

British Upper Carboniferous Stratigraphy

C.J. Cleal and B.A. Thomas

Department of Botany
National Museum of Wales, Cardiff

GCR Editor: L.P. Thomas



CHAPMAN & HALL

London · Glasgow · Weinheim · New York · Tokyo · Melbourne · Madras

Published by Chapman & Hall, 2–6 Boundary Row, London SE1 8HN, UK

Chapman & Hall, 2–6 Boundary Row, London SE1 8HN, UK

Blackie Academic & Professional, Wester Cleddens Road, Bishopbriggs, Glasgow, G64 2NZ, UK

Chapman & Hall GmbH, Pappelallee 3, 69469 Weinheim, Germany

Chapman & Hall USA, 115 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10003, USA

Chapman & Hall Japan, ITP-Japan, Kyowa Building, 3F, 2-2-1 Hirakawacho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102, Japan

Chapman & Hall, 102 Dodds Street, South Melbourne, Victoria 3205, Australia

Chapman & Hall India, R. Seshadri, 32 Second Main Road, CIT East, Madras 600 035, India

First edition 1996

© 1996 Joint Nature Conservation Committee

Typeset in 10/12pt Garamond ITC by Columns Design and Production Services Ltd, Reading

Printed in Great Britain at the University Press, Cambridge


ISBN 0 412 72780 3

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, or criticism or review, as permitted under the UK copyright Designs and Patents Act, 1988, this publication may not be reproduced, stored, or transmitted, in any form by any means, without the prior permission in writing of the publishers, or in the case of reprographic reproduction only in accordance with the terms of licences issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in the UK, or in accordance with the terms of licences issued by the appropriate Reproduction Rights Organization outside the UK. Enquiries concerning the reproduction outside the terms stated here should be sent to the publishers at the London address printed on this page.

The publisher makes no representation, express or implied, with regard to the accuracy of the information contained in this book and cannot accept any legal responsibility or liability for any errors or omissions that may be made.

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 95-74663

 Printed on acid-free paper, manufactured in accordance with ANSI/NISO Z39.48-1992 (Permanence of Paper).

Contents

Acknowledgements	x
Access to the countryside	xi
Preface	xii
1 Introduction and general background	1
2 International stage stratotypes	15
Gill Beck	19
Blake Brook	22
River Darwen	24
Park Clough	27
Orchard Farm	29
Little Don	31
Duckmanton Railway Cutting	33
Doe Lea	34
3 Culm Trough	37
Crackington Coast	41
Bonhay Road Cutting	44
Bickleigh Wood Quarry	45
Clovelly Coast	46
Abbotsham Coast	48
Bude Coast	52
Shipload Bay	55
4 South Wales	57
Tenby-Saundersfoot Coast	65
Marros Sands	70
Amroth Coast	72
Nolton Haven	76
Barland Common	78
Vale of Neath	79
Smarts Quarry	82
Lower House Stream Section	83
Cwm Gwrelych-Nant Llyn Fach	83
Nant Llech	89
Cwm Twrch	91

Contents

Earlswood Road Cutting and Ferryboat Inn Quarry	92
Blaenrhondda Road Cutting	94
Trehir Quarry	95
Penllergaer Railway Cutting	96
Llammarch Dingle	97
Brynmawr Road Cutting	98
Wern Ddu	100
Coedy-Darren	105
5 Forest of Dean and Severn coalfields	109
Meezy Hurst	115
Puddlebrook Quarry	117
Oakenhill Railway Cutting	118
Portishead Pier	119
6 Bristol–Somerset Basin	121
Cattybrook Claypit	126
Bickley Wood	127
Winterbourne Railway Cutting	129
7 English Midlands	131
Cornbrook Dingle	138
Benson's Brook	139
Eyemore Railway Cutting	140
Brewin's Canal Cutting	142
Doulton's Claypit	143
Ketley Claypit	145
New Hadley Brickworks	146
Kingsbury Brickworks	148
Halesowen Road Cutting	149
Metallic Tileries	150
Cheswardine Canal Cutting	152
Alveley Grindstone Quarry	154
Gospel End Road Cutting	155
Webster's Claypit	155
8 North Wales	159
The sequence at Dee Bridge	163
The sequence at Ruby Brickworks	163
9 Millstone Grit of the Central Province	165
Pot Bank Quarry	172
Gib Tor	175
River Noe	177
Mam Tor	178
Alport Castles	180
Blackden Brook	181
Standedge Road Cutting	183
Crimsworth Dean	184
Derby Delf Quarry	186
Ladcastle and Den Quarries	186
Great Dib Wood	187

Contents

Cockwood Gorge	189
Rake Dike	190
Hodge Clough	191
Pule Hill	193
Jumble Coppice	194
Hathersage Moor	194
Harewood Grange	195
Yeadon Brickworks	197
Harper Clough, Smalley Delf and Closebrow Quarries	198
Heys Britannia Quarries	200
10 Coal Measures of the Pennine Basin	203
Ridgeway Quarry	210
Stannington Ruffs	213
Ambergate Quarry	214
Neepsend Brickworks	215
Honley Station Cutting	217
Goyt's Moss	220
Ravenhead Brickworks	222
Neepsend Railway Cutting	225
Wharnccliffe Crag	227
Elland Bypass Cutting	228
Lowside Brickworks	230
Bradgate Brickworks	231
River Tonge, Mill Hill	233
Ashclough	234
Stairfoot Brickworks	236
Nostell Brickyard Quarry	237
Carlton Main Brickworks	239
11 Northern England	241
Mousegill Beck	247
Howick to Seaton Point	249
Crag Gill	250
Tynemouth to Seaton Sluice	251
Wear River Bank	256
Cresswell and Newbiggin Shore	258
Saltom Bay	259
Byre Burn	260
Jockie's Syke	261
12 Scottish Basin	263
High Smithstone Quarry	269
Joppa Shore	271
Polhote and Polneul Burns	274
Lagrae Burn	277
Corrie Foreshore	279
Dunaskin Glen	281
Inninmore Bay	283
East Wemyss to Buckhaven Coast	285
References	287
Species index	321
General index	325

Acknowledgements

Throughout this work on the Upper Carboniferous stratigraphy part of the GCR, we have received extensive support and advice from colleagues, both in this country and abroad. To mention everyone who has contributed to the project would effectively just produce a list of all stratigraphers with an interest in British Upper Carboniferous stratigraphy. To all these people, we express our sincere thanks, and the hope that we have justified their support by helping to conserve their key sites. There are some names, however, which merit special mention for the help that they have given: Michael Eagar, Bill Ramsbottom, Nick Riley, Bernard Owens, Bernard Besly, Fred Broadhurst, Tony Johnson and the late T.R. Owen. These are people who have supplied us with information that has been of particular value, and to whom we are deeply indebted.

