

# *British Upper Cretaceous Stratigraphy*

**R.N. Mortimore**

Applied Geology Research Unit,  
School of the Environment,  
University of Brighton, UK

**C.J. Wood**

Scops Geological Services Ltd,  
20 Temple Road, Croydon, UK,  
formerly of the British Geological Survey

and

**R.W. Gallois**

92 Stoke Valley Road,  
Exeter UK  
formerly of the British Geological Survey,

GCR Editor: **D. Skevington**


**JOINT  
NATURE  
CONSERVATION  
COMMITTEE**




# *British Upper Cretaceous Stratigraphy*

*'Of all the rocks with which I am acquainted,  
there is none whose formation seems to tax  
the ingenuity of theorists so severely, as the  
White Limestone or Chalk, in whatever  
respect we may think fit to consider it'.*

Thomas Allan, FRS Edinburgh  
1823,  
(*Transactions of the Royal Society of  
Edinburgh*, Volume 9, p. 393).



William Whitaker, (1836–1925), geologist with the Geological Survey, introduced an early lithostratigraphical classification of the Chalk, including the term 'Chalk Rock' in the Chiltern Hills and London Basin, and recognized the horizon subsequently called the 'Spurious Chalk Rock' on the Isle of Wight. (Photograph supplied by Mrs Carreck from the Geologists Association archives.)



Dr Arthur Rowe, considered by many to be the founder of modern palaeontology with his study of *Micraster* (1899) is famous, with Sherborn, for his study of the zones of the White Chalk of the English Coast (1900–1908). (a) Rowe and his daughter, Daphne, c. 1903 at North Landing on the Yorkshire coast, showing giant *Paramoudra* in the Wootton Marls–Ulceby Marl interval (from Rowe, 1904). (b) Rowe and Charles Sherborn c. 1900 at Eastbourne, Sussex (photograph supplied by Professor Andy Gale).

**Published by the Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Monkstone House, City Road, Peterborough, PE1 1JY, UK**

First edition 2001

© 2001 Joint Nature Conservation Committee

Typeset in 10/12pt Garamond ITC by JNCC

Printed in Great Britain by CLE Print Limited on Huntsman Velvet 100 gsm.

ISBN 1 86107 488 3.

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, or criticism or review, as permitted under the UK Copyright Designs and Patents Act, 1988, this publication may not be reproduced, stored, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of the publishers, or in the case of reprographic reproduction only in accordance with the terms of the licences issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in the UK, or in accordance with the terms and licences issued by the appropriate Reproduction Rights Organization outside the UK. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the terms stated here should be sent to the GCR Team, JNCC.

The publisher makes no representation, express or implied, with regard to the accuracy of the information contained in this book and cannot accept any legal responsibility or liability for any errors or omissions that may be made.

#### **British Geological Survey and Ordnance Survey copyright protected materials**

1. The copyright of materials derived from the British Geological Survey's work is vested in the Natural Environment Research Council. No part of these materials (geological maps, charts, plans, diagrams, graphs, cross-sections, figures, sketch maps, tables, photographs) may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, or stored in a retrieval system of any nature, without the written permission of the copyright holder, in advance.

2. To ensure that copyright infringements do not arise, permission has to be obtained from the copyright owner. In the case of BGS maps this includes **both BGS and the Ordnance Survey**. Most BGS geological maps make use of Ordnance Survey topography (Crown Copyright), and this is acknowledged on BGS maps. Reproduction of Ordnance Survey materials may be independently permitted by the licences issued by Ordnance Survey to many users. Users who do not have an Ordnance Survey licence to reproduce the topography must make their own arrangements with the Ordnance Survey, Copyright Branch, Romsey Road, Southampton SO9 4DH (Tel. 01703 792913).

3. Permission to reproduce BGS materials must be sought in writing from the Intellectual Property Rights Manager, British Geological Survey, Kingsley Dunham Centre, Keyworth, Nottingham NG12 5GG (Tel. 0115 936 3331).

4. The National Grid is used on diagrams with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office, © Crown copyright licence no. GD 27254X/01/00.

#### **Recommended example citation**

Mortimore, R.N., Wood, C.J. and Gallois, R.W. (2001) *British Upper Cretaceous Stratigraphy*, Geological Conservation Review Series, No. 23, Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough.

# Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Access to the countryside</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>Preface</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>GCR site selection guidelines and site networks</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>1 The Upper Cretaceous rocks of the British Isles</b>	<b>1</b>
Introduction	3
Definition of the Upper Cretaceous Series	3
Global geological setting	3
Upper Cretaceous palaeogeography, climate and sea-level curves	6
Upper Cretaceous tectonic setting in Europe	7
The rocks of the Upper Cretaceous Series	7
Chalk	9
Marly chalk and marl seams	12
Diagenetic effects: nodular chalks, chalkstones, hardgrounds, soft and hard chalks	15
Flints and trace fossil stratigraphy	15
Greensands, chert beds and limestones	19
Upper Cretaceous stratigraphical framework	19
Upper Cretaceous lithostratigraphy in the British Isles	19
Cyclostratigraphy, episodic events and chemostratigraphy	22
Upper Cretaceous biostratigraphy in the British Isles	23
Correlation	25
<b>2 Fossils of the Chalk and the ecology of the Upper Cretaceous</b>	
<b>Chalk seas</b>	<b>27</b>
Fossils of the Chalk	29
Key biostratigraphical indices	31
Cephalopods: ammonites	31
Cephalopods: belemnites	43
Bivalves: inoceramids	45
Other bivalves	46
Brachiopods	57
Sea urchins: the echinoids	62

## Contents

---

Sea lilies and feather stars: the crinoids	68
Trace fossils	69
Microfossils	71
The ecology of the Chalk seas	78
Integrated ecology; body fossils, trace fossils and isotopes	80
Summary	80
<b>3 Southern Province, England</b>	<b>83</b>
Introduction	85
Tectonic structure and sedimentation history	87
Stratigraphy	88
Lithostratigraphy of the Chalk in the Southern Province	88
Grey Chalk Subgroup	91
White Chalk Subgroup	91
South-west England (south-east Devon, Somerset and west Dorset)	102
Biostratigraphy and chronostratigraphy	103
Hooken Cliff, south-east Devon	106
Wilmington Quarry, south-east Devon	123
Reeds Farm Pit, Wilmington, south-east Devon	130
Furley Chalk Pit, Membury, south-east Devon	133
Snowdon Hill Quarry, Chard, Somerset	137
Shillingstone Quarry, Dorset	141
Dead Maid Quarry, Mere, Wiltshire	148
Charnage Down Chalk Pit, Mere, Wiltshire	152
West Harnham Chalk Pit, Salisbury, Wiltshire	156
White Nothe, Dorset	161
Handfast Point to Ballard Point, Dorset	170
Compton Bay, Isle of Wight	175
Whitecliff, Isle of Wight	186
Downend Chalk Pit, Portsdown, Hampshire	199
Newhaven to Brighton, Sussex	210
Cuckmere to Seaford, Sussex	224
Southerham Grey Pit, Lewes, Sussex	239
Southerham Pit, Lewes, Sussex	253
Folkestone to Kingsdown: Folkestone–Dover and Dover–Kingsdown, Kent	263
Thanet Coast, Kent	282
<b>4 Transitional Province, England</b>	<b>295</b>
Introduction	297
Tectonic structure and sedimentation history	298
Stratigraphy	299
Grey Chalk Subgroup	299
White Chalk Subgroup	303
Fognam Quarry, Berkshire Downs, Berkshire	312
Boxford Chalk Pit, Berkshire	315
Winterbourne Chalk Pit, Berkshire	320
South Lodge Pit, Berkshire	323
Aston Rowant Cutting, Oxfordshire Chiltern Hills	330
Chinnor Chalk Pit, Oxfordshire Chiltern Hills	335
Kensworth Chalk Pit, Bedfordshire Chiltern Hills	342
Barrington Chalk Pit, Cambridgeshire	347

## Contents

---

Caistor St Edmund Chalk Pit, Norwich, Norfolk	351
Catton Grove Chalk Pit, Norwich, Norfolk	355
Overstrand to Trimingham Cliffs, Norfolk	359
<b>5 Northern Province, England</b>	<b>375</b>
Introduction	377
Tectonic structure and sedimentological setting	378
Stratigraphy	380
Lithostratigraphy	381
Ferriby Chalk Formation	381
Welton Chalk Formation	381
Burnham Chalk Formation	382
Flamborough Chalk Formation	382
Rowe Formation	382
Biostratigraphy	384
Cenomanian Stage	384
Turonian Stage	386
Coniacian Stage	387
Santonian Stage	388
Campanian Stage	388
Hunstanton Cliffs, the Wash, Norfolk	389
Melton Bottom Chalk Pit, East Yorkshire	396
Enthorpe Railway Cutting, Yorkshire Wolds	402
Flamborough Head, North and East Yorkshire	408
<b>6 Inner Hebrides Group, north-west Scotland</b>	<b>431</b>
Introduction	433
Tectonic setting and sedimentary history	433
Stratigraphy	433
A litho- and bio-stratigraphy for the Inner Hebrides Group	437
Gribun, Isle of Mull	438
Beinn Iadain and Beinn na h-Uamha, Morven	462
General Review of the Inner Hebrides Group	469
<b>Appendix: Definition of the Upper Cretaceous stages and substages</b>	<b>477</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>487</b>
<b>Glossary</b>	<b>515</b>
<b>Fossil index</b>	<b>529</b>
<b>General index</b>	<b>537</b>

