create biogenic habitats, such as sponges and non-reef forming corals, along with communities of other organisms such as hydroids, bryozoans and ascidians.
- **Coral rubble communities** – coral rubble creates a potentially mobile environment and therefore supports unique communities of meiofauna that are adapted to this.
- **Coral sediment communities** – infaunal and epifaunal communities associated with the coral sediment.

As conditions vary between these habitats, populations and diversity of associated benthic communities also differ between them (Mortensen and Fosså, 2006; Roberts *et al.*, 2008; Henry *et al.*, 2009; Purser *et al.*, 2013; Buhl-Mortensen, 2017). As a result, the presence and extent of these macrohabitats generate biodiversity across cold-water coral reefs and therefore all need to be conserved.

Key and influential species

Key and influential species are those that have a core role to play in determining the structure and function of a biogenic habitat. For example, species that increase vertical complexity and provide a substrate for epibionts to colonise and use as an elevated perch (Braga-Henriques *et al.*, 2010). The main key and influential species within coral habitat features will be the coral community itself, however there may be other species such as sponges or bryozoans that also provide additional physical structure and habitat complexity. The tube dwelling polychaete *Eunice norvegica* lives in close association with cold-water corals particularly *Lophelia pertusa*, stealing food particles from the coral host (Mueller *et al.*, 2013). The presence of the worm has been observed to almost quadruple calcification rates of corals (Mueller *et al.*, 2013) suggesting that its presence can influence reef development.

Changes to the spatial distribution of communities across coral habitats could indicate changes to a feature and as a result how it may function (JNCC, 2004a). It is therefore important to conserve the key and influential species of biogenic habitats within a site to avoid diminishing biodiversity and ecosystem functioning and to support their conservation status (JNCC, 2004a; Hughes *et al.*, 2005).

Characteristic communities

The variety of biological communities present make up the habitat and reflect the habitat's overall character and conservation interest. Characteristic communities include, but are not limited to, representative communities, for example, those covering large areas, and notable communities, for example, those that are nationally or locally rare or scarce such as those listed as OSPAR threatened or declining, or known to be particularly sensitive to anthropogenic activities. *Lophelia reefs* are listed on the OSPAR threatened and/or declining habitats list, and this includes the characteristic communities associated with them (OSPAR, 2009a; OSPAR, 2010a; OSPAR, 2010b). Cold water coral reefs have also been recognised as Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems (VMEs) by the International Convention for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES).

Biological communities found within and on coral habitats can vary depending on the corals creating the habitat, location, and depth, as well as fine-scale physical, chemical and biological processes. A range of species have been found to have commensal or parasitic associations with deep-water coral species, some of which are obligate relationships (Buhl-Mortensen and Mortensen, 2004) such as strong associations of the brittlestar *Ophiomusium lymani* with bamboo corals (Henry and Roberts, 2014). Biological communities associated with coral habitats typically include filter feeders, such as ascidians, bryozoans, zoantherians, brittlestars and shrimp (Buhl-Mortensen and Mortensen, 2005; Bo *et al.*, 2009; Buhl-Mortensen *et al.*, 2010; De Clippele *et al.*, 2015; Carreiro-Silva *et al.*, 2017) which use the vertical structure created by corals as elevated perches to improve feeding (De Clippele *et al.*, 2015). As coral habitats develop in areas with relatively high currents, communities found in sediments within coral habitats are adapted to physical disturbance (Raes and Vanreusel, 2006). The characteristic communities associated with living coral colonies usually includes copepods that have evolved to be endoparasites in coral tissue (Buhl-Mortensen and Mortensen, 2005; Baillon *et al.*, 2012; De Cippelle *et al.*, 2015). Coral rubble becomes covered with a biofilm, which supports communities of organisms that feed on this (Raes and Vanreusel, 2006).

It is important to conserve the natural spatial distribution, composition, diversity and abundance of the main characterising biological communities of the coral habitat within the site to avoid diminishing biodiversity and ecosystem functioning within the habitat and to support its health (Hughes *et al.*, 2005).

Function

Functions are ecological processes that include sediment processing, secondary production, habitat modification, supply of recruits, bioengineering and biodeposition. These functions rely on the supporting natural processes and the growth and reproduction of corals, and associated biological communities (Armstrong *et al.*, 2014).

These functions can occur at a number of temporal and spatial scales and help to maintain the provision of ecosystem services locally and to the wider marine environment (ETC, 2011). Ecosystem services typically provided by these habitats include:

- Nutrition: Coral habitats are potentially an important link in the flow of carbon between the pelagic and benthic environment (Cathalot *et al.*, 2015). Cold-water coral species secrete mucus which becomes a source of dissolved and particulate organic matter for the ecosystem. Sponge species can feed on this and it is incorporated into sponge detritus (Rix *et al.*, 2016), which is then consumed by higher trophic levels. This may serve to increase the availability of prey species to predators through enhancement of biological diversity, potentially providing refugia from predators (Stone *et al.*, 2015), locations to lay eggs (Henry *et al.*, 2016) or nurseries (Ballion *et al.*, 2012) for fish species. There is some evidence that the abundance of certain commercial fish species is higher within coral habitats compared to non-coral habitats (D'Onghia *et al.*, 2012; Pham *et al.*, 2015).
- Climate regulation: Dead coral skeletons are a long-term store of carbon (Armstrong *et al.*, 2014), although the coral calcification process emits carbon dioxide. Ocean acidification is expected to corrode the skeletons of dead deep-water scleractinian corals although cold-water coral reefs shallower than ~ 150 m, are not expected to be subject to corrosion as they will remain above the aragonite saturation horizon (Jackson *et al.*, 2014).
- Provision of recruits: The larvae of corals have a planktonic phase giving the potential for long distance dispersal. A coral habitat can create a supply of recruits to establish new or help maintain existing coral habitats elsewhere (Wright *et al.*, 2015; Fox *et al.*, 2016).
- Provision of biochemical and biotechnological products: Chemicals extracted from corals have been shown to have applications in the pharmaceutical industry (Sawadogo *et al.*, 2015; Ruiz-Torres *et al.*, 2017).