CJC was responsible directly for the selection of most of the sites dealt with in this volume. However, the sites in Chapter 3 (The Culm Trough) were selected by Martin Whitley, and the Millstone Grit sites by D. Owen Roberts. To both of these colleagues, we are deeply grateful. In both cases, they provided preliminary reports on their sites, which assisted us greatly in the compilation presented in this volume. The text of the whole volume was reviewed by Nick Riley, who made numerous helpful comments and improvements and deserves special mention. However, we take sole responsibility for the final content of the volume and the arguments given supporting the selection of the sites.

Finally, we would like to thank George Black, for inviting CJC to participate in the Geological Conservation Review, Bill Wimbledon for his support throughout the project, and the GCR Publication Production Team – Neil Ellis (Publications Manager); Nicholas D.W. Davey (Scientific Officer); Valerie Wyld (Sub-editor).

Access to the countryside

This volume is not intended for use as a field guide. The description or mention of any site should not be taken as an indication that access to a site is open or that a right of way exists. Most sites described are in private ownership, and their inclusion herein is solely for the purpose of justifying their conservation. Their description or appearance on a map in this work should in no way be construed as an invitation to visit. Prior consent for visits should always be obtained from the landowner and/or occupier.

Information on conservation matters, including site ownership, relating to Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs) or National Nature Reserves (NNRs) in particular counties or districts may be obtained from the relevant country conservation agency headquarters listed below:

English Nature,
Northminster House,
Peterborough PE1 1UA.

Scottish Natural Heritage,
12 Hope Terrace,
Edinburgh EH9 2AS.

Countryside Council for Wales,
Plas Penrhos,
Ffordd Penrhos,
Bangor,
Gwynedd LL57 2LQ.

Preface

This volume summarizes the results of a survey of British Upper Carboniferous sites, undertaken between 1978 and 1990 as part of the Geological Conservation Review (GCR). The GCR was the first attempt to assess the scientific significance of all Britain's geological sites and has proved a landmark in the development of a coherent geological conservation strategy in this country. To ensure that the assessments were based on a firm logical and scientific foundation, the range of scientific interest was divided into ninety-seven discrete subject 'blocks', reflecting the natural divisions of stratigraphy, palaeogeography and geological process; Westphalian stratigraphy and Namurian stratigraphy were two of these blocks.

The first stage in the survey was a review of the literature, to establish a comprehensive database of sites. From this, a provisional list of potentially significant sites was made and this was circulated to all relevant specialists in this country and abroad. At the same time, the sites were visited to assess their physical condition and whether the interest was still extant. In some cases, excavation (so-called 'site-cleaning') was carried out to see if the interest of a site could be resurrected or enhanced. The comments made by the specialists and the field observations were then used to produce a second site list, which again was circulated for comment. This process of consultation continued until a consensus was reached among the specialists about which Upper Carboniferous sites were of sufficient stratigraphical interest to justify conservation. The minimum criterion was that it was the best, most representative sequence in Britain for a particular aspect of British stratigraphy. The resulting GCR sites were thus, at the very least, of national scientific importance, although many, such as the stratotype sites detailed in Chapter 2 were also of international importance.

These GCR sites have been used as building-blocks for establishing a new set of Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs). If there was no other significant interest at or adjacent to the site, a proposal was made to establish it as an SSSI on the Upper Carboniferous stratigraphical interest alone. In many cases, however, a site showed other potentially significant features, or it adjoined another site of significance. In these cases, a composite proposed SSSI would be constructed from a set of GCR sites. Despite the heterogeneous nature of such sites, it is important to remember that the stratigraphical interest is sufficient on its own to justify the conservation of the relevant part of the site. The SSSI proposals that have arisen out of this survey have been sent to the appropriate country conservation agencies (English Nature, Countryside Council for Wales, Scottish Natural Heritage), whose governing Councils are responsible for the final decision to notify them.

This volume is not intended to be a field guide to these sites, nor does it cover the

Preface

practical problems of their future conservation. Its remit is to put on record the scientific justification for conserving the sites, discussing the interest of the geology there, and placing it in a wider stratigraphical context. Each site is dealt with in a self-contained account, beginning with 'highlights' (a précis of its special scientific interest), and a general introduction (with a brief historical review of research carried out there). A detailed description and interpretation of the significance of the site then follows. This interpretative section has unavoidably had to be couched in technical language, because the conservation value is mostly based on a specialist understanding of the stratigraphical, palaeontological and sedimentological features present. The account of each site ends, however, with a brief summary of the interest framed in less technical language, in order to help the non-specialist.

The inclusion of a site in this volume should not be taken as an indication of rights of access, nor should it be taken as an invitation to visit. The majority of the sites are in private ownership and prior permission to visit must always be obtained from the landowner and/or occupier. In many cases the sites are vulnerable to over-exploitation, and it is hoped that those that do visit them will treat them with the respect that should be given to any other part of our unique national heritage.

Finally, it must be emphasised that this volume does not provide a fixed list of the important Upper Carboniferous sites in Britain. Stratigraphy, like any other science, is an ever-developing pursuit with new discoveries being made, and new theories being developed, continually. There is also the problem of potential site loss. It is inevitable, therefore, that further sites worthy of conservation will be discovered in future years. This volume deals with our knowledge of the sites available at the time of the GCR survey (mainly during the 1980s) and must be seen in this context. Nevertheless, the account clearly demonstrates the value of British sites for Upper Carboniferous stratigraphy, and their important place in Britain's scientific and natural heritage.

