

British Lower Carboniferous Stratigraphy

P.J. Cossey

Department of Geology, School of Sciences,
Staffordshire University, College Road, Stoke-on-Trent ST4 2DE

A.E. Adams

Department of Earth Sciences,
University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL

M.A. Purnell

Department of Geology,
University of Leicester, University Road, Leicester LE1 7RH

M.J. Whiteley

Barrisdale Limited, 16 Amberley Gardens, Bedford MK40 3BT

M.A. Whyte

Department of Environmental and Geological Sciences,
University of Sheffield, Dainton Building, Brookhill, Sheffield S3 7HF

V.P. Wright

Department of Earth Sciences,
Cardiff University, PO Box 914, Main Building, Park Place, Cardiff CF10 3YE

with contributions from

P. Gutteridge (Cambridge Carbonates Limited, Nottingham)

N.J. Riley (British Geological Survey, Keyworth)

J. Miller (Department of Continuing Education, Edinburgh)

G.M. Walkden (Department of Geology and Petroleum Geology, University of Aberdeen)

GCR Editor: L.P. Thomas

**JOINT
NATURE
CONSERVATION
COMMITTEE**



First edition 2004

© 2004 Joint Nature Conservation Committee

Typeset in 10/12pt Garamond ITC by JNCC

Printed in Great Britain by CLE Print Limited on Huntsman Velvet 100 gsm.

ISBN 1 86 107 499 9

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, or criticism or review, as permitted under the UK Copyright Designs and Patents Act, 1988, this publication may not be reproduced, stored, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of the publishers, or in the case of reprographic reproduction only in accordance with the terms of the licences issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in the UK, or in accordance with the terms and licences issued by the appropriate Reproduction Rights Organization outside the UK. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the terms stated here should be sent to the GCR Team, JNCC.

The publisher makes no representation, express or implied, with regard to the accuracy of the information contained in this book and cannot accept any legal responsibility or liability for any errors or omissions that may be made.

British Geological Survey and Ordnance Survey copyright protected materials

1. The copyright of materials derived from the British Geological Survey's work is vested in the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC). No part of these materials (geological maps, charts, plans, diagrams, graphs, cross-sections, figures, sketch maps, tables, photographs) may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, or stored in a retrieval system of any nature, without the written permission of the copyright holder, in advance.
2. To ensure that copyright infringements do not arise, permission has to be obtained from the copyright owner. In the case of BGS maps this includes **both BGS and the Ordnance Survey**. Most BGS geological maps make use of Ordnance Survey topography (Crown Copyright), and this is acknowledged on BGS maps. Reproduction of Ordnance Survey materials may be independently permitted by the licences issued by Ordnance Survey to many users. Users who do not have an Ordnance Survey licence to reproduce the topography must make their own arrangements with the Ordnance Survey, Copyright Branch, Romsey Road, Southampton SO9 4DH (Tel. 023 8079 2913).
3. Permission to reproduce BGS materials must be sought in writing from the Intellectual Property Rights Manager, British Geological Survey, Kingsley Dunham Centre, Keyworth, Nottingham NG12 5GG (Tel. 0115 936 3331).
4. The National Grid is used on diagrams with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office, © Crown copyright licence no. GD 27254X/01/00.

Recommended example citations

- Cossey, P.J., Adams, A.E., Purnell, M.A., Whiteley, M.J., Whyte, M.A. and Wright, V.P. (2004) *British Lower Carboniferous Stratigraphy*, Geological Conservation Review Series, No. 29, Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough, 616 pp.
- Whiteley, M.J. (2004) Culm Trough. In *British Lower Carboniferous Stratigraphy* (P.J. Cossey, A.E. Adams, M.A. Purnell, M.J. Whiteley, M.A. Whyte and V.P. Wright), Geological Conservation Review Series, No. 29, Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough, pp. 477–504.

Cover illustration: Folded marine rocks of the Lower Carboniferous (Arundian) Birnbeck Limestone at the Flat Holm GCR site in the Bristol Channel (see Chapter 9 for further details). Photograph by P.J. Cossey.

Contents

Acknowledgements	xiii
Access to the countryside	xv
Preface <i>P.J. Cossey</i>	xvii
1 Introduction to British Lower Carboniferous stratigraphy	1
<i>P.J. Cossey and A.E. Adams</i>	
Introduction	3
Scope of this volume	6
Relevant literature	6
Structural setting	7
Stratigraphy	7
Rationale for GCR site selection	10
2 Midland Valley Basin	13
<i>M.A. Whyte</i>	
Introduction	15
Cove, Scottish Borders	27
Barns Ness Coast, East Lothian	30
East Sands–Buddo Ness, Fife	34
Randerston Coast, Fife	36
Elie–Anstruther, Fife	38
Kingshorn Coast, Fife	41
Inveriel Quarry, Fife	44
Kinnesswood, Perth and Kinross	46
Roscobie Quarry, Fife	48
Queensferry Shore, City of Edinburgh	50
Joppa Shore, City of Edinburgh	52
Bilston Burn, Midlothian	53
Skolie Burn, West Lothian	58
Petershill, West Lothian	59
Gargunnock Burn, Stirling	62
Touchadam, Stirling	65