# Acknowledgements

We have been particularly fortunate in the support we have received from various organisations, landowners and individuals. These include The British Geological Survey, particularly Dr Roger Bristow, Peter Hopson and Mark Woods; Rugby Portland Cement Works at Chinnor, Kensworth and Barrington; and Blue Circle Industries plc at Beggars Knoll, Wiltshire. The Staff of English Nature and Scottish Natural Heritage gave permission to visit many sites and, in some cases, reinstated exposures for us to study

Landowners made access to the various sections possible, particularly Mrs. de Kee, Auchnacraig Estate, Loch Don, Isle of Mull; Matthew Wilson, Claggan Schoolhouse, By Lochaline, Morvern, on Beinn Iadain and Beinn na h-Uamha; and Gordon Liney, Manager Tilcon (Scotland Ltd), the Lochaline Mines, Morvern.

We are especially grateful to the many research workers who not only provided helpful advice and comment but, in many cases, also provided us with unpublished data derived from their own researches on the Upper Cretaceous rocks of Britain. Dr Christopher Jeans, University of Cambridge, kindly gave us his rock collection and thin sections from the Inner Hebrides Group, which have been invaluable in interpreting the sedimentary environment of this group. He and Dr Ian Platten also supplied us with copious field notes. Dr Jeans also gave us photographs of the Speeton Cliff sections, Yorkshire, and gave us permission to publish them. Dr Michael Oates (BG Group) contributed information on the Chiltern Hills and discussed the geological history of the Inner Hebrides. Animated discussions with Ray Skelhorn on the origin of the Inner Hebrides Upper Cretaceous sediments confirmed the controversial nature of this group of rocks. Stewart Ulllyott and John Bollard, University of Brighton, helped prepare the cut slabs and thin sections of Scottish Upper Cretaceous rocks. Professor Andy Gale of the University of Greenwich provided many field section logs including those for the Cenomanian of Folkestone, Hunstanton and Speeton and the photographs of Boxford Pit.

Dr Haydon Bailey and Tim Wright of Network Stratigraphic Consulting Limited prepared the microfossil samples and plates using the SEM at the University of Brighton. They and Dr Liam Gallagher and Matthew Hampton also provided invaluable discussion of the nannofossil and microfossil biostratigraphy. Our French colleagues, Dr Bernard Pomerol, Professor Maurice Renard and Dr Pascal Barchi of the University of Paris Marie Curie, researched the geochemistry of the Chalk and provided us with data used in this book.

## Acknowledgements

---

Adrian Morter (formerly of the British Geological Survey) kindly provided unpublished logs of the glacio-tectonic chalk masses of the Norfolk coast and photographs of Yorkshire coast sections

Group Captain Tim Cockerell, University of Cambridge Committee for Aerial Photography, and his staff, gave us great help with selection and preparation of aerial photographs of the coastal geology of England and Scotland and permission to use the images in this book. The staff of the Geological Society Library, particularly Wendy Cawthorne, have been tireless in their searches on our behalf. We also thank Mrs Carreck of the Geologists' Association who searched and found historical photographs in the GA archives, one of which is used in the frontispiece of this book. Jim Bryant of the Palaeontographical Society kindly sought permission for us to use the figures and plates from the Society's monographs.

Finally, the referee, Professor Michael House, and the GCR editor, David Skevington, have been very patient and provided invaluable comment, thereby much improving the manuscript. In particular, David Skevington's detailed comments have been of inestimable value. The painstaking efforts by Susanne White (XIPRESS) on the diagrams and Emma Durham (GCR Production Editor, JNCC) in turning the manuscript into a book have been much appreciated. Neil Ellis (GCR Publications Manager, JNCC) has been a constant source of encouragement. Any mistakes in the science, however, are our own.

# *Access to the countryside*

This volume is not intended for use as a field guide. The description or mention of any site should not be taken as an indication that access to a site is open. Most sites described are in private ownership, and their inclusion herein is solely for the purpose of justifying their conservation. Their description or appearance on a map in this work should not be construed as an invitation to visit. Prior consent for visits should always be obtained from the landowner and/or occupier.

Information on conservation matters, including site ownership, relating to Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs) or National Nature Reserves (NNRs) in particular counties or districts may be obtained from the relevant country conservation agency headquarters listed below:

Countryside Council for Wales,  
Plas Penrhos,  
Ffordd Penrhos,  
Bangor,  
Gwynedd LL57 2LQ.

English Nature,  
Northminster House,  
Peterborough PE1 1UA.

Scottish Natural Heritage,  
12 Hope Terrace,  
Edinburgh EH9 2AS.

# Preface

Writing this book has been much more fun and instructive than we had originally envisaged. The assumption that the stratigraphy of most of the British Upper Cretaceous GCR sites was well established began to fall apart the more sites we reviewed and visited. This has confirmed the need to retain the existing GCR sites and to add to their number.

The review has highlighted numerous unresolved, controversial stratigraphical and sedimentological problems that have a fundamental bearing on our understanding of Late Cretaceous depositional environments.

Fieldwork on the Upper Cretaceous rocks of the Inner Hebrides has forced us into a radical re-think of these deposits and their tectonic setting. We were surprised by the evidence for re-working, probable olistostromes and debris flows and the influence on sedimentation of major tectonic lines such as the Great Glen Fault and the Moine Thrust. Structural partitioning into local depositional basins parallels the situation in Northern Ireland, and the stratigraphy developed there in thicker, more complete sections, is crucial to the interpretation of the Inner Hebrides Group. A controversial, but nonetheless still to be investigated problem is the age of the first volcanic rocks that rest on the Inner Hebrides Group. The published dates are from lavas and lignites well above the basal volcanic rocks and indicate ages around 63–64 million years (Ma). It is, therefore, possible that the earliest volcanic rocks are of latest Cretaceous age and may possibly span the Cretaceous–Palaeogene boundary and include the iridium anomaly that is used as evidence for the inferred end-Cretaceous meteorite impact (bolide) event.

Detailed studies of small parts of the stratigraphy provide models for the formation of Upper Cretaceous rocks. This was superbly demonstrated by Jefferies (1963) in his groundbreaking study of the Plenus Marls, which set a standard for all subsequent Chalk stratigraphical investigations. Another such interval is the highly condensed sediments comprising the Chalk Rock at the boundary between the traditional Middle and Upper Chalk. Correlation between the Chalk Rock localities such as **Fognam Quarry** (Berkshire), **Charnage Down Chalk Pit** and **Dead Maid Quarry** (Wiltshire), **Shillingstone Quarry** (Dorset), and the more expanded successions of **Southerham Pit**, Lewes, (Sussex) and the Isle of Wight, has proved to be highly controversial.

On re-visiting the ice-rafted chalk blocks at Sidestrand and Overstrand on the north coast of Norfolk, an internal stratigraphy to the blocks was discovered, particularly the existence of primary marl seams, which has radically altered our

## Preface

---

view of the stratigraphy and sedimentation during Late Campanian and Early Maastrichtian times, providing an excellent link to the Chalk of the southern North Sea Basin.

Perhaps the most surprising outcome of this review has been the recognition of the absence from the list of GCR sites to date of important sections exposing the Plenius Marls–Melbourn Rock–Black Band succession. This interval spans the Cenomanian–Turonian (C/T) boundary, which has attracted more research papers than any other part of the Late Cretaceous rock column, with the exception of end-Cretaceous extinctions. This attention is owed largely to it representing both a major Oceanic Anoxic Event (OAE), a period of stepwise extinctions, a complete change in sedimentary regime and a possible further bolide event, again leaving an iridium anomaly in the sediment. The correlations between the Black Band of the Northern Province (Lincolnshire–Yorkshire) and the Plenius Marls of southern England have been controversial and again involve crossing major tectonic lines such as The Wash between the Northern and Transitional Chalk provinces, as well as marked differences in sedimentation between the provinces.

The GCR sites described in this book have made – and continue to make – a major contribution to resolving these controversies and to understanding the stratigraphical events in the Upper Cretaceous succession of the UK and globally. The 37 GCR sites are arranged in regional or sub-regional order, based on depositional provinces. The designation of the GCR status of the sites was made, in some cases, more than 20 years ago, with the inevitable result that some of the sites have become degraded, although site management work by the country conservation agencies continues to improve the quality of exposures where this is practical and desirable in the interests of long-term conservation. Nonetheless, in reviewing these sites we have indicated how they link to other important exposures, locally or more widely (cross references to GCR sites are presented in bold typeface). Some of these complementary sites are worthy of consideration for the GCR in the future.