It is critical to ensure that the extent and distribution of coral habitats within a site, along with the composition of any key, influential and characteristic biological communities are conserved to ensure the functions they provide are maintained.

Structure and function of the feature within the site

For further site-specific information on the structure and function of the feature within the site, please see the [Site Information Centre](#).

For information on activities capable of affecting the protected features of the site, please see Advice on Operations workbook for the site (hyperlink is provided in the box at the top of this document).

Attribute: Supporting processes

Objective:

An objective has not been set for this attribute. Links to available evidence are provided below. Please contact JNCC at OffshoreMPAs@jncc.gov.uk for further site-specific information on this attribute.

Explanatory notes

Biogenic habitats and the communities they support rely on a range of natural processes to support function (ecological processes) and help any recovery from adverse impacts. For the site to fully deliver the conservation benefits set out in the [statement on conservation benefits](#), the natural supporting processes of [hydrodynamic regime](#), [physical topography](#), [supporting habitat](#) and [water and sediment quality](#) must remain largely unimpeded.

Hydrodynamic regime

Hydrodynamic regime refers to the speed and direction of currents, seabed shear stress and internal and surface wave exposure. These mechanisms circulate food resource and propagules, influence water properties by distributing dissolved oxygen, and facilitate gas exchange from the surface to the seabed (Hiscock *et al.*, 2004; Mienis *et al.*, 2007; Hosegood and van Haren, 2004; Wagner *et al.*, 2011).

Cold-water corals feed on zooplankton and other organic matter, therefore cold-water coral habitats require hydrographic conditions that result in a supply of sufficient organic matter to the seabed. Coral habitats occur where hydrodynamic conditions re-suspend particulate organic matter (POM) from the seabed into the water column, or where downwelling brings a fresh supply of POM from the sea surface (Mienis *et al.*, 2007; Davies *et al.*, 2009). The presence of various coral species is influenced by current velocities (Jones *et al.*, 2009; Tracey *et al.*, 2011). Moreover, the shape and orientation of coral reefs and carbonate mounds can be driven by the prevailing currents (Davies *et al.*, 2009; Buhl-Mortensen *et al.*, 2010; Järnegen and Kutti, 2016). Although corals require water movement to supply them with POM, feeding rates can reduce at high velocities (Purser *et al.*, 2010) suggesting that coral habitats may require a certain range of current velocities to develop. The hydrodynamic regime transports coral larvae as well as food. Changes to the hydrodynamic regime can alter the source and number of new recruits to coral habitats (Fox *et al.*, 2016). Morphology of sponges can be influenced by local hydrodynamics (De Clippele *et al.*, 2017).

Hydrodynamic regime also effects the movement, size structure and sorting of sediment particles, and can therefore influence the [supporting habitat](#).

It is therefore important to conserve the prevailing hydrodynamic regime, in order to maintain the supply of food and larval recruits, and the supporting habitat of the coral habitats.

Physical topography

The [hydrodynamic conditions](#) required by corals are generated by the interaction of water currents and topographic features that strengthen internal tides (Davies *et al.*, 2009), generate internal waves (Mietongnis *et al.*, 2007) or increase mixing and primary productivity in shallower water (Roberts *et al.*, 2009). Coral habitats therefore tend to develop on continental slopes, along ridges and on topographic structures such as seamounts and carbonate mounds (Hall-Spencer *et al.*, 2007, Davies *et al.*, 2009, Tracey *et al.*, 2011; Tong *et al.*, 2012; Tong *et al.*, 2013). Hydrodynamic regime, specifically the direction of prevailing currents, influence the growth and morphology of carbonate mounds themselves, which can influence the type and distribution of biogenic communities occurring on the mounds (Wheeler *et al.* 2007). At a more local scale, coral colonies prefer elevated areas of seabed (Tong *et al.*, 2012; Tong *et al.*, 2013) to give them access to faster flowing water. This suggests that the surface topology of the seabed could influence density and distribution of non-reef forming corals within coral habitat features.

There are three types of reef forms; inherited reef forms have a morphology that reflects the underlying seabed; developed reef forms have a large scale morphology that has developed independent of the underlying seabed; and wall reef forms that occur on vertical slopes (Järnegren and Kutti, 2016). For inherited and wall reefs, the physical structure of the reef and therefore the associated biodiversity is dependent on the underlying topography.