Chapter 1

Introduction and general background

Introduction and general background

The Upper Carboniferous must rank as one of the most significant parts of the geological column in Britain. Economically, this is a consequence of the coal, iron and clay reserves that it contains, and which were central to the development of Britain as a major world power during the late 18th and 19th centuries. In the mid-1980s home-produced coal was still providing over two-thirds of the electricity generated here, although recent political moves will mean that this dependency may soon cease.

The British Upper Carboniferous is also important for purely scientific reasons. The first use of the term Carboniferous was in a description of British geology by Conybeare and Phillips (1822), and according to Ramsbottom (1981, 1984) this country may in effect be regarded as the 'type district' for the system. For a time, continental Europe took over as the conceptual type of the Upper Carboniferous, largely through the efforts of Munier Chalmas and de Lapparent (1893) who introduced the terms Westphalian and Stephanian (based on the successions in Westfalia in Germany and St Étienne in France), which are still used as the names for two of the series (see Wagner, 1974 for further historical details). These parts of northern continental Europe suffer from generally poor exposure though, and so the IUGS Sub-commission on Carboniferous Stratigraphy (the internationally-recognized organization that is trying to standardize the classification of these strata) has returned to Britain in its search for Upper Carboniferous stage stratotypes. Now, all eight stages between the Chokierian and Bolsovian inclusive are defined by stratotypes in this country (Ramsbottom, 1981; Owens *et al.*, 1985). In no other subsystem has Britain so many internationally-recognized stage stratotypes.

British geologists have been at the forefront of scientific work on the Upper Carboniferous, particularly in biostratigraphy. Significant names include W. Hind, A.E. Trueman, J. Weir, D. Leitch, and more recently R.M.C. Eagar and F.M. Broadhurst for their work on non-marine bivalves; W.S. Bisat, R.G.S. Hudson and W.H.C. Ramsbottom for their work on goniatites; M.A. Calver for his work on marine band distributions; R. Kidston, E. Dix and R. Crookall for their biostratigraphical palaeobotany; and the palynologists A.H.V. Smith, M.A. Butterworth, R. Neves and B. Owens. All of these scientists have made internationally significant contributions to Upper Carboniferous palaeontology and biostratigraphy, based mainly on work on British sites. Until recently, the sedimentology of

the British Upper Carboniferous was not so widely studied. Over the last four decades, however, the situation has changed with major contributions having been made by geologists such as H.G. Reading, J.R.L. Allen, W.A. Read, G. Kelling and J.D. Collinson.

Britain can also boast some of the best exposed sequences of non-marine Upper Carboniferous strata anywhere in Europe. Nowhere else has such extensive coastal exposures of these beds, as can be seen in Pembrokeshire, Northumberland, Cumbria and Fife. Even outside of Europe, one would struggle to find comparable coastal exposures, at least within the palaeoequatorial belt, with the possible exception of Nova Scotia in Canada (e.g. Joggins Bank, Point Aconi). Britain is also unusually well endowed with natural, inland exposures. For instance the Pennines is a classic area for Namurian studies, with their extensive exposures of Millstone Grit. In the Westphalian, South Wales stands unrivalled (at least in Europe) for its well exposed sequences of mainly non-marine, coal-bearing strata; for example, this is the only place to have a more or less continuously exposed section through the Langsettian, Duckmantian and Bolsovian (Cwm Gwrelych-Nant Llyn Fach – see Chapter 4).

All-in-all, British sites are of prime importance in the study of Upper Carboniferous stratigraphy, and there is considerable incentive for their conservation. Partly, this is because of the historical role that they have played. However, the suite of stage stratotypes here means that Britain must play an ongoing role in the development of the science. This not only requires that the stratotypes themselves are protected, but also the rest of the network of sites, as these provide the vital sedimentological, palaeoecological and structural context in which the stratotypes have to be viewed, if they are to be properly understood.

Scope of this volume

Before progressing further, it is important to clarify the scope of this volume. It deals with sites in Great Britain (i.e. England, Scotland and Wales, but excluding the Channel Islands, Northern Ireland and the Isle of Man) showing significant features of Upper Carboniferous stratigraphy. They comprise the network of GCR Sites selected for this part of the stratigraphical column, and which have been (or will be) used to form Earth science Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs). A further

Introduction and general background

discussion on the status of such sites can be found in Wimbledon (1988) and Allen *et al.* (1989).

There has been much confusion as to the exact meaning of the term Upper Carboniferous. In northern Europe, it has been taken to include all of the Namurian, Westphalian and Stephanian stages (now series), as this represents an interval of largely fluvio-deltaic clastics, which can be readily separated from the mainly marine shelf limestones of the underlying Viséan. Elsewhere, however, Lower and Upper Carboniferous were used in a different context. In North America, for instance, the base of what they call the Pennsylvanian is placed rather higher in the Namurian, in the lower Chokierian Stage (Sutherland and Manger, 1984). In eastern Europe, such as in the Ukraine and Russia, the situation is further complicated by the use of a tripartite division of the Carboniferous; the Lower-Middle Carboniferous boundary was taken at about the base of the Yeadonian Stage in the European scheme (later lowered to the base of the Kinderscoutian Stage), and the Middle-Upper boundary somewhere in the Cantabrian Stage (see various papers in Wagner *et al.*, 1979 that review this subject).

The concept of Upper Carboniferous used in this volume follows essentially that of Lane *et al.* (1985b). This grew out of an attempt to establish the first stratigraphical boundary in the Carboniferous that could be recognized throughout the world, and which has become known as the Mid-Carboniferous Boundary. It is placed just above the base of the Chokierian Stage in the European classification. For convenience, the base of the Chokierian is used in this volume as an approximation to the lower limit of the subsystem.

The top of the Upper Carboniferous has been the subject of almost as many problems as the base. In Europe, it is generally taken to be at the junction between the Stephanian C and Autunian stages, although how this fits in with the marine sequences in Russia, where the base of the Permian is normally defined, is far from clear (see papers in Meyen, 1980 for a review). However, as the matter does not directly impinge on any of the sites dealt with in this review, the subject will not be further discussed here.