Contents

Todholes, Stirling	68
Corrie Burn, East Dunbartonshire	71
Ballagan Glen, Stirling	74
Bridge of Weir, Renfrewshire	76
Waulkmill Glen and Rouken Glen, City of Glasgow	79
River Calder, South Lanarkshire	81
Paduff Burn, North Ayrshire	84
Trearne Quarry, North Ayrshire	88
Linn Spout, North Ayrshire	93
Corrie Shore, Arran, North Ayrshire	94
Bracken Bay–Longhill Point, South Ayrshire	98
Garpel Water, East Ayrshire	100
Kennox Water, South Lanarkshire	102
3 Northumberland Trough	107
<i>M.A. Purnell and P.J. Cossey</i>	
Introduction	109
Kirkbean, Dumfries and Galloway	118
Ellery Sike, Cumbria	124
Birky Cleugh, Cumbria	127
Whitberry Burn, Cumbria	130
Oakshaw Ford, Cumbria	133
Redesdale Ironstone Quarry, Northumberland	134
Tipalt Burn, Northumberland	137
Brunton Bank Quarry, Northumberland	141
Greenleighton Quarry, Northumberland	144
Corbridge Limestone Quarry, Northumberland	147
Roddam Dene, Northumberland	149
Glebe Quarry, Northumberland	151
Colour Heugh–Bowden Doors, Northumberland	153
Burnmouth, Scottish Borders	155
Spittal Shore, Northumberland	159
Penton Linns, Dumfries and Galloway–Cumbria	164
4 Lake District Block and Alston Block	167
<i>A.E. Adams and P.J. Cossey</i>	
Introduction	169
Meathop Quarry, Cumbria	178
Skelwith Hill, Cumbria	180
Iron Pit Spring Quarry, Cumbria	182
Elliscales Quarry, Cumbria	183
Barker Scar, Cumbria	185
Trowbarrow Quarry, Lancashire	188
Humphrey Head, Cumbria	191
Yeathouse Quarry, Cumbria	193
Clints and Steelbarrow Quarries, Cumbria	196
Rogerley Quarry, County Durham	198

Contents

5 Stainmore Basin and Askrigg Block	203
<i>P.J. Cossey and A.E. Adams</i>	
Introduction	205
Wasdale Beck, Cumbria	213
Pinskey Gill, Cumbria	214
Stone Gill–Scandal Beck, Cumbria	217
Ash Fell Edge, Cumbria	221
Little Asby Scar, Cumbria	223
Janny Wood, Cumbria	226
Sleightholme Beck, County Durham	229
How Gill, County Durham	231
Malham, North Yorkshire	234
Settle, North Yorkshire	237
School Share, North Yorkshire	243
Cracoe Knolls and Swinden Quarry, North Yorkshire	245
Meal Bank Quarry, North Yorkshire	249
Pen-y-ghent Gill, North Yorkshire	251
Whitfield Gill–Mill Gill, North Yorkshire	253
 6 Craven Basin	 257
<i>P.J. Cossey, N.J. Riley, A.E. Adams and J. Miller</i>	
Introduction	259
Chatburn Bypass, Lancashire	265
The Knolls, Lancashire	268
Salthill and Bellmanpark Quarries, Lancashire	271
Coplow Quarry, Lancashire	274
River Hodder, Lancashire	276
Sykes Quarries, Lancashire	280
Dowshaw Delf Quarry, North Yorkshire	282
Pendle Hill, Lancashire	284
Saleswheel, Lancashire	288
Artle Beck, Lancashire	290
Holywell Bridge, North Yorkshire	292
Haw Crag, North Yorkshire	295
Clints Quarry, North Yorkshire	297
Hambleton Quarry, North Yorkshire	299
 7 Derbyshire Platform, North Staffordshire Basin and Hathern Shelf	 303
<i>P.J. Cossey, P. Gutteridge, M.A. Purnell, A.E. Adams and G.M. Walkden</i>	
Introduction	305
Wye Valley and Cressbrook Dale, Derbyshire	313
Duchy Quarry, Derbyshire	319
Castleton, Derbyshire	321
Pindale Quarry, Derbyshire	327
Ricklow Quarry and Monyash Quarry, Derbyshire	329
National Stone Centre, Derbyshire	332