*R.N. Mortimore, C.J. Wood and R.W. Gallois*

December 2000

## *GCR site selection guidelines and site networks*

This volume describes 37 sites that merit GCR status – and thereby long-term conservation – because of their especial significance to the study and understanding of Upper Cretaceous Stratigraphy in Britain. The general principles guiding GCR site selection are described in the introductory GCR volume (Ellis *et al.*, 1996), but can be encapsulated in three broad components:

- **International** geological importance (for example, GSSP sites and palaeontological 'type' sites are included; other sites that have informal, but widely held, international recognition are also selected).
- Presence of 'classic' or **exceptional** features that are scientifically important (for example, 'text-book' examples of particular features or exceptionally rare geological occurrences are included).
- Presence of **representative** Earth science features (for example, features that are characteristic or typical of a fundamental British geological event) that are essential in comprehensively portraying Britain's Earth history. Thus, a site may be selected for showing the most complete *regional* representation of phenomena that are quite widespread.

It should be assumed that an 'internationally' rated site will also be **representative** of an event or process and will logically include **exceptional** features.

In order to ensure true national importance in the selected representative sites, site selection was underpinned by the premise that the particular 'GCR Block' (site selection category) should be represented by the *minimum number* of sites. Only those sites absolutely necessary to represent the most important aspects of Britain's Cenomanian to Maastrichtian stratigraphy were therefore selected; unnecessary duplication of interest was thus minimized.

It must be stressed that not *any* representative site will suffice, and a series of weightings is applied to the general guidelines to help to distinguish the best or most suitable site for the GCR. For example, preference is given to sites with the most extensive or best-preserved record of a certain feature, the most detailed geochronological evidence or a particularly long history of study. Sites that have contributed to the development of the principles and theories of the Earth sciences or have significant potential for future research are also preferred.

In some cases, representative sites were selected for their contribution to a group of related sites, for example, the **Dead Maid Quarry**, Mere, and the

## *GCR site selection guidelines and site networks*

---

**Southerham** group of quarries, Lewes. This applied especially where there is a geographical component in the scientific interest. Such a group of sites may include different aspects of one type of phenomenon, which shows significant variations in its characteristics, for example, in relation to factors such as regional geological setting within a province (e.g. **Hooken Cliff**, south-east Devon). In this case there may be 'core' sites, perhaps those showing the most extensive and best researched sequences, while other sites may demonstrate *significant variations* on the main theme. Nonetheless, it is the group of sites together that remains nationally important.

On an entirely practical level, all selected sites must be conservable, meaning in essence: (a) that development planning consents do not exist or else amendments can be negotiated; and (b) that sites are physically viable, for example, in terms of the long-term stability of exposures and their location with respect to the water-table (Gordon and Campbell, 1992).

To compile the ultimate GCR site list for this GCR Block, extensive consultations were carried out with appropriate Earth scientists, and several hundred sites were assessed before the final listing was produced. There are many problems inherent in producing a truly representative list of nationally important sites that merit conservation. In order to help provide a framework for selecting sites, the concept of GCR Networks is applied.

### **GCR Networks**

The British Chalk outcrop is strongly characterized by faunal and depositional provinces, and it is the intention within the 'representativeness' rationale of the GCR to be able to demonstrate the geological features of all of these provinces from the evidence present in the selected GCR sites. These provinces can be thought of as providing the main basis for Upper Cretaceous GCR Networks, for which linked clusters of representative GCR sites can be selected.

For British Upper Cretaceous Stratigraphy, other GCR Networks, or 'themes', are also represented, for the following features:

- historical importance
- lithostratigraphy
- biostratigraphy
- cyclostratigraphy
- sequence stratigraphy and sea levels
- chemostratigraphy and tephro-events
- tectonic influences

Clearly, any one site may be helpful in elucidating several of these themes and therefore may contribute to more than one GCR network (for example, **Southerham Grey Pit** provides information about litho-, bio-, and cyclostratigraphy as well as tectonic setting and sequence stratigraphy).

It is clear from the foregoing that many factors have been involved in selecting the sites proposed for conservation and described in this volume. Sites rarely fall neatly into one category or another; normally they have assets and characteristics that satisfy a range of the guidelines and preferential weightings used. A full appreciation of the reasons for the selection of individual sites cannot be gained from these few paragraphs. The full justification and arguments behind the selection of particular sites are only explained satisfactorily by the full site accounts given in subsequent chapters. This, after all, is the *raison d'être* of the GCR Series of publications.

---

## *Chapter 1*

# *The Upper Cretaceous rocks of the British Isles*

---

### INTRODUCTION

Probably the two most conspicuous rocks in Europe are chalk and flint (Figure 1.1), and both formed during the Late Cretaceous Epoch. Chalk and flint have been familiar partners in the history of human activity for many millennia. Pre-historic flint tools gave way to flints for military muskets and tinder-boxes in the latter part of the second millennium. Flint has been used extensively as a building material and chalk has been quarried and mined for agricultural and building lime; a carpenter's 'chalk' was a familiar item in the 19th and early 20th centuries in England. Chalk caves were even used as secret stores to avoid taxes in Roman-ruled Judea for commodities such as olive oil.

Chalk is indirectly important in other ways. It is the main aquifer for potable groundwater in England (Brighton taking 98% of its water supply from the local Chalk). It is a major oil reservoir in the North Sea (the giant Ekofisk field is expected to keep producing until the year 2028), and is the deposit in which many major civil engineering works are undertaken (e.g. the Channel Tunnel (Harris *et al.*, 1996a), the Channel Tunnel Rail Link (CTRL)).

Other rocks of Late Cretaceous age are also economically important. In the Morvern area (Argyll and Bute), the Lochaline Sands are so pure that they provide a major source of glass sands for the manufacture of high grade optics.

Stratigraphically, 'the Chalk', used as a proper noun with a capital letter, is taken to be equivalent to the Upper Cretaceous Series, reflecting the dominance of the chalk rock-type in Upper Cretaceous strata. Stratigraphy has been an important tool in helping to locate and select types of chalk for whittings and the types of flints for tools, gun-flint and building.

Uplift of former Chalk seabeds formed the vast landscapes that stretch from the English Downland, through the Champagne plains on the northern edge of the Côte de l'Ile-de-France in the Paris Basin to the Chalk hills of Crimea, Kazakhstan and the Judean Desert. Because of its wide extent across Europe and Middle Asia, Huxley (1868) described the chalk as '... no unimportant element in the masonry in the Earth's crust, impressing its own peculiar stamp on the landscape. The undulating Downs and rounded coombs, covered with sweet-grassed turf, ... have a peacefully domestic and mutton-suggesting prettiness, neither grand

nor beautiful'. Whilst acknowledging Huxley's generality, there is no doubting the grandeur of the White Cliffs that form the coastlines of Flamborough Head, Dover, Beachy Head, the Needles and the Dorset and east Devon coasts.

Naturally, a book on the Upper Cretaceous stratigraphy of the British Isles will be primarily about the history of the English Chalk, but it is important not to forget the relatively small outcrops of richly fossiliferous sandy deposits in south-west England and the less fossiliferous deposits of the Inner Hebrides in Scotland. Nor must it be forgotten that a unique Upper Cretaceous Chalk succession is preserved beneath the basalts of Antrim in Northern Ireland.

### DEFINITION OF THE UPPER CRETACEOUS SERIES

It is from the white Chalk of the Anglo-Paris Basin that the Cretaceous System takes its name (*creta* = Latin for chalk), introduced by the Belgian geologist, Omalius d'Halloy (1822). It was not until the late 20th century, however, that a Lower and Upper division was formalized at the base of the Chalk (strictly, at the base of the Cenomanian Stage, see below; see also Figure 1.2 and the Appendix, this volume).


### GLOBAL GEOLOGICAL SETTING

During the Late Cretaceous Epoch, 100–65 million years (Ma) ago, the supercontinents of Laurasia and Gondwanaland were breaking up and sea levels reached their maximum for the whole of the last 600 Ma, leading to chalk deposits forming on many continental regions of the world, including the British Isles (Figure 1.3). The causes of these geological events have been related to:

- (i) bulging ocean basins (the Late Cretaceous 'super-plume'), which expelled sea water onto continents;
- (ii) carbon dioxide levels being about four times greater than today, keeping continental interiors and polar regions much warmer during winter (DeConto *et al.*, 1998);
- (iii) little or no water being trapped as polar ice, contributing to high sea levels (Hays and Pitman, 1973; Jenkyns, 1980).