Geological literature

In each of the chapters in this volume, a brief account of the literature relevant to that particular area will be given. This clearly obviates a major general review of the literature dealing with the

British Upper Carboniferous. However, it is perhaps worthwhile briefly mentioning some of the more general accounts which provide a good introduction to the subject in its wider, national context.

Nineteenth century volumes such as that by Hull (1861) provide a most valuable review of the then available information on the coalfields. During the first part of the 20th century, two of the best accounts were by Allan (1928) and Bisat (1928), in papers presented to the first International Carboniferous Congress. A little while later, Trueman (1954) produced a wide-ranging review of the geology of the British coalfields, which summarized much of our knowledge at about the time of the Second World War. A major event in Britain was the holding in Sheffield in 1967 of the 6th International Carboniferous Congress, and the published proceedings include many papers dealing with this country; those by Ramsbottom (1969b) and Calver (1969a) are particularly helpful reviews. For the most up-to-date information on the Carboniferous geology of this country, reference may be made to Ramsbottom *et al.* (1978), Besly and Kelling (1988), Leeder (1988) and Guion *in* Cope *et al.* (1992).

Geological setting

The Upper Carboniferous of Britain was formed in an elongate belt of deposition lying between Poland and Ireland, that marks the contact-zone between the Gondwana and Laurasia continental plates (Besly, 1988). Originally separated by deep ocean (the Proto-Tethys of Leeder, 1988), the Gondwana plate progressively drifted north relative to the Laurasia plate during the Late Palaeozoic. By the Late Carboniferous, the deep ocean had totally disappeared, and eventually the collision caused significant uplift and deformation of the Laurasian foreland. This tectonic episode is termed the Variscan (or Hercynian) Orogeny. However, between the times of ocean closure and basin inversion, a complex set of localized, synorogenic basins developed on the foreland.

Exactly how these basins were generated has been the subject of several recent papers, which have postulated sometimes contrasting models. There are four main mechanisms that have been proposed, which may be summarized as (1) the northwards subduction of Gondwana under Laurasia, (2) transtension due to east-west mega-shear along the Gondwana-Laurasia plate boundary,

Introduction and general background

(3) nappe loading due to the northwards migration of the Variscan front, and (4) north-south rifting and ocean spread due to the opening of a 'Proto-Atlantic'.

The ocean subduction model essentially grew out of the classic work of Kossmatt (1927), with his tectonic zonation of Europe. Recently, its most persuasive proponent has been Leeder (1982, 1988; see also Leeder and McMahon, 1988), who has invoked the McKenzie (1978) general crustal extension theory to explain certain details of the basin configuration. The Laurasian foreland, which he interprets as overlying a northwards-dipping subduction zone, is first subject to lithospheric extension and thinning during the Viséan and Early Namurian, due to back-arch tension. This is then followed by subsidence and basin-formation due to thermal sagging.

Dewey (1982) and Johnson (1982) also used the extensional theory to explain basin-development in the Late Carboniferous synorogenic belt. However, they argued that other tectonic processes were also in play at the time, most significantly the trans-tensional effects of lateral movement between the plates. The characteristic strike-slip faulting associated with transtension has been identified, particularly in the Midland Valley of Scotland (Read, 1988). The model was further developed by Higgs (1986), who postulated large-scale dextral shear along a fault in southern Britain. This was used to explain some of the problems of sediment provenance in the Culm and South Wales basins, but the view has not met with widespread acceptance.

Dewey (1982) also used nappe loading as a mechanism for basin development in the Late Carboniferous of Britain. Kelling (1988) in particular has explained features of the South Wales Coalfield, in terms of loading from nappes produced by a northwards migrating Variscan Front (see Chapter 4), such as the southerly source of much of the sediment. It would appear, however, that such effects were only significant in southern Britain, south of the Wales-Brabant Barrier.

All of the above models can probably be incorporated into a unified scheme for explaining various features of British Upper Carboniferous geology. However, there is another model which is radically different, and which has been most clearly expounded by Haszeldine (1984b, 1988). This invokes an east-west stress regime, due to the opening up of a 'Proto-Atlantic', and which is claimed to have produced an underlying north-south orientation to the basin configuration of northern Europe. Leeder (1988) has critically reviewed much of the

evidence used to support this model, and found many difficulties. For instance, the evidence for a north-south trend in basin orientation is not clear, and the model also requires large-scale igneous activity for which there is little evidence. It is also difficult to incorporate into such a model the basin inversion and uplift that occurred towards the end of the Carboniferous. On the other hand, the other models that have been advanced are far from proved, and do not explain the offshore evidence which would seem to give some credence to the 'Proto-Atlantic' Model.

Whatever the mechanism(s) driving the sedimentary processes in Britain during the Late Carboniferous, the result has been five discrete areas of deposition, separated by areas of non-deposition and sometimes erosion (Guion *in* Cope *et al.*, 1992). These are, from south to north, Sabrina, the Wales-Brabant Barrier and the Southern Uplands Massif (Figure 1.1).

1. The Culm Trough in south-west England. This was a shallow marine basin that was progressively filled during the Namurian by northerly derived deltaic sediments. Basin inversion and tectonic deformation here was rather earlier than in the rest of Britain, probably sometime in the middle Westphalian.
2. The Kent Coalfield, which is effectively a western extension of the Franco-Belgian Basin. This coalfield is known only through boreholes and underground mine workings. As there is no exposure it will not be dealt with further here.
3. The area immediately south of the Wales-Brabant Barrier, including principally South Wales, the Forest of Dean and the Bristol-Somerset coalfields. Like the Culm Trough, the Namurian is characterized by the progressive infill of a shallow marine basin by deltaic sediment, although it seems to have been 'less marine' than the Culm deposits. During the early and middle Westphalian (up to the middle Bolsovian), deposition was characteristically in a fluvio-deltaic regime, with extensive peat deposits. In the late Westphalian, however, uplift mainly to the south resulted in the influx of mainly arenaceous fluvial deposits (the Pennant formations).
4. The area between the Wales-Brabant Barrier and the Southern Uplands Massif, and including the northern English Midlands, the Pennines, and northern England. Again, the Namurian is characterized by mainly northerly-derived deltaic

Introduction and general background

deposits filling a shallow marine basin, and the lower and middle Westphalian by fluvio-deltaic deposition. Unlike further south, however, there is no evidence of major fluvial deposits in the late Westphalian, except in the southern margins of the area. Instead, the progressive development of Variscan movement resulted in

the formation of red beds such as the Etruria Formation.