Supporting habitat

Most species of coral require hard substratum to attach to (e.g. Stone *et al.*, 2015), however some bamboo corals and sea-pens grow on sandy and muddy seabeds (Buhl-Mortensen *et al.*, 2010; Henry and Roberts, 2014). For reef forming corals, initial colonisation only requires a very small area of available hard substratum, such as a single pebble. After initial colonisation, the coral itself can provide hard substrata for

subsequent colonies to develop (Buhl-Mortensen *et al.*, 2010). Species composition and density of colonies characterising other coral habitats will be influenced by the availability and type of underlying substratum.

Water and sediment quality

Contaminants may affect the quality of coral habitats through a range of effects on different species within the habitat, depending on the nature of the contaminant (JNCC, 2004a; UKTAG, 2008; EA, 2014). It is important therefore to avoid changing the natural water quality and sediment quality properties of a site and as a minimum ensure compliance with existing Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) as set out below.

Environmental Quality Standard (EQS)

The targets listed below for water and sediment contaminants in the marine environment are based on existing targets within or the Water Framework Directive (WFD) and require concentrations and effects to be kept within levels agreed in the existing legislation and international commitments as set out in [The UK Marine Strategy Part 1: The UK Initial Assessment 2012](#).

Aqueous contaminants must comply with water column annual average (AA) Environmental Quality Standards (EQSs) according to the amended Environmental Quality Standards Directive (EQSD) ([2013/39/EU](#)) or levels equating to (High/Good) Status (according to Annex V of the Water Framework Directive (WFD) ([2000/60/EC](#)), avoiding deterioration from existing levels.

Surface sediment contaminants (<1 cm from the surface) must fall below the OSPAR Environment Assessment Criteria (EAC) or Effects Range Low (ERL) threshold. For example, mean cadmium levels must be maintained below the ERL of 1.2 mg per kg. For further information, see Chapter 5 of the Quality Status Report ([OSPAR 2010c](#)) and associated [QSR Assessments](#).

There are little data on the impact of aqueous and sediment contaminants on cold-water coral species, therefore no tolerance thresholds have been established for cold-water coral habitats. The general standards described above apply to these habitat features until more habitat specific information is available.

The following sources of information are available regarding historic or existing contaminant levels in the marine environment:

- [Marine Environmental and Assessment National Database \(MERMAN\)](#);
- The UK Benthos database available to download from the [Oil and Gas UK website](#);

- [Cefas Green Book](#);
- Strategic Environmental Assessment Contaminant Technical reports available to download from the [British Geological Survey website](#); and
- [Charting Progress 1: The State of the UK Seas](#) (2005) and [Charting Progress 2: The State of the UK Seas](#) (2014).

Water quality

The water quality properties that influence these habitats include salinity, pH, temperature, suspended particulate concentration, nutrient concentrations and dissolved oxygen. They can act alone or in combination to affect habitats and their communities in different ways, depending on species-specific tolerances. In fully offshore habitats these parameters tend to be relatively more stable, particularly so for deeper waters, although there may be some natural seasonal variation. Water quality properties can influence the abundance, distribution and composition of coral habitat features and associated communities at relatively local scales (Elliott *et al.*, 1998; Gray and Elliott, 2009).

Spawning in sea-pens has been shown to occur at specific water temperatures (Baillon *et al.*, 2013) and cold-water corals in laboratory experiments began to die at temperatures above 8.7°C (Leifman, 2016). This suggests that coral habitat features can only develop and survive within a specific range of water temperatures, and this is likely to be species specific.

Increased concentrations of sediment in the water column can have a negative impact on corals by reducing feeding activity, preventing access to oxygen and damaging corals by becoming embedded in their surface (Allers *et al.*, 2013; Leifman, 2016). *Lophelia pertusa* can tolerate short term increases in sedimentation, however burial of living coral tissue for more than a day resulted in death (Allers *et al.*, 2013). Responses to increases in suspended particles are likely to vary between coral species, nature of suspended sediment and presence of other species in the community (Girard *et al.*, 2016; Leifman, 2016). As coral colonies feed on suspended particles, coral habitat features do require a supply of particulate organic matter to the seabed. Changes to water quality that reduces the supply of particulate organic matter and nutrients to corals may be detrimental.

Scleractinian cold-water corals create their skeleton from aragonite, which is a mineral of calcium carbonate. Atmospheric carbon dioxide emissions are lowering the amount of aragonite in seawater in a process called ocean acidification (Jackson *et al.*, 2014). This threatens deep-water scleractinian coral reefs and communities as seawater is becoming corrosive to their skeletons.

It is important therefore to avoid changing the natural water quality of a site as a minimum to ensure compliance with existing EQS as set out above until thresholds specific to coral habitats have been identified.

Sediment quality

Various contaminants are known to have different effects on the species that live in or on coral habitats. These include heavy metals like mercury, arsenic, zinc, nickel, chromium and cadmium, poly-aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), poly-chlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), organotins (TBT) and pesticides such as hexachlorobenzene. These can impact species sensitive to particular contaminants (e.g. heavy metals), and bioaccumulate within organisms thus entering the marine food chain (e.g. polychlorinated biphenyls) (OSPAR Commission, 2009b; OSPAR Commission, 2010c; OSPAR Commission, 2012). There is little research into the impact of sediment contaminants on corals, particularly from deep cold-water systems. If contamination occurs, this can lead to intolerant conditions and result in a change to typical species composition. It is therefore important to ensure sediment quality is maintained by avoiding activities that may cause resuspension of existing or the introduction of new contaminants. As a minimum, it is important to ensure compliance with existing EQS as set out above until thresholds specific to coral habitats have been developed.