*The Upper Cretaceous rocks of the British Isles*

---




**Figure 1.1** Bedding in chalk picked out by flint bands. (a) Regular bedding in Maastrichtian chalk picked out by flint bands, overlain by irregularly bedded flint bands in the top section of the cliff (coral-bryozoan calcarenite bioherms; Danian, i.e. post-Cretaceous, in age), Stevens Klint, Denmark. (b) Irregular bedding in chalk picked out by flint bands and hardgrounds, overlain by regularly bedded flint bands in the top section of the cliff, Etretat, Haute Normandie, France. (Photos: R.N. Mortimore.)

## Global geological setting

Series	Stages	Time span
Upper Cretaceous	65.4 Maastrichtian (Dumont, 1849)	5.9
	71.3 Campanian	12.2
	83.5 Santonian	2.8
	86.3 Coniacian	2.4
	88.7 Turonian	4.6
	93.3 Cenomanian	5.2
Lower Cretaceous	98.5 Albian	13.5
	112 Aptian	9.0
	121 Barremian (Coquand, 1861)	6.0
	127 Hauterivian (Renevier, 1874)	3.0
	130 Valanginian (Desor, 1854)	5.0
	135 Berriasian	7.0
	142	

▲**Figure 1.2** Cretaceous (D'Hallo, 1822) series and stages (Birkelund *et al.*, 1984). Age picks (Ma = million years) based on Obradovitch (1993) and Gradstein *et al.* (1999). (Dates obtained using  $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$  laser fusion on 50–500  $\mu\text{g}$  samples of sanidine from bentonites (volcanic ash/marls) interbedded with precisely dated fossiliferous marine sediments.)

Hancock (1975a,b, 1990) and Hancock and Kauffman (1979) considered the Upper Santonian *Marsupites* Zone as the period when the maximum peak of transgression occurred in the Late Cretaceous Epoch, with a gradual tailing off from that point to a low at the end of the Cretaceous Period. Subsequent studies of sea-level curves and coastal onlap ('Vail') curves, show a much more complex picture in the Late



**Figure 1.3** The distribution of the continents and the oceans 100 million years ago at the beginning of the Late Cretaceous Epoch. (Based on Lambert equal-area Projection,  $N = 43$ ,  $\text{Alpha-95} = 5.2$ ; of Smith and Briden, 1977, p. 57, map 46.)  
 (\* = Earth's axis of rotation.)

## The Upper Cretaceous rocks of the British Isles

Cretaceous Epoch, and suggest that the maximum transgression occurred at or about the beginning of the mid-Turonian (Vail *et al.*, 1977a,b; Vail and Mitchum 1977; Haq *et al.*, 1987, 1988).

A combination of high sea levels and warm, less oxygenated, sea water compared to the present day also gave rise to three periods of black shale formation in the Cretaceous succession known as 'Oceanic Anoxic Events' (OAE of Schlanger and Jenkyns, 1976; Jenkyns, 1980). Two of these OAEs occurred in the Late Cretaceous Epoch, the first at the Cenomanian–Turonian (C/T) boundary (Figure 1.2), resulting in the Plenus Marls and Black Band lithologies 93.3 million years ago.

By the close of the Cretaceous Period, over a very short time period of perhaps only 200 000 years, huge changes had taken place on Earth that led to the disappearance of many fossil groups including the dinosaurs, ammonites, belemnites, inoceramid and rudistid bivalves and many of the chalk-forming calcareous nannoplankton. This extinction event marked the end of the Secondary Era, the Mesozoic. The suggested causes of these cataclysmic changes are controversial, and include inferred meteorite impact(s) in the Yucatan peninsula, Mexico (Chicxulub Crater) and Bombay area, India (Shiva Crater), preceded and followed by exceptional volcanic activity (the Deccan Traps), leading to a 'nuclear winter'. Until now, the Late

Cretaceous rocks of the British Isles contributed little to the arguments because all of the sedimentary evidence at the Cretaceous–Palaeogene ('K/P') boundary, at the time the meteorite impact is believed to have occurred, was thought to have been removed by erosion. It is possible, however, that the small remnants of rock beneath the basalts in the Inner Hebrides at **Gribun** (Mull) and **Beinn Iadain** (Morvern) may preserve the evidence at the boundary. Of particular interest would be the presence of an iridium anomaly, a key indicator of the K/P boundary, which is used as evidence for a bolide impact.

### UPPER CRETACEOUS PALAEOGEOGRAPHY, CLIMATE AND SEA-LEVEL CURVES

The distribution of sediments and fossils in the Upper Cretaceous strata of Britain has been influenced by the existence of two major biogeographical provinces termed the '**Boreal Realm**' and '**Tethyan Realm**' respectively (e.g. Kauffman, 1973; see also Figure 1.4). A third influence from the opening of the Atlantic Ocean in the west may have become increasingly important towards the end of the Cretaceous Period and was also partly responsible for oceanic changes leading to black shale formation at the C/T boundary. The northern Boreal Realm, of presumed colder water, covered the




Figure 1.4 Late Cretaceous biogeographical provinces in Europe. (After Christensen, 1984, fig. 3, p. 315.)

## The rocks of the Upper Cretaceous Series

---

area of the North Sea and northern Europe east to the Crimea and beyond. Most researchers have envisaged the Boreal Realm as the place where belemnites flourished, arriving in the 'British' area either during short-term migrations southwards with pulses of colder water, or during phases of shallowing. This distribution of fossils makes application of a Boreal (or German) stage, substage or zonal scheme, using belemnites, more difficult in southern England, but a scheme of this type works well in the more northern (Yorkshire, Northern Ireland, Inner Hebrides), and shallower shelf areas (East Anglia) of the British Isles.

Many groups of fossils, including ammonites and the unicellular group of microfossils, the planktonic foraminifera, are common in Tethyan Realm deposits, providing an international standard biostratigraphy. The majority of these Tethyan planktonic microfossils were, however, absent in the Boreal Sea (except for short-term invasions with warmer water) and, as a result, two distinct biostratigraphies have been developed that are only tenuously correlated at many levels. The general absence of abundant planktonic foraminifera has led to the development of a zonal scheme based on benthic species in the UK area (Figure 1.5).

The Upper Cretaceous deposits of the British Isles reflect these biogeographical influences and are divided into several depositional and faunal provinces (Figure 1.6) based on differences in rock type and the fossils they contain. Two distinct 'chalk' provinces are the **Southern Province**, which links south-eastwards through the Paris Basin to the Tethyan Realm; and the **Northern Province**, which is more closely related to the northern Boreal Realm. Between these two provinces there is a region in which the diagnostic lithologies and fossils interdigitate, a truly **Transitional Province** (Mortimore, 1983). For each province, standard sections have been identified and described. Within these broad regions, subprovinces can be identified, such as the shelf areas of south-west England, which link westwards into the developing Atlantic Ocean; and the Chalk Rock areas of Wiltshire and Berkshire, containing condensed and fossil-rich deposits (Figure 1.6). Northern Ireland and the Inner Hebrides provinces are separated from each other and from the main outcrop in England. For the purposes of this review the Upper Cretaceous rocks of the **Inner Hebrides** are treated as

belonging to a single province (see Chapter 6 and Figure 1.7), but it is recognized that there are strong structural controls dividing the region into separate basins (Figure 1.8).

### UPPER CRETACEOUS TECTONIC SETTING IN EUROPE

The Late Cretaceous Epoch was a time of major tectonic change on a global scale as well as one of exceptionally high sea levels globally. The supercontinents were breaking up, and Africa was beginning to under-ride and laterally shear along the southern edge of Europe. These global plate tectonic movements caused a change from primarily tensional tectonics to a compressional stress field (Subhercynian tectonic phases), transmitted as strike-slip fault movements across the European Platform on ancient Variscan structures (e.g. Ziegler, 1990). Such plate tectonic stresses, translated along planes (Allen and Allen, 1990), can be used to explain both the geometry of sedimentary bodies in the chalk (e.g. shelf marginal onlap), and the apparently anomalous stratigraphies found in all the provinces and related to erosional channels, slumping and major hiatuses. Such tectonic processes can also explain rhythmic packages of chalk sediment (parasequences) and episodic sedimentation.

Many of the litho- and bio-stratigraphical events recorded at the GCR sites appear to coincide with the sequence boundaries illustrated on the sea-level curve of Haq *et al.* (1987, 1988). The dating on the curve, however, particularly in the Santonian–Campanian interval, is not as accurate as the dating from the field exposures in the Chalk. Some of these events also coincide with tectonic events discussed below. Tectonically-enhanced sequence boundaries appear to be the best explanation for this, and one that combines evidence for both sea-level changes and tectonic movements.

### THE ROCKS OF THE UPPER CRETACEOUS SERIES

Upper Cretaceous rocks of the British Isles (Figure 1.7) include the Chalk of England and Northern Ireland, and the greensands, chert beds and phosphate-rich deposits of the shelf and marginal areas of south-west England, parts of Northern Ireland and the Western Isles and north-west Highlands of Scotland.