5. The Midland Valley of Scotland. The general facies development here is similar to the area south of the Southern Uplands Massif, with predominantly arenaceous deposits in the Namurian, coal-bearing deposits in the lower




Figure 1.1 Main outcrops of Upper Carboniferous sedimentary rocks in Britain. Adapted from maps in Duff and Smith (1992), and Macgregor and Macgregor (1966).

Introduction and general background

Stages (1927)	Stages (1935)	Stages (current)	Series (current)	Subsystems (current)
Autunian	Autunian	Autunian		Lower Permian
Stephanian	Stephanian	Stephanian C Stephanian B Barruelian	Stephanian	
? ? ?	? ? ?	Cantabrian		
Westphalian	Westphalian	D Westphalian D		
		C Bolsovian	Westphalian	Upper Carboniferous
		B Duckmantian		
		A Langsettian		
Namurian	Namurian	C Yeadonian	Namurian	
		B Marsdenian		
		Kinderscoutian		
		Alportian		
		A Chokierian		
		Arnsbergian		
Visean	Visean	Pendleian	Visean	Lower Carboniferous
		Brigantian		
		Asbian		

Figure 1.2 Historical development of the Heerlen Classification of the Upper Carboniferous.

Westphalian and red beds in the upper Westphalian. However, marine influence was significantly reduced, with the result that marine bands are fewer and less well developed. Also volcanicity was a much greater influence, both as an influence on basin configuration, and on sedimentation itself (e.g. the Ayrshire Bauxitic Clay Formation).

Chronostratigraphy

Throughout this volume, the regional chronostratigraphy known as the Heerlen Classification has been used. The scheme is generally used throughout Europe (other than Russia and the Ukraine) and eastern Canada, at least when dealing with predominantly non-marine deposits. A review of the historical development of this classification can be found in Wagner (1974, 1989), Wagner and Winkler Prins (1991, 1993), and Chapter 2 of the present volume. The main features of this scheme are shown in Figure 1.2.

Biostratigraphy

Five main groups of fossils have been used for biostratigraphical work in the British Upper

Carboniferous: ammonoids (goniatites), conodonts, non-marine bivalves, miospores and plant macrofossils. The relationship between the biozones developed for these various fossil groups, and the Heerlen stages, is summarized in Figure 1.3.

Ammonoids (goniatites)

Ammonoids (commonly referred to as goniatites) have been extensively used for biostratigraphy in the Upper Carboniferous of Britain, particularly in the Namurian. Their stratigraphical use was first developed here by Bisat in the first half of this century (e.g. Bisat, 1924, 1928; Bisat and Hudson, 1943), and it has been developed in recent years, particularly by Ramsbottom (1969b, 1971a, 1979a, 1979b). A useful review of the topic in an international setting is provided by Ramsbottom and Saunders (1984).

As with the Mesozoic ammonites, these fossils have considerable potential value for detailed stratigraphical resolution. However, they are restricted to particular facies, which sometimes limits the geographical range over which they can be used. For instance, the ammonoids found in the Westphalian marine bands in Britain are almost totally unknown from outside the paralic belt of coalfields in northern Europe. Nevertheless, within this belt of Upper Carboniferous deposits, they

Stages	Index	Ammonoids		Non-marine bivalves		Spores	Plants		Conodonts	
		Zones	Subzones	Zones	Subzones		Zones	Subzones	Zones	Subzones
Cantabrian							<i>Odontopteris cantabrica</i>			
Westphalian D				<i>A. tenuis</i>		XI	<i>Thymospora obscura</i>	<i>Lobopteris vestita</i> <i>Lingopteris barbarii</i>	<i>Dicksonites pluckenettii</i> <i>Lobopteris micromillioni</i>	
				<i>A. philippii</i>		X	<i>Torispora securis</i>		<i>Althopteris serlii</i>	
Bolsvian				'Upper similis-pulchra'	<i>adamus-hindi</i> <i>atra</i>	IX	<i>Vetispora magna</i>	<i>Paripteris linguaefolia</i>	<i>Laveneopteris vari-nervis</i> <i>Neuropteris semireticulata</i>	
Duckmantian	'A'			'Lower similis-pulchra'	<i>caladonia</i> <i>phryganea</i> <i>regularis</i> <i>crisagalli</i> <i>pseudorobusta</i> <i>bipennis</i> <i>tonus</i>	VIII	<i>Dietyriletes bireticulatus</i>	<i>Lonchopteris rugosa</i>	<i>Sphenophyllum majus</i> <i>Neuropteris hollandica</i> <i>Laveneopteris lobii</i>	
				<i>A. modiolaris</i>	<i>proxima</i> <i>extenuata</i> <i>fallax-protea</i>	VII	<i>Schulzopora rara</i>		<i>Neurallethopteris longmanii</i>	<i>Id. sulcatus parvus</i>
Langsettian	G_2			<i>C. communis</i>		VI	<i>Radizonates aligerens</i>	<i>Lyginopteris hoeninghausii</i>		
				<i>C. lentilicata</i>		SS	<i>Triquirites sinuati</i> <i>Cirratiridites salurni</i>			
Yeadonian	G_1			<i>Cancelloceras cumbriense</i> <i>C. cancellatum</i> <i>Bilinguities superbilinguis</i> <i>B. bilinguis</i> <i>B. gracilis</i> <i>B. reticulatum</i> <i>Reticuloceras nodosum</i>		FR	<i>Raisackia fulva</i> <i>Reticulatisporites reticulatus</i>	<i>Neurallethopteris larschii</i>	<i>S. nodosum</i> <i>Id. sinuatus</i> - <i>I. primulus</i> <i>I. primulus</i>	
Marsdenian	R_2					KV	<i>Crassispora kosankei</i> and <i>Grunostisporites isartoreticulatus</i>	<i>Pecopteris aspera</i>		
Kinderscoutian	R_1			<i>R. dalman</i> <i>R. bolmorense</i> <i>R. circumplexicarinale</i> <i>H. prereticulatus</i> <i>V. ostrindatum</i>		SO	<i>Lycospora subtriquetra</i> and <i>Kraeuselisporites ornatus</i>	<i>Sigillaria elegans</i>	<i>Id. corrugatus-Id. sulcatus sulcatus</i> <i>Id. noduliferus</i> <i>S. lateralis</i> <i>Id. noduliferus</i>	
Alportian	H_2			<i>Homoceras oboides prereticulatus</i> <i>Homoceras undulatum</i> <i>Hudsonoceras proteus</i> <i>Homoceras boykitchianum</i> <i>Isomoceras subglobosum</i>						
Chokierian	H_1									