Supporting processes for the feature within the site

For further site-specific information on the natural processes which support the feature within the site, please see the [Site Information Centre](#).

For information on activities capable of affecting the protected features of the site, please see Advice on Operations workbook for the site (hyperlink is provided in the box at the top of this document).

References

- Allers, E., Abed, R.M.M., Wehrmann, L.M., Wang, T., Larsson, A.I., Purser, A. and de Beer, D. (2013). Resistance of *Lophelia pertusa* to coverage by sediment and petroleum drill cuttings. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 74: 132-140.
- Althaus, F., Williams, A., Schlacher, T.A., Kloser, R.J., Green, M.A., Barker, B.A., Bax, N.J., Brodie, P. and Schlacher-Hoenlinger, M.A. (2009). Impacts of bottom trawling on deep-coral ecosystems of seamounts are long-lasting. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 397: 279-294.
- Andrews, A.H., Cordes, E.E., Mahoney, M.M., Munk, K., Coale, K., Cailliet, G. and Heifetz, J. (2002). Age, growth and radiometric age validation of a deep-sea, habitat-forming gorgonian (*Primnoa resedaeformis*) from the Gulf of Alaska. *Hydrobiologia*, 471: 101-110.
- Armstrong, C.W., Foley, N.S., Kahui, V. and Grehan, A. (2014). Cold water coral reef management from an ecosystem service perspective. *Marine Policy*, 50: 126-134.
- Baillon, S., Hamel, J-F., Wareham, V.E. and Mercier, A. (2012). Deep cold-water corals as nurseries for fish larvae. *Frontiers in Ecology and Environment*, 10: 351-356.
- Baillon, S., Hamel, J-F., Wareham, V.E. and Mercier, A. (2013). Seasonality in reproduction of the deep-water pennatulacean coral *Anthoptilum grandiflorum*. *Marine Biology*. 161: 10.1007/s00227-013-2311-8.
- Baillon, S., Hamel, J-F. and Mercier, A. (2014). Diversity, Distribution and Nature of Faunal Associations with Deep-Sea Pennatulacean Corals in the Northwest Atlantic. *PLoS One*, 9: e111519. [online]. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111519> Accessed [24 January 2018].
- Barry J.P. and Dayton P.K. (1991). Physical Heterogeneity and the Organization of Marine Communities. In: Kolasa J., Pickett S.T.A. (eds) Ecological Heterogeneity. Ecological Studies (Analysis and Synthesis), vol 86. Springer, New York, NY.
- Barnes, R.S.K. and Hughes, R.N. (1982). An Introduction to Marine Ecology. Oxford: Blackwell Sci. Publ.
- Bell, J.J. (2002). Morphological responses of a cup coral to environmental gradients. *Sarsia: North Atlantic Marine Science*, 87: 319–330.
- Best, M.A., Wither, A.W. and Coates, S. (2007). Dissolved oxygen as a physico-chemical supporting elements in the Water Framework Directive. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 55: 53-64 [online]. Available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0025326X06003171> [Accessed 20 September 2017].
- Biles, C.L., Solan, M., Isaksson, I., Paterson, D.M., Emes, C. and Raffaelli, G. (2003). Flow modifies the effect of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: an in-situ study of estuarine sediments. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 285-286: 165-177.
- Braga-Henriques, A., Carreiro-Silva, M., Porteiro, F.M., de Matos, V., Sampaio, Í., Ocaña, O., and Ávila, S.P. (2010). The association between a deep-sea gastropod *Pedicularia sicula* (Caenogastropoda: Pediculariidae) and its coral host *Errina dabneyi* (Hydrozoa: Stylasteridae) in the Azores. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 68(2): 399-407.

Bo, M., Bavestrello, G., Canese, S., Giusti, M., Salvati, E., Angiolillo, M. and Greco, S. (2009). Characteristics of a black coral meadow in the twilight zone of the central Mediterranean Sea. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 397: 53-61.

Buhl-Mortensen, P. (2017). Coral reefs in the Southern Barents Sea: habitat description and the effects of bottom fishing. *Marine Biology Research*, 13(10): 1027-1040.

Buhl-Mortensen, L. and Mortensen, P.B. (2004). Symbiosis in deep-water corals. *Symbiosis*, 37: 33-61.

Buhl-Mortensen L. and Mortensen P.B. (2005). Distribution and diversity of species associated with deep-sea gorgonian corals off Atlantic Canada. In: *Freiwald, A., Roberts, J.M. (eds) Cold-Water Corals and Ecosystems*. Erlangen Earth Conference Series. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

Buhl-Mortensen, L., Vanreusel, A., Gooday, A.J., Levin, L.A., Priede, I.G., Buhl-Mortensen, P., Gheerardyn, H., King, N.J. and Raes, M. (2010). Biological structures as a source of habitat heterogeneity and biodiversity on the deep ocean margins. *Marine Ecology*, 31: 21-50.

Buhl-Mortensen, L., Aglen, A., Breen, M., Buhl-Mortensen, P., Ervik, A., Husa, V., Løkkeborg, S. Røttingen, I. and Stockhausen, H.H. (2013). Impacts of fisheries and aquaculture on sediments and benthic fauna: suggestions for new management approaches. *Fisken og Havet*, 2: 69 pages.