Contents

Cawdor Quarry, Derbyshire	335
Baileycroft Quarry, Derbyshire	336
Dale Quarry, Derbyshire	339
Dovedale, Derbyshire–Staffordshire	341
Wetton to Beresford Dale, Staffordshire–Derbyshire	345
Brown End Quarry, Staffordshire	349
Caldon Low Quarry, Staffordshire	352
Cauldon Railway Cutting, Staffordshire	354
Grace Dieu, Leicestershire	357
Ticknall Quarries, Derbyshire	359
Breedon Cloud Quarry, Leicestershire	361
8 North Wales Shelf	365
<i>A.E. Adams and P.J. Cossey</i>	
Introduction	367
Lligwy Bay, Isle of Anglesey, Gwynedd	372
Tandinas Quarry, Isle of Anglesey, Gwynedd	374
Flagstaff Quarry, Isle of Anglesey, Gwynedd	376
Trwyn Dwlban, Isle of Anglesey, Gwynedd	378
Great Orme, Gwynedd	380
Little Orme, Gwynedd	383
Pentre-bach Quarries, Clwyd	384
Eglwyseg Mountain, Clwyd	385
9 South Wales–Mendip Shelf	393
<i>A.E. Adams, V.P. Wright and P.J. Cossey</i>	
Introduction	395
Llanelly Quarry, Gwent	406
Clydach Halt Lime Works, Gwent	411
Blaen Onneu Quarry, Powys	414
Odynau Tyle'r Bont, Powys	417
Baltic Quarry, Powys	419
Cwar yr Ystrad and Hendre, Powys	421
Blucks Pool–Bullslaughter Bay, Dyfed	425
Tenby Cliffs, Dyfed	429
Three Cliffs Bay, Gower, West Glamorgan	431
Pwlldu Head, Gower, West Glamorgan	434
Caswell Bay, Gower, West Glamorgan	436
Bracelet Bay, Gower, West Glamorgan	439
Ilston Quarry, Gower, West Glamorgan	441
Oystermouth Old Quarry, Gower, West Glamorgan	444
Tongwynlais Road Section, South Glamorgan	445
Brofiscin Quarry, Mid Glamorgan	447
Danygraig, Gwent	449
Stenders Quarry, Gloucestershire	451
Scully Grove Quarry, Gloucestershire	453
Edgehill Sand Quarry, Gloucestershire	454
Avon Gorge, Bristol, Avon	457
Burrington Combe, Somerset–Avon	462

Contents

Maesbury Railway Cutting, Somerset	465
Vallis Vale, Somerset	467
Cook's Wood Quarry, Somerset	469
Flat Holm, Bristol Channel	471
Barnhill Quarry, Avon	473
10 Culm Trough	477
<i>M.J. Whiteley</i>	
Introduction	479
Fremington Quay, Devon	485
Park Gate Quarry, Devon	487
Kersdown Quarry, Devon	489
Kiln Cottage Quarry, Devon	491
West Whipcott Quarry, Devon	493
Stout's Cottage Quarry, Devon	495
Yeolmbridge Quarry, Cornwall	496
Viverdon Down Quarry, Cornwall	498
Spara Bridge, Devon	501
References	505
Glossary	573
Fossil Index	587
Index	597

The authors are specially indebted to N.J. Riley of the British Geological Survey who refereed the entire manuscript prior to publication and who made valuable suggestions that have led to its improvement. Thanks are also due to the BCR Production Production team: N.E. Ellis (Production Manager), E.L. Tushnet, A.J. Carter, N. Gosselin (Production Editors), W.A. Whitham (formerly Head of the BCR unit), and the BCR Editor L.R. Thomas for their professional guidance in the work was being undertaken, and to J.S. Publications (S. White and C. Parnell) for the production of the artwork for the book.

In addition, the senior author expresses his sincere thanks to his co-authors for their various contributions, staff at Southampton University in the Thompson Library and in the School of Science (especially G. Barker, M. Jennings, J. Sims, G. Stewart, A. Vulliamy and members of the Geology staff group) for their help and support as the volume was in preparation, and to Rose Tiffany for her support, patience and encouragement during the entire course of the project, for without it this volume would surely never have been completed.

Acknowledgements

In compiling this account of key sites of importance to the understanding of 'British Lower Carboniferous Stratigraphy' the authors received advice and support from a great many colleagues. Grateful thanks are therefore extended to all those who gave help, either in the site selection process, in literature gathering, in the supply of illustrations or previously unpublished information, in reading early draft material for this volume, or with copyright issues. These include N. Aitkenhead, J. Alexander, R.S. Arthurton, T. Bain, J. Benson, S. Campbell, H. Chalk, J.I. Chisholm, C.J. Cleal, F.W. Cope, B. Cox, R. Crosby, P.F. Dagger, J.R. Davies, S.K. Donovan, A.C. Dunham, T.D. Ford, D.V. Frost, R.L. Gawthorpe, D.C. Greig, P. Gutteridge, A.C. Higgins, A.V. Hodgson, S. Howells, G.A.L. Johnson, G. Kelling, M.R. Leeder, D. Lewis, A. Ludford, C. MacFadyen, K. Maguire, I. Metcalfe, J. Miller, M. Mitchell, N. Morgan, P.G. Morris, D.J.C. Mundy, J.R. Nudds, T. Palmer, D. Owen-Roberts, B. Owens, J. Pattison, P. Phillips, N.A.H. Pickard, G. Power, J.E. Prentice, W.H.C. Ramsbottom, R. Riding, N.J. Riley, W.B. Scott, E.B. Selwood, J. Shirley, J.G.O. Smart, I.D. Somerville, D.I. Steward, J.M. Thomas, J.W. Tilsley, A.E. Timms, B.R. Turner, W.J. Varker and G.M. Walkden.

The authors are specially indebted to N.J. Riley of the British Geological Survey who refereed the entire manuscript prior to publication and who made valuable suggestions that have led to its improvement. Thanks are also due to the GCR Publication Production team: N.V. Ellis (Publications Manager), E.L. Durham, A.J. Carter, N. Cousins (Production Editors), W.A. Wimbledon (formerly head of the GCR unit), and the GCR Editor L.P. Thomas for their professional guidance as the work was being undertaken; and to J S Publications (S. White and C. Pamplin) for the production of the artwork for the book.