## The Upper Cretaceous rocks of the British Isles

Stages		Benthic foraminiferal zones (B)		Traditional zones	Additional modern zones	Subzones			
Lower Maastrichtian (pars)		B6	ii i	UKB21	<i>Belemnella lanceolata sensu lato</i> (pars)	<i>Belemnella sumensis</i>			
		B5	ii i	UKB20		<i>Belemnella obtusa</i> <i>Belemnella pseudobtusa</i> <i>Belemnella lanceolata sensu stricto</i>			
Campanian	Upper	B4	i	UKB19	<i>Belemnitella mucronata sensu lato</i>	<i>Belemnitella minor</i> II			
		B3	iv	UKB18		<i>Belemnitella minor</i> I			
			ii/iii	UKB18		<i>Belemnitella woodi</i>			
			i	UKB17		<i>Belemnitella mucronata sensu stricto</i>			
			*						
Lower	B2	iii ii i	UKB16	<i>Goniotentibis quadrata</i>		'Overlap zone'			
					<i>Applinocrinus cretaceus</i>				
					<i>Hagenowia blackmorei</i>				
Santonian	Upper	B1	iii ii	UKB15	<i>Offaster pilula</i>	<i>Uintacrinus anglicus</i>			
			i		<i>Marsupites testudinarius</i>				
Coniacian	Lower			UKB14	<i>Uintacrinus socialis</i>				
					UKB13	<i>Micraster coranguinum</i>	<i>Cordiceramus cordiformis</i> <i>Cladoceramus undulatopectatus</i> <i>Magadiceramus subquadratus</i> <i>Volviceramus involutus</i> <i>Volviceramus koeneni</i> <i>Inoceramus gibbosus</i>		
						UKB12	<i>Micraster cortestudinarium</i>	<i>Cremnoceramus crassus inconstans</i> <i>C. inconstans</i> <i>C. waltersdorfensis hannourensis</i> <i>C. deformis erectus</i>	
							UKB11	<i>Sternotaxis plana</i>	<i>Prionocyclus germari</i>
Turonian	Middle			UKB10	<i>Subprionocyclus neptuni</i>				
					UKB9	<i>Terebratulina lata</i>	<i>Collignoniceras woollgari</i>		
						<i>Mytiloides labiatus sensu lato</i>	<i>Mammites nodosoides</i> <i>Fagesia catinus</i> <i>Watinoceras devonense</i>		
Cenomanian	Lower				<i>Neocardioceras juddii</i>				
					14	UKB8	<i>Metoicoceras geslinianum</i>		
					13	UKB7	<i>Calycoceras guerangeri</i>		
					12	UKB6	<i>Acanthoceras jukesbrownei</i>		
					11ii	UKB5	<i>Acanthoceras rhotomagense</i>	<i>Turrilites acutus</i>	
					11i	UKB5		<i>Turrilites costatus</i>	
					10	UKB4	<i>Cunningtoniceras inerme</i> <i>Mantelliceras dixonii</i>		
Albian	Upper				9	UKB3	<i>Mantelliceras saxbii</i>		
					8	UKB2	<i>Sharpeiceras schlueteri</i>		
					7	UKB1	<i>Mantelliceras mantelli</i>	<i>Neostlingoceras carcitanense</i>	
					6			<i>Stoliczkaia dispar</i>	<i>Arraphoceras briacensis</i> <i>Durnovarites perinflatum</i>

These macrofossil zones are now subdivided using substage concepts based largely on ammonites and inoceramid bivalves. Concentrations of fossils producing marker beds are also widely used (see Figures 2.3, 2.8, 2.9, 2.22 and 2.27).

**Figure 1.5** Zones of the Upper Cretaceous Chalk.  
(\* = Gap in UKB scheme; \*\* = UKB zonal scheme modified for this book.)

## The rocks of the Upper Cretaceous Series




Figure 1.6 Depositional and faunal provinces in the Chalk of England.

### Chalk

Chalk is generally considered to be a soft, very pure white limestone. It is formed from millions of submicroscopic marine algae (nannoplankton), which bloomed in the surface waters of seas and oceans and whose skeletal remains found their way to the seabed. It was T.H. Huxley who introduced the name 'coccolith' for these minute calcareous algae that he observed as objects in deep-sea sediments dragged from the floor of the Atlantic Ocean. Sorby (1879, p. 78) recognized that

chalk was primarily composed of coccoliths with few of the typical constituents of a deep-sea ooze. Nevertheless, Sorby considered that the chalk must have formed originally as a lime-mud on a deep-sea floor because of the absence, in very pure chalk, of fragments of land-derived rocks or volcanic rocks. The depth at which chalk formed on a sea or ocean floor occupied considerable discussion until the latter part of the 20th century. It is now generally agreed that the Chalk formed at depths between 100 and 500 m across the UK, with the depth varying from shallower shelf areas where tidal channels

## The Upper Cretaceous rocks of the British Isles





Figure 1.7 Upper Cretaceous GCR sites in the British Isles.

might have been present to deeper parts of the basin. The Chalk of northern England is considered by many researchers to be a deeper water deposit than the equivalent age chalks of southern England.


Surprisingly, through most of the early 20th century, the work of Huxley and Sorby was forgotten and it was Black (1953) who re-introduced the idea that chalk was an organic deposit, using carbon replicas of coccoliths to investigate chalk with the transmission electron microscope (Hancock, 1980). The chalk-forming coccoliths are the remains of single-celled, planktonic calcareous, golden-brown

algae (coccolithophorids), which comprise a skeleton of calcitic plates or rings (coccoliths; see Figure 1.9) formed into a coccosphere coated with a carbonaceous organic material (Jordan *et al.*, 1995; Young *et al.*, 1997; Bown, 1998). The resulting chalk-ooze is an extremely fine-grained, pure, often soft, low-magnesium calcite limestone (micrite). Silicates have also been found as post-depositional coatings on coccoliths (Glasser and Smith, 1986) and these may have a profound effect on the properties of chalks. Other important calcitic microfossil components include the calcispheres and foraminifera (Figure 1.10).

## The rocks of the Upper Cretaceous Series



**Figure 1.8** Broad structural features affecting sedimentation of the Upper Cretaceous deposits in the British Isles. (Based on British Geological Survey 1:1 000 000 maps of the Geology of the UK, Ireland and Continental Shelf, North and South Sheets.)




**Figure 1.9** Some common nannoliths in chalk illustrating the variety of grain shapes constituting different chalks, as seen under the Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM). (a) Rhabdoliths (R) and coccoliths (C) in the Newhaven Chalk Formation from Paulsgrove, Portsdown (magnification  $\times 6000$ ). (b) A soft, low density coccolithic chalk; Newhaven Chalk Formation from Arundel (BRES9) (magnification  $\times 2200$ ). (c) A high density chalk from below the Brighton Marl, Seaford Head, Sussex; the blocky crystals are *Micula*, Newhaven Chalk Formation (magnification  $\times 5500$ ). (d) *Nannoconus* from Strahan's Hardground, Lewes, Sussex, a very high density chalk (magnification  $\times 13\ 100$ ). (Photos: R.N. Mortimore, 1979.)

Chalk is unusual in two ways. Firstly, unlike many limestones, chalk is entirely planktonic (and biogenic) and the evolution of calcareous nannoplankton in Mesozoic times was required before such sediment could form; coccolithic chalk is not, therefore, found in Palaeozoic rocks. Secondly, the planktonic, coccolithic origin of the sediment in the top 40 m of sea or ocean water means that the resulting carbonate rock is very widespread and not restricted, as is the case with most limestones, to stable platforms.

### **Marly chalk and marl seams**

The Chalk in England changes composition upwards through the rock column. In the Grey Chalk Subgroup (see p. 20) there is much more clay (e.g. Destombes and Shephard-Thorn, 1971) and the mixture of chalk and clay produces marl. In contrast to the Grey Chalk Subgroup, the White Chalk Subgroup, on average, is greater than 98% pure calcium carbonate and this purity is related to the great distance from land of the British area, caused by



**Figure 1.10** Common components of chalk: calcispheres and foraminifera under SEM. (a) Calcisphererich, high density, nodular chalk (HG3) with Lewes Tubular Flints, South Portal, Lewes Tunnel (magnification  $\times 205$ ). (b) Oval-shaped *Pitbonella* from a high-density hardground in the Lewes Nodular Chalk Formation, Lewes Tunnel, BH1, depth 24.2 m (magnification  $\times 1050$ ). (c) Foraminifera-rich (multi-chambered) coccolithic chalk, Grimes Graves Pit 15, Norfolk (magnification  $\times 1100$ ). (d) *Calcisphaerula* from a high-density nodular bed in the Lewes Chalk, Lewes Tunnel, BH1, depth 21.6 m (magnification  $\times 1160$ ). (Photos: R.N. Mortimore, 1979.)

the Late Cretaceous transgression of the sea onto the continents. Marl seams are more horizon-specific concentrations of clay (Figure 1.11), forming conspicuous marker beds traceable in the field and borehole cores as well as being recorded on geophysical borehole logs (Mortimore and Pomerol, 1987; Barker *et al.*, 1984).