Carreiro-Silva, M., Andrews, A.H., Braga-Henriques, A., de Matos, V., Porteiro, F.M. and Santos, R.S. (2013). Variability in growth rates of long-lived black coral *Leiopathes* sp. from the Azores (Northeast Atlantic). *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 473: 189–199.

Carreiro-Silva, M., Ocaña, O., Stanković, D., Sampaio, Í., Porteiro, F.M., Fabri, M-C. and Stefanni, S. (2017). Zoantharians (Hexacorallia: Zoantharia) Associated with Cold-Water Corals in the Azores Region: New Species and Associations in the Deep Sea. *Frontiers in Marine Science*. 4: 10.3389/fmars. [online]. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00088> [Accessed 24 January 2018].

Carvalho, S., Cúrdia, J., Pereira, F., Guerra-García, J-M., Santos, M.N. and Cunha, M.R. (2014). Biodiversity patterns of epifaunal assemblages associated with the gorgonians *Eunicella gazella* and *Leptogorgia lusitanica* in response to host, space and time. *Journal of Sea Research*, 85:37-47.

Cathalot, C., Van Oevelen, D., Cox, T.J.S., Kutti, T., Lavaleye, M., Duineveld, G. and Meysman, F.J.R. (2015). Cold-water coral reefs and adjacent sponge grounds: hotspots of benthic respiration and organic carbon cycling in the deep sea. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 2:37. [online]. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2015.00037>. [Accessed 24 January 2018].

Chamberlain, J., Fernandes, T.F., Read, P., Nickell, D. and Davies, I.M. (2001). Impacts of biodeposits from suspended mussel (*Mytilus edulis* L.) culture on the surrounding surficial sediments. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 58: 411-416.

Dahl, M.P., Pereyra, R.T., Lundälv, T. and André, C. (2012). Fine-scale spatial genetic structure and clonal distribution of the cold-water coral *Lophelia pertusa*. *Coral Reefs*, 31(4): 1135-1148.

Davies, A.J., Duineveld, G.C.A., Lavaleye, M.S.S., Bergman, M.J.N., van Haren, H. and Roberts, R.J. (2009). Downwelling and deep-water bottom currents as food supply

mechanisms to the cold-water coral *Lophelia pertusa* (Scleractinia) at the Mingulay Reef complex. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 54: 620-629.

De Clippele, L.H., Buhl-Mortensen, P. and Buhl-Mortensen, L. (2015). Fauna associated with cold water gorgonians and sea pens. *Continental Shelf Research*, 105: 67-68.

De Clippele, L., Huvenne, V., Orejas, C., Lundälv, T., Fox, A. Hennige, S. and Roberts, J. (2017). The effect of local hydrodynamics on the spatial extent and morphology of cold-water coral habitats at Tisler Reef, Norway. *Coral Reefs*, doi:10.1007/s00338-017-1653-y [online]. Available at: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00338-017-1653-y>. [Accessed 24 January 2018].

D'Onghia, G., Maiorano, P., Carlucci, R., Capezzuto, F., Carluccio, A., Tursi, A and Sion, L. (2012). Comparing Deep-Sea Fish Fauna between Coral and Non-Coral "Megahabitats" in the Santa Maria di Leuca Cold-Water Coral Province (Mediterranean Sea). *PLoS ONE* 7: e44509. [online]. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0044509>. [Accessed 24 January 2018].

Dutertre, M., Hamon, D., Chevalier, C. and Ehrhold, A. (2012). The use of the relationships between environmental factors and benthic macrofaunal distribution in the establishment of a baseline for coastal management. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 70: 294-308.

Elliott, M., Nedwell, S., Jones, N.V., Read, S.J., Cutts, N.D. and Hemingway, K.L. (1998). Intertidal sand and mudflats and subtidal mobile sandbanks volume II. An overview of dynamic and sensitivity characteristics for conservation management of marine SACs. UK Marine SACs Project. Oban, Scotland, English Nature.

Ellis, J.R., Milligan, S.P., Readdy, L., Taylor, N. and Brown, M.J. (2012). Spawning and nursery grounds of selected fish species in UK waters. Cefas Report No. 147.

Environment Agency (EA) (2014). Water Framework Directive: Surface water classification status and objectives [Online]. Available at: <http://www.geostore.com/environmentagency/WebStore?xml=environment-agency/xml/ogcDataDownload.xml> [Accessed 20 March 2015].

European Topic Centre (ETC) (2011). Assessment and reporting under Article 17 of the Habitats Directive. Explanatory notes and guidelines for the period 2007-2012. Available at: <https://circabc.europa.eu/sd/a/2c12cea2-f827-4bdb-bb56-3731c9fd8b40/Art17%20-%20Guidelines-final.pdf> [Access 20 September 2017].

European Commission (EC) (2013). DG MARE Interpretation manual of European Union habitats [online]. Available at: http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/habitatsdirective/docs/Int_Manual_EU28.pdf [Access 20 September 2017].

Fox, A.D., Henry, L-A., Corne, D.W. and Roberts, M. (2016). Sensitivity of marine protected area network connectivity to atmospheric variability. *Royal Society Open Science*, doi: 10.1098/rsos.160494. [online]. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28018633>. [Accessed 24 January 2018].