In addition, the senior author expresses his sincere thanks to his co-authors for their various contributions; staff at Staffordshire University in the Thompson Library and in the School of Sciences (especially G. Barlow, M. Jennings, J. Sims, G. Skerratt, A. Willits and members of the Geology staff team) for their help and support as the volume was in preparation; and to Rosie Tiffany for her support, patience and encouragement during the entire course of the project, for without it this volume would surely never have been completed.

Access to the countryside

This volume is not intended for use as a field guide. The description or mention of any site should not be taken as an indication that access to a site is open. Most sites described are in private ownership, and their inclusion herein is solely for the purpose of justifying their conservation. Their description or appearance on a map in this work should not be construed as an invitation to visit. Prior consent for visits should always be obtained from the landowner and/or occupier.

Information on conservation matters, including site ownership, relating to Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs) or National Nature Reserves (NNRs) in particular counties or districts may be obtained from the relevant country conservation agency headquarters listed below:

Countryside Council for Wales,
Maes-y-Ffynnon,
Penrhosgarnedd,
Bangor,
Gwynedd LL57 2DW.

English Nature,
Northminster House,
Peterborough PE1 1UA.

Scottish Natural Heritage,
12 Hope Terrace,
Edinburgh EH9 2AS.

Preface

Britain has often been referred to as the birthplace of modern geology and its islands are considered to include the greatest diversity of geological phenomena of any country of equivalent size on Earth. It is therefore no surprise that British geological sites have been particularly influential in the development of the Earth sciences, and that some of the oldest and the most fundamental of geological principles were originally established within the British Isles. Subsequently, these sites have been important in many areas: in the training of countless generations of geologists, as a test-bed for the development of new geological concepts and theories, and in the unravelling of many colourful pages in Earth history. Others have proved critical in the definition of geological time periods now recognized throughout the world. In fact the 'Carboniferous' was the first of the modern 'systems' to be named. The name was proposed by William Daniel Conybeare and William Phillips from British successions in 1822.

In order to learn more about Britain's rich Earth science heritage it is clear that the most important geological localities need to be documented and effectively conserved for the use of future generations. The launch of the Geological Conservation Review (GCR) in 1977 marked a significant stage in the development of a coherent geological conservation strategy in Britain. No other country has attempted a systematic and comprehensive review of its Earth science sites on anything like the same scale. The primary objective of the GCR was to identify a series of sites that would represent the key features of British geology and geomorphology. This ambitious site assessment and selection programme involved a widespread consultation process (with scientists from higher education, government, industry and the voluntary sector) and the consideration of many hundreds of sites, until a consensus was reached among collaborating specialists about which British sites were of sufficient geological interest to justify conservation.

To ensure that the original GCR site assessments were based on a firm logical and scientific foundation, the range of scientific interest was based upon around 100 subject 'blocks', reflecting the natural divisions of stratigraphy, palaeontology, igneous petrology, mineralogy, structural and metamorphic geology, and geomorphology. The 'Dinantian of Scotland', the 'Dinantian of northern England and North Wales', the 'Dinantian of southern England and South Wales', the 'Dinantian of Devon and Cornwall', and the 'Namurian' were five of these blocks. Each of these blocks (but only a part of the Namurian Block; see page 6) is considered in the present volume on 'British Lower Carboniferous Stratigraphy'.

Preface

This volume summarizes the results of the GCR assessment and selection programme of British Lower Carboniferous sites conducted in the late 1970s and 1980s. The minimum criterion for site selection was that sites should offer the finest and/or the most representative sequence/feature for illustrating a particular aspect of British Lower Carboniferous stratigraphy. The resulting Lower Carboniferous GCR sites are thus, at the very least, of national scientific importance and many of these include features regarded as either 'classic' (i.e. a 'text-book example') or simply 'unique'. Some are, in addition, visually spectacular. Others, such as the stratotype sites, have a particularly high international conservation value and are of considerable importance to the global geological community.

These GCR sites have been used as building blocks for establishing a new set of Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs). If no additional features of special scientific interest were recognized at, or adjacent to the GCR site, a proposal will have been made to notify the site as a geological SSSI for its Lower Carboniferous interest alone. If, however, other features of special scientific interest (either geological or biological) had been recognized at, or adjacent to the Lower Carboniferous GCR site, a composite SSSI would have been proposed, perhaps made up of several overlapping GCR sites and/or areas of special biological interest. Despite the heterogeneous nature of such composite sites, it is important to remember that their Lower Carboniferous interest alone would be sufficient justification of their SSSI status. Details of the Lower Carboniferous GCR sites identified in this survey have been sent to the appropriate national conservation agency (English Nature, Scottish Natural Heritage, Countryside Council for Wales), whose governing bodies are responsible for their notification as SSSIs.

This volume is not intended to be a field guide to British Lower Carboniferous sites, nor does it address any practical problems concerning their future conservation. Its remit is to put on record the scientific justification for conserving the sites, documenting and evaluating the significance of the features found there, and placing them in a wider stratigraphic context. The volume records details of our knowledge of sites available at the time of writing, in 1998–2001, and must be seen in this context. Each site report begins with a general 'Introduction' in which summary statements relating to site geology, location, literature and the site's importance are presented. Detailed 'Description' and 'Interpretation' sections then follow; these sections being unavoidably couched in technical language, because the conservation value of each site is mostly based on a specialist understanding of the stratigraphical, sedimentological and palaeontological features present. The depth of the interpretation varies considerably across the sites, as over the years, some have been more thoroughly investigated than others. Each site report ends with a 'Conclusions' section which is, wherever possible, cast in less technical language, in order to help the layman.