It was long suspected that many marl seams in the Chalk were of volcanic origin (i.e. that they were decomposed volcanic ashes). This idea has turned out to be correct. Wray's work

on the trace-element chemical fingerprinting of the marls (Wray and Gale, 1993), and the identification of some of them as vulcanogenic (Wray, 1995, 1999), is of great importance in supporting stratigraphical correlations from expanded to condensed sections, and in lithostratigraphical and biostratigraphical correlation between the Chalk provinces (e.g. Mortimore and Wood, 1986; Mortimore and Pomerol, 1987).

In the weathered state, some of the 0.10 m to 0.15 m-thick marl seams display a distinctive

*The Upper Cretaceous rocks of the British Isles*

---



**Figure 1.11** Marl seams in the Chalk. (a) Marl seam (arrowed) in Newhaven Chalk Formation, Newhaven, Sussex, showing cavities developed on a former perched water table. The coin is about 25 mm in diameter. (b) Flaser marl seams (arrowed) forming a pair, New Pit Chalk Formation, Beachy Head, Sussex. The hammer is 130 mm long. (Photos: R.N. Mortimore.)

foxy-brown colour, which contrasts with the surrounding off-white chalk, but in borehole cores they are usually coloured in shades of green and grey. Rare earth element (REE) analyses of the clay minerals of the Northern Province (Turonian–Coniacian) marl seams has permitted a differentiation into detrital marls, and marls of vulcanogenic origin derived from the argillization of air-borne volcanic ash (Wray and Wood, 1998). These marl seams, of whichever origin, are represented on downhole wireline logs of boreholes by conspicuous 'spikes', which can be used for long-range correlation (Mortimore and Wood, 1986; Mortimore and Pomerol, 1987). The vulcanogenic marls are equivalent to the contemporaneous tuffs of north German successions (cf. Wray and Wood, 1995; Wray *et al.*, 1996), and are believed to correlate directly with them, and also with vulcanogenic marls of the Southern and Transitional provinces (Wray, 1999). This is now leading to the development of a Europe-wide framework of isochronous ashfall events, the so-called 'tephro-events' of event stratigraphy (Ernst *et al.*, 1983). Similar marl seams are present throughout the Newhaven Chalk and Flamborough Chalk formations, but have not yet been subjected to REE analysis (Figures 1.11 and 1.12).

### **Diagenetic effects: nodular chalks, chalkstones, hardgrounds, soft and hard chalks**

Nodular chalks and hardgrounds represent syndepositional hardening of seabed sediment, and characterize particular lithological units such as the Lewes Nodular Chalk Formation. A lithification series can be recognized from incipient nodules to fully developed hardgrounds (Bromley, 1965, 1975a,b; Kennedy and Garrison, 1975). Because some hardgrounds formed by the lithification of erosion surfaces, one hardground may cut down to coalesce with another, giving rise to thicker units of 'chalkstone' such as the Chalk Rock of Wiltshire.

For stratigraphical studies, the potential loss of sediment (and hence stratigraphy) caused by dissolution is significant. Some researchers have suggested that up to 50% of the Yorkshire Chalk has been lost to pressure solution. A further curiosity, still not fully explained, is the softness

of great thicknesses of chalk across Europe compared to other limestones. This has often been related to the stability of the low-magnesium calcite forming the coccoliths (Neugebauer, 1973, 1974; Scholle, 1974; Hancock and Scholle, 1975; Hancock, 1975a).

In England, there are both regional (Bloomfield *et al.*, 1995) and stratigraphical differences in hardness, density, porosity and strength (Mortimore *et al.*, 1990; Mortimore and Pomerol, 1998). In areas of generally hard chalk (Northern Ireland, the Northern Province and, in the Southern Province, parts of Dorset and the Isle of Wight), stylolites have developed as a result of pressure-solution.

### **Flints and trace fossil stratigraphy**

One of the most conspicuous features of pure, white European chalks is the rhythmic layering of black flint (Figure 1.1). In contrast to chalk, flint is a very hard, brittle, siliceous material, formed in bands in the more carbonate-rich chalks. Bromley (1967) dissolved large blocks of chalk with flint in acid and found he was left with a branching network similar to the structure of modern crustacean (thalassinid) burrow systems in some shallow marine carbonates. Subsequently he illustrated the variety of animal burrowing, boring and feeding trace activity in the Chalk seabed that was preserved in flint. Submicroscopic studies of flint show that it has formed by replacing chalk in every detail, at a sufficiently early stage to preserve original, undeformed textures, fabrics and soft tissues (Clayton, 1986; Bromley and Ekdale, 1986). As a result, trace fossils are wonderfully preserved (e.g. Bromley and Ekdale, 1984a). Many of these preservation levels are horizon-specific (Figure 1.13), providing an additional stratigraphical tool for correlation (e.g. Mortimore and Pomerol, 1991b). Silicification of trace fossils produces many distinctive styles of flint including paramoudra (Bromley *et al.*, 1975) (Figure 1.14), *Zoophycos*, sheet-flint and the common thalassinid horn-flints (Figures 2.35–2.39, Chapter 2).

Flint styles are regionally, as well as stratigraphically, distributed, Northern Province flints presenting a quite different grey colour and form (with many tabular layers), compared to those of the Southern Province.

# The Upper Cretaceous rocks of the British Isles






Figure 1.12 Correlation of key marker marl seams and tephro-events in Europe.

*The rocks of the Upper Cretaceous Series*

---



**Figure 1.13** Types of nodular and sheet-flint in chalk. (a) Semi-tabular flint bands (arrowed) above the Lewes Marl, with broad horizons of scattered nodular/tubular flints (Lewes Tubular Flints) below, beside the figures. (b) Sheet-flint forming in slip scars (arrowed), Newhaven Chalk Formation, Newhaven. (Photos: R.N. Mortimore.)



**Figure 1.14** Paramoudra flints. (a) Giant flint in the Sidestrand Western Mass, north Norfolk coast. The hammer is 320 mm long. (b) Paramoudra with internal, hardened chalk core, foreshore at Dumpton Gap, Thanet Coast, Kent. The pencil is 160 mm long. (Photos: R.N. Mortimore.)

### **Greensands, chert beds and limestones**

Transgression of the Upper Cretaceous sea onto the shelf areas of south-west England, East Anglia, Northern Ireland and the Inner Hebrides produced shallow-water deposits of glauconite-rich greensands, glauconitic marls, quartz sands and chert beds. In south-west England, instead of chalks, the Cenomanian strata are characterized by highly condensed successions, with limestones rich in ammonites (e.g. Hancock, 1969).

### **UPPER CRETACEOUS STRATIGRAPHICAL FRAMEWORK**

The last major review of the Upper Cretaceous stratigraphy in the UK was by Jukes-Browne and Hill (1903, 1904), and this classic memoir has now stood as a monument to geological research for nearly 100 years. Following this memoir, which established the Lower, Middle and Upper Chalk as the three main lithostratigraphical divisions throughout England, Chalk stratigraphical studies were largely biostratigraphical and the traditional macrofossil assemblage zones (Table 1.1) became the main reference for most researchers. Surprisingly, it was not until Hancock (1959) applied the international standard ammonite zones for the Cenomanian Stage from the Le Mans area of France that researchers began to look seriously at a zonation based on an international scheme. Subsequently, the International Stratigraphic Commissions have come into being and the Subcommittee on Cretaceous Stratigraphy has defined the criteria for recognizing the stages of the Upper Cretaceous Series (Birkelund *et al.*, 1984; Rawson *et al.*, 1996; see also Appendix, this volume). The research to define these stages and their subdivisions is continuing, and the UK successions are critically important to this investigation.

For the purposes of the present review the Upper Cretaceous deposits of the UK are described in four chapters that correspond to the main depositional and preservational regions, i.e. to the provinces (Figures 1.6 and 1.7). The western margins of the outcrop in south-east Devon, Wessex, the Western Isles and north-west Highlands and Northern Ireland are areas of shelving and condensation of sediments. The Anglo-Brabant Massif (Figure 1.8) also acted as a positive area where thin

sediments and intra-Upper Cretaceous submarine channels were active. The condensed sediments in the shelf areas often contain a rich diversity of fossil remains and so, historically, exposures in these areas have attracted the most attention. The more basinal areas of south-east England contain more complete, thicker successions and are the sites of lithostratigraphical – and even some potential stage or substage basal boundary – stratotypes. The Upper Cretaceous GCR sites reflect these variations, with each site being linked to other locally important exposures to further illustrate the stratigraphy and sedimentology.

### **UPPER CRETACEOUS LITHOSTRATIGRAPHY IN THE BRITISH ISLES**

For nearly a century following Penning and Jukes-Browne (1881) and Jukes-Browne and Hill (1903, 1904), lithostratigraphy consisted of a simple threefold division of the Upper Cretaceous Chalk into Lower, Middle and Upper divisions, which, because they were the mapping units, were effectively three formations. Subdivision of these lithostratigraphical units employed biostratigraphy, mostly the macrofossil assemblage zones of Hébert (1874) and Barrois (1876), as modified and interpreted by Rowe (1900–1908), Brydone (1912) and Gaster (1924).