Girard, F., Fu, B. and Fisher, C.R. (2016). Mutualistic symbiosis with ophiuroids limited the impact of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill on deep-sea octocorals. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 549: 89-98.

- Guilloux, E.L.E., Hall-Spencer, J.M. Söffker, M.K. and Olu, K. (2010). Association between the squat lobster *Gastroptrychus formosus* and cold-water corals in the North Atlantic. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 90: 1363-1369.
- Gray, J. and Elliott, M. (2009). *Ecology of Marine Sediments: From Science to Management*. Second Edition, Oxford Biology.
- Hall-Spencer, J.M., Allain, V. and Fossa, J.H. (2002). Trawling damage to Northeast Atlantic ancient coral reefs. *Proceedings of the Royal Society London, B*, 269: 507-511.
- Hall-Spencer, J.M., Rogers, A., Davies, J. and Foggo, A. (2007). Historical deep-sea coral distribution on seamount, oceanic island and continental shelf-slope habitats in the NE Atlantic. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 81: 135-146.
- Henry, L-A. and Hart, M. (2005). Regeneration from injury and resource allocation in sponges and corals- A review. *International Review of Hydrobiology* 90: 125-158.
- Henry, L-A. and Roberts, J.M. (2014). Developing an interim technical definition for Coral Gardens specific for UK waters and its subsequent application to verify suspected records. *JNCC Report No. 507*. Peterborough.
- Henry, L-A., Davies, A.J. and Roberts, J.M. (2009). Beta diversity of cold-water coral reef communities off Western Scotland. *Coral Reefs*, 29: 427-436.
- Henry, L-A., Stehmann, M., De Clippele, L., Findlay, H., Golding, N. and Roberts, J. (2016). Seamount egg-laying grounds of the deep-water skate *Bathyraja richardsoni*. *Journal of Fish Biology*, doi: 10.1111/jfb.13041. [online]. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27350418>. [Accessed 24 January 2018].
- Hiscock, K., Southward, A., Tittley, I. and Hawkins, S. (2004). Effects of changing temperature on benthic marine life in Britain and Ireland. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, 14: 333-362.
- Hiscock, K., Marshall, C., Sewell, J., and Hawkins, S.J. (2006). The structure and functioning of marine ecosystems: an environmental protection and management perspective. *English Nature Research Reports*.
- Hosegood, P. and van Haren, H. (2004). Near-bed solibores over the continental slope in the Faeroe-Shetland Channel. *Deep-Sea Research Part II*, 51: 2943-2971.
- Howell, K.L., Huvenne, V., Piechaud, N., Robert, K. and Ross, R.E. (2013). Analysis of biological data from the JC060 survey of areas of conservation interest in deep waters off north and west Scotland. *JNCC Report No. 528*. Peterborough.
- Hughes, T.P., Bellwood, D.R., Folke, C., Steneck, R.S. and Wilson, J. (2005). New paradigms for supporting the resilience of marine ecosystems. *Trends Ecological Evolution*, 20: 380–386.
- Irving, R. (2009). The identification of the main characteristics of stony reef habitats under the Habitats Directive. Summary report of an inter-agency workshop 26-27 March 2008. *JNCC Report No. 432*. [online]. Available at: <http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/pdf/web432.pdf> [Accessed November 2017].
- Jackson, E.L., Davies, A.J., Howell, K.L., Kershaw, P.J. and Hall-Spencer, J.M. (2014). Future-proofing marine protected area networks for cold water coral reefs. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 71(9): 2621-2629.

- Järnegren, J. and Kutti, T. (2016). *Lophelia pertusa* in Norwegian waters. What have we learned since 2008? *NINA Report 1028*. Norwegian Institute for Nature Research.
- Jones, K., Devillers, R. and Edinger, E. (2009). Relationships between Cold-water Corals off Newfoundland and Labrador and their Environment. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/242178763_Relationships_between_Cold-water_Corals_off_Newfoundland_and_Labrador_and_their_Environment [Accessed 16th December 2017].
- Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) (2004a). Common standards monitoring guidance for littoral rock and inshore sublittoral rock habitats [online]. Available at: http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/PDF/CSM_marine_rock.pdf [Accessed November 2017].
- Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) (2004b). Marine advice non-native species [online]. Available at: <http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/default.aspx?page=1532> [Accessed 20 August 2017].
- Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) and Natural England (NE) (2010). Marine Conservation Zone Ecological Network Guidance [online]. Available at: http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/pdf/100705_ENG_v10.pdf [Accessed November 2017].
- Lacharité, M. and Metaxas, A. (2017). Hard substrate in the deep ocean: How sediment features influence epibenthic megafauna on the eastern Canadian margin. *Deep Sea Research Part 1*, 126: 50-61.
- Lancaster, J., McCullum, S., Lowe, A.C., Taylor, E., Chapman, A. and Pomfret, J. (2014) Development of Detailed Ecological Guidance to support the application of the Scottish MPA Selection Guidelines in Scotland's seas. *Scottish Natural Heritage Commissioned Report No. 491*.
- Leifmann, S. (2016). *Eco-physiological responses of cold-water corals to anthropogenic sedimentation and particle shape*. MSc thesis, NTNU. Trondheim, Norway.
- Little, C. (2000). *The biology of soft shores and estuaries*, Oxford University Press.
- Loke, L.H.L., Ladle, R.J., Bouma, T.J. and Todd, P.A. (2015). Creating complex habitats for restoration and reconciliation. *Ecological Engineering*, 77: 307-313.
- Loke, L.H.L., and Todd, P.A., (2016). Structural complexity and component type increase intertidal biodiversity independently of area. *Ecology*, 97: 383-393.
- Mazik, K., Strong, J., Little, S., Bhatia, N., Mander, L., Barnard, S. and Elliott, M. (2015). A review of the recovery potential and influencing factors of relevance to the management of habitats and species within Marine Protected Areas around Scotland. Scottish Natural Heritage Report No. 771 [online]. Available at: http://www.snh.org.uk/pdfs/publications/commissioned_reports/771.pdf [Accessed 20 September 2017].
- Mienis, F., de Stigter, H.C., White, M., Duineveld, G., de Haas, H. and van Weeringa, T.C.E. (2007). Hydrodynamic controls on cold-water coral growth and carbonate-mound development at the SW and SE Rockall Trough Margin, NE Atlantic Ocean. *Deep-Sea Research I*, 54: 1655-1674.
- Morigi, C., Sabbatini, A., Vitale, G., Pancotti, I., Gooday, A.J., Duineveld, G.C.A., DeStigter, H.C., Danovaro, R. and Negri, A. (2012). Foraminiferal biodiversity associated with cold-