Finally, it should be emphasized that this volume does not provide a 'fixed list' of important Lower Carboniferous sites. Stratigraphy, like any other science, is a rapidly evolving subject and progressive developments in our understanding of Lower Carboniferous stratigraphy, arising perhaps from new discoveries or the formulation of new concepts, may require changes to the Lower Carboniferous GCR site network in the future. Indeed, during the writing of this volume six new sites have been proposed for addition to the GCR site list (mostly in the north of England) and proposals to modify the boundaries to a further 18 existing GCR sites are planned to accommodate such new developments. In addition, it is anticipated that further sites of importance will be identified in the future. Nevertheless, there is still much to learn about the GCR sites documented here, many of which are as important today – in increasing our knowledge and understanding of Lower Carboniferous geology – as they were when they were first

Preface

selected. It is the authors' view that these sites will, undoubtedly, provide the core of the Lower Carboniferous GCR site network for many years to come. We therefore hope that this account will clearly demonstrate the value of British sites to Lower Carboniferous stratigraphy and the importance of the sites within the wider context of Britain's outstanding scientific and natural heritage.

Introduction to British Lower Carboniferous stratigraphy

P.J. Cossey and A.E. Adams

Chapter 1

Introduction to British Lower Carboniferous stratigraphy

P.J. Cossey and A.E. Adams

INTRODUCTION

The Lower Carboniferous Subsystem is without doubt one of the most important subsystems in the British stratigraphical column, and its rock formations (responsible for some of the finest coastal and upland scenery) form an important element of Britain's outstanding geological heritage. Historically, these formations have proved pivotal in the development of evolutionary theory and in the understanding of ancient tropical marine environments. Recent scientific advances in these areas have led to sophisticated refinements in modern stratigraphical practice (Riley, 1993) and to a greatly improved level of understanding of the development of carbonate depositional systems (Tucker and Wright, 1990). In addition, the Lower Carboniferous sequence has been used as a test-bed for contemporary concepts in basin evolution and seismic sequence stratigraphy (Leeder, 1982, 1987a; Gawthorpe, 1987a; Gawthorpe *et al.*, 1989; Ebdon *et al.*, 1990; Martinsen, 1993; Chadwick *et al.*, 1995; Kirby *et al.*, 2000), and as a model for the exploration of metallogenic mineral deposits and subsurface petroleum reservoirs (Grayson and Oldham, 1987; Barrett, 1988; Burchette *et al.*, 1990; Fraser and Gawthorpe, 1990; Chadwick *et al.*, 1993a; Besly, 1998). The Lower Carboniferous Subsystem is, however, best known economically for its supply of raw materials (especially limestones and mudrocks) to the construction industry. The outcrop distribution of Lower Carboniferous rocks in Britain is illustrated in Figure 1.1.

The 'Carboniferous' – a term first used by Conybeare and Phillips (1822) – was the first of the modern 'system' names to be used for a Phanerozoic time period (Ramsbottom, 1981). Its formal subdivision into the Dinantian (Munier-Chalmas and de Lapparent, 1893) and the Silesian (van Leckwijck, 1960) subsystems of Lower and Upper Carboniferous age respectively, was formally adopted at Krefeld in 1971 (see George and Wagner, 1972). However, following the International Union of Geological Sciences (IUGS) Subcommission on Carboniferous Stratigraphy's recent definition of a Mid-Carboniferous boundary and GSSP (Global Stratotype Section and Point) at Arrow Canyon in Nevada, USA (see Lane *et al.*, 1985, 1994; Lane, 1995; Metcalfe, 1997) the concept of Lower and Upper Carboniferous has been

revised such that the lower part of the Silesian sequence (including the Pendleian and Arnsbergian stages) is now included within the upper part of the Lower Carboniferous succession (Figure 1.2); although regrettably there is, as yet, no formal agreement regarding either the names or status of these two new major subdivisions of the Carboniferous System (Metcalfe, 1998; Riley, 1998). It is this revised concept of Lower and Upper Carboniferous that has been used by the Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC) in its Geological Conservation Review (GCR) volumes (see Ellis *et al.*, 1996) on British Lower Carboniferous stratigraphy (this volume) and British Upper Carboniferous stratigraphy (Cleal and Thomas, 1996). For further information on the historical development of the classification of the Carboniferous System, see George *et al.* (1976), Ramsbottom *et al.* (1978), Ramsbottom (1981, 1984), Wagner and Winkler Prins (1994) and Cleal and Thomas (1996).