Figure 1.3 The relationship between the stages of the Heerlen Classification and the biozones established for the most useful fossil groups in the Upper Carboniferous of Britain.

Introduction and general background

provide an extremely fine resolution of the marine strata, and have played a central role in establishing their stratigraphy.

As has often been the case in British studies on Carboniferous stratigraphy, the ammonoid-bearing strata are usually classified according to a composite bio/litho/chronostratigraphical scheme. The marine bands are defined lithostratigraphically as a particular rock body, but also biostratigraphically by their fossil content. The bands have then been used as the basis for defining the bases of chronozones and stages.

In the present report, a more rigorous separation of the three main strands of stratigraphy has been maintained, following the philosophy outlined by Hedberg (1976). The marine bands are named, often with reference to particular ammonoid taxa (e.g. Subcrenatum Marine Band, Bilinguis Marine Band). Their fossil content allows them to be assigned to biozones or biosubzones, broadly following the scheme outlined by Ramsbottom (1969b), and shown in Figure 1.3. However, these zones are **only** applicable to the marine strata, and not to the intervening non-marine beds. No attempt is made to impose the zonal definitions onto a sedimentological cyclicity, in an attempt to elevate them into a chronostratigraphical framework (as proposed by Ramsbottom *et al.*, 1962, 1978); as the underlying assumptions on which this was based are disputed (Holdsworth and Collinson, 1988).

As stated above, the use of ammonoids for intercontinental correlations is often limited. However, Ramsbottom and Saunders (1984) have proposed a set of 'genus-zones' (perhaps more rationally referred to as superzones), which provide a potential means of making such wider correlations. These are shown in Figure 1.3 together with the more traditional set of zones and subzones.

Conodonts

The limitations of ammonoids for establishing intercontinental correlations of the British Upper Carboniferous marine strata has been partially overcome by the use of conodonts. These fossils have proved of considerable significance for establishing world-wide stratigraphical correlations of these strata, and have played a particularly important role in establishing the Mid-Carboniferous Boundary (Lane and Baesemann, 1982). In Britain, the seminal work has been by Higgins (1975, 1985), who proposed a biostratigraphy, which is summarized in Figure 1.3.

Non-marine bivalves

While ammonoids have played the key role in establishing stratigraphical correlations in the Namurian in Britain, non-marine bivalves have tended to play the major role in the Westphalian. This reflects at least in part the less marine character of the Westphalian deposits in this country. Their use seems to have been triggered by Hind's (1894-1896, 1896-1905) monographs on these shells, and the earliest coherent biostratigraphy was published by Davies and Trueman (1927) and Dix and Trueman (1937). The most complete account of these fossils in Britain is the monograph by Trueman and Weir (1946-1968). Most recently, major progress has been made by Eagar in understanding the relation between the variation of these shells and environment (Eagar, 1947, 1952a, 1952b, 1953b, 1956, 1960, 1987). This has considerably improved the utility of these fossils as reliable biozonal indices. Together with studies by Wright in Tonks *et al.* (1931) and Calver (1956), Eagar's work has also helped develop subdivisions of the zones, which some have referred to as 'faunal belts', but which are clearly subzones.

There is no published account detailing the various bivalve zones and subzones currently used in Britain. The best summary is in the table given in Ramsbottom *et al.* (1978, plate 1), which lists the names and chronostratigraphical positions of the zones and subzones. This is used as the basis of the biostratigraphy shown here in Figure 1.3. It should be emphasised that they are used here in an exclusively biostratigraphical sense, with no attempt to convert them into chronozones as effectively done by Calver (1969a).

Palynology

The potential value of palynology for geological investigation, particularly where borehole data are predominant, is that it requires only small rock samples to prepare many fossils. As a consequence, they have been much used in the Upper Carboniferous of this country, especially over the last quarter century or so. The first major contribution in the field was by Smith and Butterworth (1967), who established a biostratigraphy based on coal palynology, mainly from the British Westphalian, while Owens *et al.* (1977) produced the first coherent scheme for the Namurian. Other major contributions have been by Clayton *et al.* (1977) and Owens *et al.* (1978), and a useful review of the subject in an international setting is provided by Owens (1984).

Introduction and general background

Most Upper Carboniferous palynology has been based on spores, pre-pollen and pollen <200 µm in diameter. They are known as miospores, obviating the problem of using the term microspore, which implies that it is from a pteridophytic plant. Palynomorphs >200 µm in diameter, known as macrospores, also occur commonly in these strata, but have not been so widely used for biostratigraphy. The only significant exception has been the work by Spinner (1960) in the Forest of Dean.

The weakness of palynological work is that it cannot normally be done in areas where coalification ranks are high (according to Smith and Butterworth, 1967, coals with >90% carbon). In South Wales, for instance, most of the coals are anthracitic with typically 92% or higher carbon, and only in the far east of the area are ranks slightly lower, allowing the preservation of pollen and spores (Sullivan, 1962). There are also often taxonomic difficulties in interpreting such isolated pollen and spores, which have often been assessed with no reference to the parent plant and thus of natural morphological variation (Thomas, 1987). Consequently, the zonal boundaries tend to be 'fuzzy', being based on changes in proportions of taxa rather than by absolute ranges. Nevertheless, palynology has proved of value in parts of Britain, especially in the lower Westphalian of northern England.