water coral carbonate mounds and open slope of SE Rockall Bank (Irish continental margin—NE Atlantic). *Deep-Sea Research I*, 59: 54-71.

Mortensen, P.B., Buhl-Mortensen, L., Gordon, D.C. Jr., Fader, G.B.J., McKeown, D.L. and Fenton, D.G. (2005). Effects of fisheries on deep-water gorgonian corals in the Northeast Channel, Nova Scotia (Canada). *American Fisheries Society Symposium*, 341:369–82.

Mortensen, P.B. and Fosså, J.H. (2006). Species diversity and spatial distribution of invertebrates on *Lophelia* reefs in Norway. *Proceedings of the 10th International Coral Reef Symposium*. Okinawa, Japan, 1849-1868.hart

Mueller, C.E., Lundälv, T., Middelburg, J.J. and van Oevelen, D. (2013). The Symbiosis between *Lophelia pertusa* and *Eunice norvegica* Stimulates Coral Calcification and Worm Assimilation. *PLoS ONE*, 8(3): e58660.

Norling, K., Rosenberg, R., Hulth, S., Gremare, A. and Bonsdorff, E. (2007). Importance of functional biodiversity and specific-specific traits of benthic fauna for ecosystem functions in marine sediment. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 332: 11-23.

OSPAR Commission (2009a). Background document for *Lophelia pertusa* reefs. OSPAR Commission. [online]. Available at: <https://www.ospar.org/documents?d=7182> [Accessed 15 December 2017].

OSPAR Commission (2009b). Agreement on Coordinated Environmental Monitoring Programme (CEMP) assessment criteria for the QSR 2010. *Monitoring and Assessment Series*. OSPAR Agreement 2009-2002.

OSPAR Commission (2010a). Background document for Coral Gardens. OSPAR Commission. [online]. Available at: <https://www.ospar.org/documents?d=7217> [Accessed 15 December 2017].

OSPAR Commission (2010b). Background Document for Seamounts, OSPAR Commission. [online]. Available at: <https://www.ospar.org/documents?d=7222> [Accessed 16 December 2017].

OSPAR Commission (2010c). Quality Status Report 2010. London.

OSPAR Commission (2012). Coordinated Environmental Monitoring Programme (CEMP) 2011 assessment report.

Pham, C.K., Vandeperre, F., Menezes, G., Porteiro, F., Isidro, F. and Morato, T. (2015). The importance of deep-sea vulnerable marine ecosystems for demersal fish in the Azores. *Deep-Sea Research I*, 96: 80–88.

Purser, A., Larsson, A.I., Thomsen, L. and Oevelen, D. (2010). The influence of flow velocity and food concentration on *Lophelia pertusa* (Scleractinia) zooplankton capture rates. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*. 395: 55-62.

Purser, A., Ontrup, J., Schoening, T., Thomsen, L., Tong, R., Unnithan, V. and Nattkemper, T.W. (2013). Microhabitat and shrimp abundance within a Norwegian cold-water coral ecosystem. *Biogeosciences*, 10: 5579-5791.