In the 1970s three areas were investigated quite independently and new lithostratigraphical divisions were recognized. The Geological Survey of Northern Ireland introduced a refined lithostratigraphy for the Ulster White Limestone Formation, which was divided into 14 members spanning the Santonian, Campanian and Maastrichtian stages (Fletcher, 1977). Within these members numerous lithostratigraphical marker beds were also recognized. In the Northern Province of England (Lincolnshire and Yorkshire), Wood and Smith (1978) found they could map four formations, with a fifth recognized in the subcrop (Figures 1.15, 1.16, and Figure 5.3, Chapter 5). As in Northern Ireland, numerous marker beds of flint, marl and fossil-rich bands have been formally recognized.

The Southern Province Upper Cretaceous sediments, predominantly chalk, are more varied than those in the Northern Province, and a new lithostratigraphy took longer to develop

## *The Upper Cretaceous rocks of the British Isles*

**Table 1.1** Mapping units and formal and informal lithostratigraphical terms. Key references for the Chalk of each Province are shown.

Northern Province				
Old units	Mapping units (formations)	Local formal names	Local informal names	Key references
Upper Chalk	Flamborough Chalk Formation Burnham Chalk Formation		Flamborough Sponge Bed	Wood and Smith (1978) Whitham (1991, 1993) Mitchell (1995a, 2000)
Middle Chalk	Welton Chalk Formation	Plenus Marls Member	Black Band	Key BGS Memoirs Hull and Brigg (1992) Grimsby and Patrington (1994) King's Lynn and The Wash (1994)
Lower Chalk	Ferriby Chalk Formation		Nettleton Stone Totternhoe Stone	
	Red Chalk Formation			
Transitional Province				
Old units	Mapping units (formations)	Local formal names	Local informal names	Key references
Upper Chalk	Norwich Chalk (informal) Portsmouth Chalk Formation Culver Chalk Formation Newhaven Chalk Formation Seaford Chalk Formation Lewes Nodular Chalk Fmn		Paramoudra Chalk Beeston Chalk Catton Sponge Bed Weybourne Chalk Pre-Weybourne Chalk Basal Mucronata Chalk Chalk Rock Brandon Flint Series	Peake and Hancock (1961, 1970) Ward <i>et al.</i> (1968) Mortimore and Wood (1986) Wood (1988) Johansen and Surlyk (1990)
Middle Chalk	New Pit Chalk Formation Holywell Nodular Chalk Fmn	Plenus Marls Member Glauconic Marl Mbr/ Cambridge Greensand	Melbourn Rock	Key BGS Memoirs Leighton Buzzard (1994) Norwich (1989) Hitchin (1996) King's Lynn and The Wash (1994) Great Yarmouth (1994)
Lower Chalk	Zig Zag Chalk Formation West Melbury Marly Chalk Fmn		Nettleton Stone Totternhoe Stone	
Southern Province				
Old units	Mapping units (formations)	Local formal names	Local informal names	Key references
Upper Chalk	Portsmouth Chalk Formation Culver Chalk Formation Newhaven Chalk Formation Seaford Chalk Formation Lewes Nodular Chalk Fmn	Studland Chalk Member Spetsbury Chalk Member Tarrant Chalk Member Chalk Rock (Member in parts of Wiltshire/Berkshire)	Dover Chalk Rock (North Downs)	Bristow <i>et al.</i> (1997) Mortimore (1986a, 1997) Mortimore and Pomerol (1987) Rawson <i>et al.</i> (2001)
Middle Chalk	New Pit Chalk Formation Holywell Nodular Chalk Fmn	Plenus Marls Member	Melbourn Rock	Key BGS Memoirs Lewes (1987) Brighton and Worthing (1988) Shaftesbury (1995) Wincanton (1999)
Lower Chalk	Zig Zag Chalk Formation West Melbury Marly Chalk Formation		Beer Head Formation (Devon) Glauconic Marl Member	


..... Approximate boundaries of old units

(Mortimore, 1983, 1986a; Robinson, 1986). As mapping progressed in central Dorset, the relationship between lithostratigraphy, geomorphology and field brash enabled the British Geological Survey to establish a refined mapping stratigraphy. The informal Lower, Middle and Upper Chalk subdivisions were redefined as formations (Bristow *et al.*, 1997), and divided into nine mappable members. These members are based largely on the

stratigraphy already established in Sussex (Mortimore, 1983, 1986a).

In November 1999 the UK Stratigraphic Commission of the Geological Society of London and the British Geological Survey agreed that the Upper Cretaceous Chalk Group in England would be divided into two subgroups within which the main mapping units would be formations (Rawson *et al.*, 2001). The Grey Chalk Subgroup is taken from the base of the

## British Upper Cretaceous lithostratigraphy



**Figure 1.15** Simplified structural map showing the main features affecting sedimentation of the Upper Cretaceous deposits of England.

Glauconitic Marl to the base of the Plenus Marls Member. The remaining Chalk from the base of the Plenus Marls to the top of the Chalk is placed in the White Chalk Subgroup. In the Northern Province the Grey Chalk Subgroup contains one formation only (Ferriby Chalk Formation). In the Southern and Transitional provinces the Grey Chalk Subgroup contains two formations (the West Melbury Marly Chalk Formation at the base and the overlying Zig Zag Chalk Formation). In south-west England the

Grey Chalk Subgroup is represented by the highly condensed Wilmington Sands and the Cenomanian Limestone.

The White Chalk Subgroup has its base at the erosion surface beneath the Plenus Marls Member in the Southern and Transitional provinces, and beneath the variegated beds forming the 'Black Band' of the Northern Province. Within the condensed succession of south-east Devon this erosion surface lies at the base of Bed C (in most places) of the so-called

## The Upper Cretaceous rocks of the British Isles




Figure 1.16 Schematic diagram showing the comparison between the Northern Province and Southern Province chalk stratigraphies.

'Cenomanian Limestone'. The White Chalk Subgroup is divided into three Chalk formations in the Northern Province; the Welton Chalk, Burnham Chalk and Flamborough Chalk formations, with a fourth, the Rowe Formation, in the subcrop. In the Southern Province the succession extends well above that of the Northern Province and there are seven mapping formations; but here two of the four members are also mapped (Figures 1.15, 1.16 and Table 1.1).

The use of formation status for the main mapping units allows greater flexibility in recognizing lateral changes in lithology. Where, for example, entry of flint along the south-

western margin occurs in the New Pit Chalk Formation, this can be recognized as a member subdivision (e.g. Beer Roads Member, Jarvis and Woodroof, 1984).

### CYCLOSTRATIGRAHY, EPISODIC EVENTS AND CHEMOSTRATIGRAHY

In the Chalk, recognition of a background alternation of more and less calcareous layers (Figure 2.2, Chapter 2) has been used to establish a cyclostratigraphy for parts of the Cenomanian and Turonian successions (Gale, 1990a, 1995,

1996). The cycles have been analysed using oxygen ( $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ ) stable isotope data of the carbonates to calculate an inferred sea-water palaeotemperature difference of  $4^\circ\text{C}$  between the marl (cooler)–limestone (warmer) alternations at Folkestone (**Folkestone to Kingsdown** GCR site) and **Southerham Grey Pit**, southern England (Ditchfield and Marshall, 1989). Using absolute dating, it has been shown (Gale, 1989; Gale *et al.*, 1999) that the marl–limestone couplets of the Cenomanian succession reflect orbital forcing of sedimentation related to the precession cycle of the Milankovitch Band. Gale (1995) has developed a cyclostratigraphy for the Cenomanian succession based on Milankovitch cycles.

Volcanic ash beds (tuff), interbedded with marine sediments rich in fossil ammonites and inoceramid bivalves in the Western Interior Basin of North America, have been dated and provide the most reliable timescale for the Late Cretaceous Epoch (Obradovitch, 1993). Volcanic events are episodic rather than cyclic. The fossil assemblages, which are time-constrained by these episodic events, particularly the inoceramid bivalve assemblages, have been correlated to the English Chalk and provide a more controlled time-framework than fossil assemblages on their own.

The Chalk also contains geochemical signals related to oceanographic pulses, climate change and volcanic events. Conspicuous variation in the curves for the stable isotopes of carbon ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ) and oxygen ( $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ ), combined with peaks of manganese (Pomeroy, 1976, 1983) and iridium (Pratt *et al.*, 1991) and strontium, are used as stratigraphical marker beds.