Although a significant number of scientists have contributed to our current understanding of British Lower Carboniferous geology, certain names stand out as having been particularly influential. Among the most notable of these are Morton, Vaughan, Garwood, Parkinson, Hudson, Bisat, T.N. George, Johnson and Ramsbottom, each of whom is renowned especially for his exceptional and in some cases pioneering work, over a great many years, on aspects of Lower Carboniferous stratigraphy. Other significant contributors to Lower Carboniferous biostratigraphy and/or palaeontology include Conil, Fewtrell and Strank (foraminifera), Clayton, Higgs, Neves and Owens (miospores), Armstrong, Austin, Higgins, Metcalfe, Purnell, Reynolds, Rhodes and Varker (conodonts), Mitchell and Nudds (corals), Brunton, Mundy and Pattison (brachiopods), J. Wright (echinoderms) and Riley (all groups, but especially trilobites and ammonoids). Important contributions to Lower Carboniferous geology have also been made by Adams, Gawthorpe, Gutteridge, Horbury, Leeder, J. Miller, Somerville, Walkden and V.P. Wright on aspects of the sedimentology. Of particular significance has been the immeasurable contribution made by officers of the British Geological Survey (BGS) in the production of numerous geological maps and memoirs covering areas of Lower Carboniferous outcrop.

Introduction to British Lower Carboniferous stratigraphy




Figure 1.1 Outcrop map illustrating the distribution of Lower Carboniferous (Dinantian and early Namurian) rocks in Britain. Note that in most areas the position of the Mid-Carboniferous boundary, close to the base of the Chokierian Stage, remains ill-defined and falls within the limits of the Namurian outcrop area. In south-west England, for similar reasons, this boundary falls within the limits of the Silesian outcrop area. Based on various sources and including information from [British] Geological Survey maps of the area (mainly Institute of Geological Sciences, 1979a,b).

Introduction

System	Subsystem (1972)	Subsystem (proposed)	Series		Stages	Stages (British)
Carboniferous (part)	Silesian (part)	Upper Carboniferous or Pennsylvanian (part)	Bashkirian	Namurian	Chokierian	Chokierian
			Serpukhovian		Arnsbergian	Arnsbergian
					Pendleian	Pendleian
	Dinantian		Viséan	Warnantian	Brigantian	
				Livian	Asbian	
				Moliniacian	Holkerian	
					Arundian	
			Tournaisian	Ivorian	Chadian	
				Hastarian	Courseyan	

Figure 1.2 Lower Carboniferous chronostratigraphy – the Heerlen Classification. After Metcalfe (1997).

Britain offers some of the finest sections of Lower Carboniferous strata in western Europe and has been at the forefront of Lower Carboniferous stratigraphical research since the first biostratigraphical zonation schemes were established by Vaughan, Garwood and Bisat in the earlier part of the 20th century. Arguably the most significant of these schemes was produced by Vaughan (1905) in his seminal account of the faunal succession (coral-brachiopod zonation) in the Carboniferous Limestone at **Avon Gorge**, Bristol (see GCR site report, Chapter 9). A similar biostratigraphical scheme for the subdivision of the Lower Carboniferous sequence was later developed by Garwood (1913, 1916) who established north-west England as the type area for the British Dinantian Subsystem, and following this, an important zonation scheme based on the distribution of goniatites was developed for Lower Carboniferous successions outcropping in basinal areas (Hind, 1918; Bisat, 1924).

Later, these early biostratigraphical schemes were replaced by chronostratigraphical ones (George *et al.*, 1976; Ramsbottom *et al.*, 1978). This resulted in the subdivision of the British Lower Carboniferous Subsystem (as defined in

this volume) into eight regional stages, six of which are currently defined at basal boundary stratotype sections in Britain (Ramsbottom, 1981). Although Riley (1993) has drawn attention to significant flaws in the choice of some of these stratotype sections, the development of a chronostratigraphical framework has undoubtedly provided a better basis for the correlation of the British Lower Carboniferous Subsystem.

Later, following a surge of interest from the oil industry, Lower Carboniferous successions became the focus for a great deal of sedimentological research and a diverse range of depositional systems were soon demonstrated at many sites across Britain. Particular sedimentological highlights include the recognition of platform limestones with carbonate sand shoals, exposure surfaces and pedogenic fabrics; tidal-flat deposits with evaporites and microbial stromatolites; deep-water carbonate buildups and basinal debris deposits; fossiliferous, shallow-water, reef limestones; fluvio-deltaic sand bodies; and some of the finest examples of Lower Carboniferous hemipelagic and cyclic carbonate ramp sequences in the world. Seen in this context the sites documented in this

Introduction to British Lower Carboniferous stratigraphy

volume are of vital importance to continuing research work on the Lower Carboniferous sequence and to the conservation of a significant part of Britain's Earth science heritage.

SCOPE OF THIS VOLUME

This volume documents the importance of Lower Carboniferous stratigraphical sites throughout Great Britain (i.e. England, Scotland and Wales, but excluding the Isle of Man and Northern Ireland). Together they constitute the 'network' of GCR sites (see Ellis *et al.*, 1996) for this part of the stratigraphical column, and have been (or will be) used to define geological Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs).