- Quattrini, A.M., Ross, S.W., Carlson, M.C.T. and Nizinski, M.S. (2012). Megafaunal-habitat associations at a deep-sea coral mound off North Carolina, USA. *Marine Biology*, 159: 1079-1094.
- Raes, M. and Vanreusel, A. (2006). Microhabitat type determines the composition of nematode communities associated with sediment-clogged cold-water coral framework in the Porcupine Seabight (NE Atlantic). *Deep-Sea Research I*, 53: 1880-1894.
- Rix, L., de Goeij, J.M., Mueller, C.E., Struck, U., Middelburg, J.J., van Duyl, F.C., Al-Horani, F.A., Wild, C., Naumann, M.S. and van Oevelen, D. (2016). Coral mucus fuels the sponge loop in warm- and cold-water coral reef ecosystems. *Scientific Reports*, 6: doi: 10.1038/srep18715. [online]. Available at: <https://www.nature.com/articles/srep18715>. [Accessed 24 January 2018].
- Roberts, J.M., Henry, L.-A., Long, D. and Hartley, J.P. (2008). Cold-water coral reef frameworks, megafaunal communities and evidence for coral carbonate mounds on the Hatton Bank, north east Atlantic. *Facies*, 54: 297-316.
- Roberts, J.M., Davies, A.J., Henry, L.-A., Dodds, L.A., Duineveld, G.C.A., Lavaleye, M.S.S., Maier, C., van Soest, R.W.M., Bergman, M.J.N., Hühnerbach, V., Huvenne, V.A.I., Sinclair, D.J., Watmough, T., Long, D., Green, S.L. and van Haren, H. (2009). Mingulay reef complex: An interdisciplinary study of cold-water coral habitat, hydrography and biodiversity. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 397: 139-151.
- Ruiz-Torres, V., Encinar, J.H., Lopez, M.-H., Pérez-Sánchez, A., Galiano, V., Barrajón-Catalán, E. and Micol, V. (2017). An Updated Review on Marine Anticancer Compounds: The Use of Virtual Screening for the Discovery of Small-Molecule Cancer Drugs. *Molecules*. 22. 1037. 10.3390/molecules22071037.
- Sabatier, P., Reyss, J.L., Hall-Spencer, J.M., Colin, C., Frank, N., Tisnérat-Laborde, N., Bordier, L. and Douville, E. (2012). ^{210}Pb - ^{226}Ra chronology reveals rapid growth rate of *Madrepora oculata* and *Lophelia pertusa* on world's largest cold-water coral reef, *Biogeosciences*, 9: 1253-1265.
- Sawadogo, W.R., Boly, R., Cerella, C., Teiten, M.H., Dicato, M. and Diederich, M. (2015). A survey of marine natural compounds and their derivatives with anti-cancer activity reported in 2012. *Molecules*, 20: 7097-7142.
- Sebens, K.P. (1991). Habitat structure and community dynamics in marine benthic systems. In: Bell, S.S., McCoy, E.D., Mushinsky, H.R. (eds). *Habitat Structure. Population and Community Biology Series*, vol 8. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Sherwood, O. and Edinger, E. (2009). Ages and growth rates of some deep-sea gorgonian and antipatharian corals of Newfoundland and Labrador. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 66: 142-152.
- Söffker, M., Sloman, K.A. and Hall-Spencer, J.M. (2011). In situ observations of fish associated with coral reefs off Ireland. *Deep-Sea Research I*, 58: 818-825.
- Stone, R.P., Masuda, M.M. and Karinen, J.F. (2015). Assessing the ecological importance of red tree coral thickets in the eastern Gulf of Alaska. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 72: 900-915.

Sun, Z., Hamel, J.F. and Mercier, A. (2010). Planulation periodicity, settlement preferences and growth of two deep-sea octocorals from the northwest Atlantic. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 410: 71-87.

Tillin, H.M. and Tyler-Walters, H. (2014). Assessing the sensitivity of subtidal sedimentary habitats to pressures associated with marine activities: Phase 2 Report – Literature review and sensitivity assessments for ecological groups for circalittoral and offshore Level 5 biotopes. JNCC Report No. 512, Peterborough. [online] Available at: http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/PDF/Report%20512-A_phase1_web.pdf [Accessed 10 October 2017].

Tong, R., Purser, A., Unnithan, V. and Guinan J. (2012). Multivariate Statistical Analysis of Distribution of Deep-Water Gorgonian Corals in Relation to Seabed Topography on the Norwegian Margin. *PLoS ONE*, 7(8): e43534. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043534. [online]. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043534>. [Accessed 24 January 2018].

Tong, R., Purser, A., Guinan, J. and Unnithan, V. (2013). Modelling the habitat suitability for deep-water gorgonian corals based on terrain variables. *Ecological Informatics*. 13: 123-132.

Tracey, D.M., Rowden, A., Mackay, K. and Compton, T. (2011). Habitat-forming cold-water corals show affinity for seamounts in the New Zealand region. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 430: 1-22.

UK Technical Advisory Group on the Water Framework Directive (UKTAG) (2008). Proposals for environmental quality standards for Annex VIII Substances.

Wagner, H., Purser, A., Thomsen, L., Jesus, C.C. and Lundälv, T. (2011). Particulate organic matter fluxes and hydrodynamics at the Tisler cold-water coral reef. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 85: 19-29.

Wheeler, A.J., Beyer, A., Freiwald, A., de Haas, H., Huvenne, V.A.I., Kozachenko, M., Olu-Le Roy, K. and Opderbecke, J. (2007). Morphology and environment of cold-water coral carbonate mounds on the NW European margin. *International Journal of Earth Sciences*, 96: 37-56.

Williams, A., Schlacher, T.A., Rowden, A.A., Althaus, F., Clark, M.R., Bowden, D.A., Stewart, R., Bax, N.J., Consalvey, M. and Kloser, R.J. (2010). Seamount megabenthic assemblages fail to recover from trawling impacts. *Marine Ecology*, 31 (Suppl.1), 183-199.

Wright, E.P., Kemp, K., Rogers, A. and Yesson, C. (2015). Genetic structure of the tall sea pen *Funiculina quadrangularis* in NW Scottish sea lochs. *Marine Ecology*, 36: 659-667.