Plant macrofossils

Although there were significant studies in this field in the first half of the 20th century (e.g. Kidston, 1905; Dix, 1934, 1937), more recently such fossils have not been widely favoured as biostratigraphical tools. It is generally considered that plants can give good indication of broad divisions and of general overseas correlations, but the ranges of individual species are usually too long to provide the finer divisions given by the bivalves (Ramsbottom *et al.*, 1978, p. 5). This is in fact quite misleading, except possibly in the Langsettian, as a comparison of the relative biostratigraphies for bivalves and plants, as shown in Figure 1.3 will demonstrate. In fact, in the Westphalian D and Cantabrian of Britain, plant fossils are the only proven and reliable biostratigraphical indices (e.g. Cleal, 1978, 1984a, 1992).

The most robust set of plant biozones for these fossils was established by Wagner (1984). This has been refined by Cleal (1991), who has incorporated a number of subzones in the classification to improve the resolution of the scheme, as well as providing a general review of the topic.

Lithostratigraphy

Formations and groups

There is considerable variation in the lithostratigraphical development of the Upper Carboniferous of Britain, and different areas often have their own set of formations. Only what is called here the Productive Coal Formation (the mainly Westphalian, grey, coal-bearing deposits) has a reasonably wide distribution. Consequently, the definitions of the formations are dealt with separately in the relevant chapter for the area concerned.

However, there is an underlying pattern of lithofacies recognizable over much of the country, and this is recognized here as five groups listed as follows.

Culm Group

Character: predominantly marine or marginal non-marine deposits found in Culm Trough.
Component formations: Crackington, Bideford and Bude.

Yoredale Group

Character: marine shales and limestones found in northern England, mainly in the Lower Carboniferous, but sometimes just extending into the basal Upper Carboniferous.

Component formations: Whitehouse Limestone.

Millstone Grit Group

Character: mainly marine shales and deltaic sandstones found in the Namurian and basal Westphalian of England and Wales.

Component subdivisions: Basal Grit, Middle Shales, Farewell Rock and Bishopston formations in South Wales; Holywell Shales, Gwespys Sandstone, Cefn-y-fedw Sandstone, Lower Shales, Dee Bridge, Upper Shale and Aqueduct Grit formations in North Wales; Quartzitic Sandstone Formation in the Bristol area; Edale Shales, Kinderscout Grit, Middle Grit and Rough Rock subgroups in the Pennines; and First Grit and Second Grit formations in northern England.

Passage Group

Character: deltaic sandstones and volcanic deposits of the middle Namurian to basal Westphalian of Scotland.

Component formations: Ayrshire Bauxitic Clay and Roslin Sandstone.

Coal Measures Group

Character: grey and red measures, of mainly fluvio-deltaic origin, often including coal

Introduction and general background

deposits, but with only thin marine beds.

Component formations: Productive Coal, Etruria, Halesowen, Newcastle, Keele, Enville, Whitehaven Sandstone and Barren Red.

Up to a point, this grouping of formations into groups is subjective. For instance, the separation of the Millstone Grit and Passage groups is arguable, and really follows more historical precedence than clear sedimentological difference. Also, the inclusion of red beds in the Coal Measures is a moot point, and they might perhaps be better placed in a group linked with the New Red Sandstone Supergroup. Nevertheless, it provides a set of terms that conveniently summarize the broad pattern of deposition during the Late Carboniferous in Britain, and thus has a role to play that is supplemental to that provided by the formational divisions.

Marker horizons

Most stratigraphical correlations in the British Upper Carboniferous are based on biostratigraphical criteria, as discussed above. However, there are two types of 'marker horizon' available in these strata that provide valuable, abiotic means of establishing time-planes.

The most widely used are the marine bands. During the Late Carboniferous, Britain saw a progressive change from predominantly marine to predominantly non-marine conditions, and the preserved sedimentary sequence can be interpreted in terms of the interplay between these two broad environments. Particularly in the upper Namurian to middle Westphalian (Yeadonian to Bolsovian) conditions were predominantly non-marine with only occasional, discrete marine incursions, and the resulting marine bands are very widespread. The bands have been identified throughout the paralic belt of coalfields from Ireland in the west (Eagar, 1975) to the Lublin Coalfield (Poland) in the east (Musia *et al.*, 1983), and the most widespread have been used to place the stage boundaries in their stratotypes (see Chapter 2). In Britain, the most significant contributions on the marine bands have been by Ramsbottom (1969b, 1971a, 1977, 1978, 1979a, 1979b) in the Namurian, and Calver (1968, 1969a) in the Westphalian. The stratigraphical positions of the marine bands are summarized in Figure 1.4.

The second type of 'marker horizons' consists of cineritic tonsteins, which were the result of volcanic ash-falls. They have proved particularly important for establishing correlations between the paralic coalfields and the intra-montane basins,

Series	Stage	Marine band
Westphalian (part)	Bolsovian	gap Cambriense Shafton Edmondia Aegiranum
	Duckmantian	Haughton Clown Maltby gap Vanderbeckei
	Langsettian	gap Burton Joyce Langley Amaliae Meadowfarm Parkhouse Listeri Honley Springwood Holbrook Subcrenatum
Namurian (part)	Yeadonian	Cumbriense Cancellatum
	Marsdenian	Sigma Superbilinguis Metabilinguis Eometabilinguis Bilinguis (3) Bilinguis (2) Bilinguis (1) Gracilis
	Kinderscoutian	Coreticulatum Reticulatum (3) Reticulatum (2) Reticulatum (1) Stubblefieldi Nodosum Eoreticulatum Dubium Todmordenense Subreticulatum Circumplicatile Magistrorum
	Alportian	Prereticulatus Eostriolatus Undulatum Proteus
	Chokierian	Isohomoceras Beyrichianum Subglobosum (3) Subglobosum (2) Subglobosum (1)

Figure 1.4 The chronostratigraphical positions of the main marine bands in the Westphalian of Britain.

such as Saar-Lorraine, where marine bands do not occur (e.g. Bouroz, 1967). In Britain, they have not been as widely investigated as in continental Europe (although see Burger, 1985 for a review of the available information and its potential

Introduction and general background

significance in Britain, particularly in the Bolsovian). However, the radiometric results from Germany by Lippolt *et al.* (1984) have a direct relevance to the British stratigraphy, as they provide the first reasonably accurate, absolute chronology for these strata (reviewed by Leeder, 1988).