The concept of the Lower Carboniferous sequence used here derives principally from the IUGS Subcommittee on Carboniferous Stratigraphy's decision to define a Mid-Carboniferous boundary and GSSP at the first entry of the conodont *Declinognathodus noduliferus*, which in Britain appears at a position just above the base of the Chokierian Stage of the European classification (see Lane *et al.*, 1985, 1994; Lane, 1995; Metcalfe, 1997). For convenience, and following the decision made for the Upper Carboniferous GCR volume by Cleal and Thomas (1996), the upper limit of the Lower Carboniferous Subsystem in this volume is drawn at the level of the Arnsbergian–Chokierian stage boundary, a horizon which, in Britain, is defined at the internationally recognized stratotype section at Gill Beck (Stonehead Beck), near Cowling, North Yorkshire (Ramsbottom, 1981; Riley, 1987; Riley *et al.*, 1987; Cleal and Thomas, 1996). The Lower Carboniferous sequence in this account therefore includes the lowest two stages of the Namurian Series (i.e. the Pendleian and the Arnsbergian); stages that were formerly regarded as part of the Upper Carboniferous Subsystem. While a Mid-Carboniferous boundary at this level is in keeping with current international opinion, its use in subdividing the Carboniferous System in Britain has yet to receive widespread acceptance (see Guion *et al.*, 2000). Furthermore, its adoption by the JNCC for the publication of its GCR Series has meant that site descriptions for the Namurian GCR 'block' are now split between its two Carboniferous volumes (i.e. the present volume and the Upper Carboniferous GCR volume of Cleal and Thomas, 1996).

In this account, the base of the Lower Carboniferous Subsystem has been taken at the base of the Courceyan Stage (George *et al.*, 1976). Although the base of the Courceyan Stage was originally positioned at a level that most closely corresponded to the base of the Carboniferous System according to the Heerlen Classification (Jongmans, 1928; Jongmans and Gothan, 1937), this definition has now been superseded. The base of the Carboniferous System is currently defined by a GSSP at La Serre, in the Montagne Noire, southern France (Paproth and Streel, 1984; Paproth *et al.*, 1991) and characterized by the first appearance of the conodont *Siphonodella sulcata*.

RELEVANT LITERATURE

In this volume, detailed accounts of the literature relevant to the areas described are given at the beginning of each chapter, thus only a brief review of some of the more useful general accounts and 'keynote' contributions on British Lower Carboniferous stratigraphy is presented here. Literature of specific relevance to individual sites is referred to in the 'Introduction' to each site report.

Two of the most important contributions on British Carboniferous stratigraphy are the 'Special Reports' of the Geological Society of London on the Dinantian sequence (George *et al.*, 1976) and the Silesian sequence (Ramsbottom *et al.*, 1978). Together these provided the first formal chronostratigraphical classification of the British Carboniferous System – the necessary framework for establishing comprehensive regional correlations of Carboniferous successions throughout Britain and Ireland. Another particularly significant contribution is the review of British Dinantian stratigraphy by Riley (1993). Regional syntheses covering other aspects of the British Lower Carboniferous succession – including recent developments, tectonics, basin evolution, sedimentation, palaeoenvironments, palaeocommunities and hydrocarbon habitat – are by Leeder (1988), Fraser and Gawthorpe (1990), Fraser *et al.* (1990) and Besly (1998), or are contained in the compilation volumes of McKerrow (1978), Miller *et al.* (1987), Besly and Kelling (1988), Arthurton *et al.* (1989) and Strogon *et al.* (1996). More general accounts of the British Carboniferous System are those by

Cope *et al.* (1992), Leeder (1992) and Guion *et al.* (2000).

STRUCTURAL SETTING

The major features controlling deposition in Britain during Early Carboniferous times owe much to structures inherited from the Caledonian Orogeny. Closure of the Iapetus Ocean had led to the development of a suture running ENE–WSW across northern England, with differing Lower Palaeozoic geological histories on either side. The Caledonian structure of England and Wales was strongly influenced by a 'Midlands Microcraton' in central England. To the east of this, Caledonian structures trend north-west–south-east, but to the west and north the trend is north-east–south-west. A major feature of the palaeogeography of Britain during Early Carboniferous times is the land area of the Wales–Brabant Massif, formerly known as 'St George's Land', which is, at least in part, a remnant of this Midlands Microcraton (Figure 1.3).

Major collision was taking place through Central Europe during Early Carboniferous times as a result of closure of the Rheic Ocean, but to the north of this, in Britain, extensional tectonics prevailed. An overall north–south stretching is envisaged by most workers (e.g. Leeder, 1988), although east–west tension has also been suggested (e.g. Haszeldine, 1984). In England and Wales north of the Wales–Brabant Massif, the combination of (probable) north–south extension combined with the inherited Caledonian structures led to the development of asymmetric graben with relative subsidence partly controlled by the position of low-density granite plutons. Rifting was pulsed, with particularly active episodes in Chadian–early Arundian and in mid–late Asbian times (Fraser and Gawthorpe, 1990). Significant rifting also took place during Courceyan times (Chadwick *et al.*, 1995).

Areas undergoing slow subsidence and thus accommodating a relatively thin Lower Carboniferous succession have been variously called 'blocks', 'highs', 'horsts', 'shelves' or 'platforms', and the areas undergoing more rapid subsidence as 'basins', 'troughs', 'gulfs' or 'lows'. This has led to some confusion in nomenclature, particularly as boundaries are not always fault-controlled, but may be transitional

down ramps developed on asymmetric graben. Despite attempts to rationalize nomenclature (e.g. Grayson and Oldham, 1987) much variation in usage remains. The terminology used in this volume follows, wherever possible, that which appears to be in most common use (Figure 1.3).

