

Family	Common name	Scientific name	Demographic parameter	Age class	Age (years)	Mean	Standard deviation	0.025 confidence interval	0.975 confidence interval	Standard error	Study area	Region	Country	Number of years in study	Data collection method (MR - mark-recapture; RR - Ring-recovery; Joint - ring-recovery and mark-recapture)	Estimation method (VR - variable recapture; CR - constant recapture)	Study Period	Reference (all references are listed in the main report)	Cited by
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	survival	adult		0.800								7	MR			Grosskopf 1964	Robinson and Ratcliffe 2010
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.118	0.072				Kodikarai		India	1				1982 Holloway 1993	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.520	0.188						UK	27			1969-1998	Ratcliffe et al 2000	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.640	0.381			0.110	Dalchalm	N Scotland	UK	12			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.290	0.339			0.080	Sands of Forvie	NE Scotland	UK	18			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.560	0.741			0.280	Lossiemouth	NE Scotland	UK	7			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.570	0.492			0.110	Long Nanny	NE England	UK	20			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.290	0.268			0.060	Gibraltar Point	NE England	UK	20			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.570	0.630			0.190	Crimdon Dene	NE England	UK	11			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.420	0.447			0.100	Easington Lagoons	NE England	UK	20			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.640	0.679			0.160	Lindisfarne	NE England	UK	18			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.650	0.610			0.140	Great Yarmouth	E England	UK	19			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.440	0.523			0.120	Scolt Head	E England	UK	19			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.470	0.436			0.100	Blakeney	E England	UK	19			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.350	0.538			0.170	Benacre	E England	UK	10			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.990	0.569			0.180	Hamford Water	E England	UK	10			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.200	0.387			0.100	Chichester Harbour	SE England	UK	15			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.850	0.933			0.330	Hayling Island, Langstone	SE England	UK	8			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.360	0.436			0.100	Langstone Harbour	SE England	UK	19			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.410	0.392			0.090	Rye Harbour	SE England	UK	19			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.260	0.224			0.050	Chesil Bank	SW England	UK	20			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.960	0.671			0.150	Gronant	Wales	UK	20			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.320	0.358			0.080	Hodbarrow	NW England	UK	20			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			1.010	0.828			0.190	Kilcoole	SE Ireland	UK	19			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.456	0.482			0.136		North	UK	20			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.464	0.506			0.138		South	UK	20			1986-2005	Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	productivity			0.518	0.518				National average		UK					Ratcliffe et al 2000; Mavor et al 2008	
Terns	Little tern	<i>Sternula albifrons</i>	age of recruitment			>2												Schönert 1961	Cramp 1985

To assess **quality**, the estimate is scored on the number of years considered by the study, the number of individuals included per year and whether an estimation of the range or error is available with the estimation. To assess **representation**, the estimate is scored on whether the data reflects a UK-based study, includes recent data (<10 years old), and whether the trajectory of the study colony reflects the current UK population trend. Consequently, this scoring system assesses representation at the national scale. Each criterion receives a 0 for “no”, 1 for “partially or unknown and therefore requiring further evaluation”, and 2 for “yes”, scoring quality and representation individually out of 6. Where an estimate combines several studies that conflict on specific criteria, a 1 was awarded to signify partial characterisation. Notation: A - adult, J - juvenile, S - stable, Mixed - mixed, I - increasing, D - decreasing, U - unknown.

Data Quality

Species	Age	Current UK pop. trend	Survival				Productivity				Age of recruitment				Missed breeding				Dispersal			
			≥5 years	>30 Individual yr ⁻¹	Range of values available	Total	≥5 years	>30 Individual yr ⁻¹	Range of values available	Total	≥5 years	>30 Individual yr ⁻¹	Range of values available	Total	≥5 years	>30 Individual yr ⁻¹	Range of values available	Total	≥5 years	>30 Individual yr ⁻¹	Range of values available	Total
Little tern	A	M	2	1	0	3	2	2	2	6	1	1	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Data Representation

Species	Age	Current UK pop. trend	Survival				Productivity				Age of recruitment				Missed breeding				Dispersal			
			UK data	Current data	Current trend	Total	UK data	Current data	Current trend	Total	UK data	Current data	Current trend	Total	UK data	Current data	Current trend	Total	UK data	Current data	Current trend	Total
Little tern	A	M	1	0	1	2	2	0	2	4	1	0	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-