Geochronology

For most purposes, geologists tend not to use absolute ages in their stratigraphical work. Radiometric geochronology is still a relatively inexact science and cannot come anywhere near the resolution of more traditional stratigraphical tools such as biostratigraphy. It is nevertheless helpful to give some sort of idea as to the approximate age of strata, especially for the non-specialist reader, and this has been done in the 'Conclusions' section of each site report.

For many years, the geochronological data summarized by Harland *et al.* (1982) were the standard in this field, but these had only a relatively few calibration points in the Late Carboniferous. The position improved dramatically with the work of Lippolt *et al.* (1984) and Lippolt and Hess (1985) on sanidine crystals from tonsteins. Their results may be summarized as follows: 'Late Namurian A' (probably Chokierian) - 319.5-324.8 Ma; latest Duckmantian - 310.7 Ma; middle Bolsovian - 309.5-310.7 Ma; late Barruelian - 302.9 Ma; Stephanian C - 300.3 Ma. Using these calibration points, Leeder (1988) estimated that the Namurian represented 11 million years, the Westphalian 10 million years, and the Stephanian 5 million years. The start of the Late Carboniferous (i.e. Chokierian Era) is thus about 320 Ma, and the end of the Late Carboniferous about 300 Ma.

Although these results seem reasonable, they have recently been questioned by Riley *et al.* (in press), who have obtained dates of about 314 Ma from two separate marine bands in the middle Arnsbergian (i.e. just below the Mid-Carboniferous boundary). If correct, this would clearly have a major impact on our understanding of Late Carboniferous chronology; the lower half of the Westphalian and most of the Namurian would have to be telescoped into just 4 million years, which is less than the time that the Bolsovian and Westphalian D alone are currently thought to represent (i.e. 5 million years). It must be suspected that either this new Arnsbergian date is wrong, or at least some of the Lippolt and Hess dates are

wrong. Either way, it underlines the difficulties inherent with radiometric dating. In this volume, the Lippolt and Hess dates have been retained, but these may have to be revised when further radiometric evidence becomes available.

British sites in an international context

Before embarking on the detailed analysis of the significance of the British Upper Carboniferous sites, it is perhaps worthwhile outlining where the most obvious comparisons are likely to be found.

The strong climatic zonation of the world during the Late Carboniferous, as evidenced by the palaeobotanical data (Cleal and Thomas *in* Cleal, 1991), effectively restricts any comparison to the palaeoequatorial belt (Figure 1.5), i.e. North America, Europe, northwest Africa and China. Most of Gondwana has relatively little Upper Carboniferous, and what there is shows a strong glacial influence, which is markedly different from anything found in Britain (Wagner *et al.*, 1985). In the northern palaeolatitudes, in Angara, conditions were not so drastically different, with some coal-bearing strata developing (Rotay, 1975). However, both faunas and floras were quite different from those of the palaeoequatorial belt, and the biostratigraphy of the deposits are thus totally dissimilar; to all intents and purposes, sequences in these two regions are still uncorrelatable.

Within the palaeoequatorial belt, the most obvious comparisons are with the sequences within what is termed the paralic belt, in northwestern and central Europe. These include the deposits of the Franco-Belgian Basin, Limburg, the Ruhr and the Lublin area of Poland. In few cases, however, are these strata well exposed. Thus, although comparisons are possible based on data determined from boreholes or underground workings, it is rarely possible to make comparisons based on surface outcrops. The belt of coalfields also probably extends into easternmost North America, in particular the Maritime Provinces of Canada. Here, there are good exposures, particularly of the upper Westphalian and lowermost Stephanian, which rival and in some cases better the British sites. However, the position in the Namurian and lower Westphalian is nowhere near as good as in Britain.

In the rest of the palaeoequatorial belt, areas tend to fall into two broad categories. The one that offers the closest comparison with the British sites includes the intra-montane basins, particularly of




Figure 1.5 Late Carboniferous palaeogeography after Scotese (1986; modified from Laveine *et al.*, 1993), showing the position of the palaeoequatorial belt.

central Europe, such as Saar-Lorraine and the Intra-Sudetic Basin. These include coal-bearing sequences, which offer some comparison, especially with the upper Westphalian parts of the British successions. However, both faunally and florally, they differ from the British sites (e.g. Gothan, 1951, 1954). There are also significant differences from a sedimentological standpoint, with the intra-montane sequences representing mainly large-scale lacustrine deposits (Kneuper, 1970; Holub, 1977).

The second category are those areas where marine conditions either occur exclusively (e.g. South China, Urals, Moscow Basin) or predominantly (e.g. Appalachians, northern Spain, North China, Ukraine) (Englund *et al.*, 1979; Wagner *et al.*, 1979, 1983; Martinez Diaz, 1983). The presence of shelf

limestones immediately distinguish these areas from the Upper Carboniferous as seen in Britain. In many of these areas, non-marine conditions become significantly less evident higher in the Upper Carboniferous, and in some cases (e.g. northern Spain) effectively disappear in the upper Stephanian. However, in all of these cases, the Westphalian strata are predominantly marine, and thus quite different from the coeval deposits in Britain.

To conclude, Britain offers a unique opportunity to examine Namurian to basal Stephanian deposits in a primarily terrigenous, fluvio-deltaic setting. Comparable successions can only be found in parts of northwestern and central Europe, and only in Britain is there an extensive suite of exposed and conservable sites.