## UPPER CRETACEOUS BIOSTRATIGRAPHY IN THE BRITISH ISLES

By the middle of the 19th century there was sufficient knowledge of the distribution of fossils for d'Orbigny (1847, 1850, 1852) to produce lists of typical fossils for the subdivision of the Upper Cretaceous Series into stages. D'Orbigny's stage concepts came largely from the Chalk and marginal coarse bioclastic chalks of the Paris Basin, particularly the southern margins around Le Mans (Sarthe, Cenomanian) and Touraine (Turonian). The Senonian Stage was based on the Chalk around Sens in the Yonne and the uppermost stage, the

Maastrichtian, originally distinguished by Dumont (1849), was based on the coarse, bioclastic chalks around Maastricht in the Netherlands.


The interval corresponding to d'Orbigny's broad Senonian Stage was further subdivided by Coquand (1856, 1857, 1858) into the Coniacian, Santonian and Campanian stages based on sections around Cognac, Saintes and Charante, in the Aquitaine Basin, France. It was not until 1983 that these latter subdivisions finally replaced the 'Senonian' as the accepted, formal stages of the Upper Cretaceous Series (International Subcommittee on Cretaceous Stratigraphy; Birkelund *et al.*, 1984).

Subdivision of the Upper Cretaceous stages into macrofossil assemblage zones was also formalized in the Paris Basin Chalks by Hébert (1863, 1866, 1874, 1875) and Barrois (1875). The zones recognized in the Paris Basin were extended to the Kent coast by Hébert (1874) and, later, by Barrois (1876) to all of the Chalk of England and Northern Ireland. It was these zones that became the framework for Chalk studies in the UK up until the 1970s. The earliest [British] Geological Survey memoirs followed Barrois in listing fossils by zones (e.g. Reid, 1897, 1898, 1903) and this method was continued in the memoir by Jukes-Browne and Hill (1903, 1904) on the Cretaceous Rocks of England.

Detailed studies of the zones of the Chalk in England began with Rowe (1900–1908). Later, the zones were mapped in parts of England including Hampshire (Griffith and Brydone, 1911; Brydone, 1912), Sussex (Gaster, 1924–1951), and East Anglia (Hewitt, 1924, 1935; Peake and Hancock, 1961, 1970).

Monographs on each fossil group were published during the 20th century, but it is the work on two internationally important groups, the ammonites and inoceramid bivalves, that has revolutionized global correlation in the Upper Cretaceous succession. In this respect, the work of Kennedy (e.g. Kennedy, 1971) on the ammonites is of special note. However, apart from horizons of preservation such as hardgrounds, the originally aragonite-shelled ammonites are generally rare in white chalk facies. Because of this, zonal schemes, originally worked out in central Europe, using the calcitic shells of inoceramid bivalves (e.g. Tröger, 1989) have been increasingly applied to the zonation and long-range correlation of the English Chalk.

## The Upper Cretaceous rocks of the British Isles



**Figure 1.17** Schematic and simplified stratigraphy of the Chalk and related carbonates in north-west Europe.

It was Jefferies (1963), however, who began the modern approach to Chalk biostratigraphy with his detailed collecting, bed-by-bed, in the Plenus Marls of England and the Paris Basin. A further development has been the recognition in Germany of bio-event horizons, where particular species are abundant at one level, and these abundance levels have been used to construct an event stratigraphy for the Chalk (Ernst *et al.*, 1983; Wood *et al.*, 1984) that can be used for long-range correlation.

Despite the enormous research effort on biostratigraphy and palaeontology over nearly two centuries, it was only in 1984 (Birkelund *et al.*, 1984) that Upper Cretaceous stages were finally recommended. The base of the Upper Cretaceous Series is currently defined in Europe and is taken at the base of the Cenomanian Stage. The basal marker is the first appearance of the planktonic foraminifer *Rotalipora globotruncanoides* in the basal boundary stratotype section at Mont Risou in the

Vocontian Basin in south-eastern France, the candidate Global boundary Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) (Tröger and Kennedy, 1996; see Appendix, this volume). This is virtually coincident with, but slightly lower than, the entry of the ammonite *Mantelliceras mantelli*, the zonal index fossil of the basal Cenomanian ammonite Zone (Figures 2.4 and 2.8, Chapter 2). In southern England, as in many areas of northern Europe, there is a hiatus representing perhaps 1 to 2 million years of sedimentation between the Albian and Cenomanian ages. In eastern England, for example the **Hunstanton Cliffs** GCR site and correlative sites on the East Midlands Shelf, such as the **Melton Bottom Chalk Pit** GCR site, the hiatus between the Albian and Cenomanian lies between the Hunstanton Red Chalk Formation and the Paradoxica Bed at the base of the Ferryby Chalk Formation (see Mitchell, 1995a, figs 11, 12). In the expanded section at Speeton Cliff, in the Cleveland Basin (**Flamborough Head** GCR

## Correlation

Northeast Belgium	Northern Netherlands	Denmark	Sweden (SW Skåne)	Central Trough (Danish)	Central Trough (Norwegian)	Revised JCR 2000	
		Unit 6	Limestone	Sequence H	Ekofisk Chalk	Ekofisk Chalk	Lower Palaeocene
Chalk	Ommalanden Chalk	Unit 5	Chalk Limestone	Sequence G	Tor Chalk	Tor Chalk	Maastrichtian
		Unit 4		Sequence E/F			
		a	Limestone/sandstone	Sequence D		Magne	Campanian
		Unit 3	Limestone/sandstone	Sequence C		Thud	Santonian
		c	Limestone	Sequence B	Hod Chalk	Narve	Coniacian
		Unit 2	Limestone				Turonian
	Texel Marlstone	a		Sequence A	Plenus Marls	Blodoks	
		Unit 1			Hidra Chalk	Hidra Chalk	Cenomanian

Figure 1.17 – cont.

site), there is an apparently continuous, unbroken succession, albeit without ammonites, but with the bivalve *Aucellina*, across the boundary (Figure 5.25, Chapter 5). In this latter section, the lowest part of the Cenomanian succession is also in Red Chalk facies.

An 'Upper Cretaceous Series' divided into six 'stages' is now recognized (Figure 1.2). Each of the six stages of d'Orbigny (1847, 1850, 1852) and Coquand (1857) is made up of substages and zones based on a wide range of fossil taxa (Figure 1.5). Since the latest review of Upper Cretaceous stages (Rawson *et al.*, 1996), new research, particularly on inoceramid bivalves, has led to modifications of the taxa used to define the basal boundaries of stages and substages (Chapter 2, and Appendix, this volume). These new data are added to the appropriate site descriptions and further discussion of the stage concepts is given in the Appendix. Formerly, the basal boundary of a stage was generally marked by the base of a fossil zone. The selection of

alternative criteria is now permitted, such as a palaeomagnetic reversal, a geochemical event, a cyclostratigraphical couplet or the first or last occurrence of a fossil.

### CORRELATION

The Chalk is unusual in that many individual beds can be correlated over great distances, for example the Upper Turonian marker marl seams that are traceable from Sussex northwards to Yorkshire, eastwards to Germany, and southwards through the Paris Basin. These marls form part of a tephro-event stratigraphy (Figure 2.9, Chapter 2); the vulcanogenic Barton Marl 1 of the Northern Province is correlated with the Glynde Marl 1 of the Southern Province, and the Melton Ross Marl with the Southerham Marl 1 of southern England (Wray and Wood, 1998). These are inferred to correlate, respectively, with tuff T<sub>C</sub> (Wray *et al.*, 1996) and with an as yet unclassi-

## The Upper Cretaceous rocks of the British Isles

fied tuff in northern Germany (Figure 1.12). The conspicuous, black, detrital Grasby Marl of the Northern Province, which is inferred to correlate with the Malling Street Marl of the Southern Province, probably equates with the thick  $M_0/M_{TEUTO}$  detrital marl (Wray *et al.*, 1996) of northern Germany. In contrast to the Southern Province, the thick, detrital, New Pit Marls (Figure 2.9, Chapter 2) appear not to be developed in the north, unless one or other of them is represented by the Croxton Marl (Figure 1.12).

Another long-distance correlatable feature is the palaeomagnetic reversal from the long Cretaceous Quiet Zone, magnetochron 34N, to 33R (Figure 2.3, Chapter 2) in the Lower Campanian strata beneath the Old Nore Marl

(Barchi, 1995). However, Montgomery *et al.* (1998) regard this magnetic reversal as occurring lower, in the Santonian. Despite this apparent simplicity, the lateral correlations break down over major tectonic structures.

The preserved onshore Upper Cretaceous deposits of the British Isles are incomplete, generally ending in the Campanian Stage, except in Norfolk, where they end in the Lower Maastrichtian. Offshore, in the North Sea Basin, central English Channel, and Western Approaches basins the successions are more complete, different sedimentologically, and different lithostratigraphies apply (Figure 1.17). Here the Upper Cretaceous successions locally extend up to the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary.



Figure 1.17 Lithostratigraphic and magnetic polarity correlation chart for the Upper Cretaceous in the North Sea Basin, English Channel, and Western Approaches.

*[This section contains extremely faint and illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]*