South of the Wales–Brabant Massif, the Culm Trough and the shelf area bordering it are remnants of a back-arc basin relating to northwards subduction and closure of the Rheic Ocean. In Scotland, between the Grampian Mountains to the north and the Southern Uplands to the south, a major rift, the Midland Valley, formed, controlled by movements on inherited Caledonian faults (Figure 1.3).

In late Dinantian times, uplift of source areas led to southwards progradation of a giant clastic delta complex which rapidly filled the basinal areas. At this time, active rifting largely ceased and subsidence in Namurian and Westphalian times was regional and thermally driven (Leeder and McMahon, 1988).

The final closure of the Rheic Ocean led to the Variscan or Hercynian Orogeny during late Westphalian and Permian times. South of the Wales–Brabant Massif, compression resulted in thrust faulting and nappe emplacement (Isaac *et al.*, 1982). In northern Britain, inversion of Lower Carboniferous half-graben and subsequent erosion led to the development of a Permian–Carboniferous angular unconformity (Corfield *et al.*, 1996).

STRATIGRAPHY

The framework for the consideration of Lower Carboniferous successions in this volume has been based on the three most commonly used branches of stratigraphical practice: lithostratigraphy – concerned with the subdivision and correlation of rock successions according to their lithological character; biostratigraphy – concerned with the subdivision and correlation of rock successions based on the distribution of fossils; and chronostratigraphy – the true time/rock stratigraphy that attempts to establish the chronology and correlation of rock successions around the world against a globally accepted timescale based on isochronous (time equivalent) units, the boundaries between which are defined at internationally agreed stratotype sections and points.

Introduction to British Lower Carboniferous stratigraphy



◀**Figure 1.3** The main structural elements controlling the palaeogeography of Britain during Early Carboniferous times. Note the distribution of the Tweed Basin, Solway Basin and Northumberland Basin which together constitute the Northumberland Trough area as considered in Chapter 3 of this volume. Based, in part, on Johnson (1984), Gawthorpe *et al.* (1989), Ebdon *et al.* (1990), Fraser and Gawthorpe (1990), Armstrong and Purnell (1993) and Corfield *et al.* (1996).

The chronostratigraphical scheme for the Lower Carboniferous succession derives from the Heerlen Classification of the Carboniferous System, the essential elements of which are illustrated in Figure 1.2. The development of this scheme is outlined by Wagner (1989) and Wagner and Winkler Prins (1991, 1994). The Lower Carboniferous sequence is divided into eight regional stages, six in the Dinantian Subsystem (Courceyan, Chadian, Arundian, Holkerian, Asbian and Brigantian – see George *et al.*, 1976) and two in the Silesian Subsystem (Pendleian and Arnsbergian – see Hudson and Cotton, 1943; Ramsbottom, 1969; Ramsbottom *et al.*, 1978). Despite assurances to the contrary (George, 1978a), the establishment of these stages does appear to have been based upon the earlier recognition of eustatically controlled cycles (mesothems) in Lower Carboniferous successions by Ramsbottom (1973, 1977a) (see Riley, 1993). The majority of stages are defined at stratotype sections, either in northern England, South Wales or southern Ireland (see George *et al.*, 1976; Ramsbottom, 1981), but a stratotype section for the Arnsbergian Stage has yet to be established. Furthermore, although the status of the British Dinantian stages and their stratotypes has been questioned by Riley (1993, 1995), most notably for the Chadian Stage but also for the Holkerian and Brigantian stages, appropriate replacement sections have yet to be formally recognized.

Since Vaughan (1905) and Garwood (1913) established the first coral–brachiopod zonation schemes for the British Lower Carboniferous sequence, a proliferation of biostratigraphical schemes for the Lower Carboniferous successions of north-west Europe has evolved based principally on the distribution of miospores (Sullivan, 1964a,b, 1968; Butterworth and Spinner, 1967; Hibbert and Lacey, 1969;

Llewellyn *et al.*, 1969; Mortimer *et al.*, 1970; Johnson and Marshall, 1971; Marshall and Williams, 1971; Neves *et al.*, 1972, 1973; Neves and Ioannides, 1974; Clayton *et al.*, 1977, 1978; Welsh, 1980; Welsh and Owens, 1983; Higgs, 1984; Higgs and Clayton, 1984; Clayton, 1985; Higgs *et al.*, 1988a,b; O’Liatháin, 1993), conodonts (Higgins, 1961, 1975, 1985; Matthews, 1961, 1969; Varker, 1968; Rhodes *et al.*, 1969; Morris, 1970a; Butler, 1973; Matthews and Thomas, 1974; Groessens, 1975; Metcalfe, 1976, 1980, 1981; Varker and Higgins, 1979; Stewart, 1981; Higgins and Varker, 1982; Belka, 1985; Varker and Sevastopulo, 1985; von Bitter *et al.*, 1986; Armstrong and Purnell, 1987; Austin, 1987; Purnell, 1989, 1992; Strogon *et al.*, 1990; Conil *et al.*, 1991; Stone, 1991), foraminifera (Cummings, 1961; Fewtrell and Smith, 1978; Conil *et al.*, 1980, 1981; Fewtrell *et al.*, 1981a; Strank, 1981, 1982a,b, 1983, 1985, 1986; Athersuch and Strank, 1989; Riley in Davies *et al.*, 1989; Riley, 1990a, 1995; White, 1992) and ammonoids (Bisat, 1924, 1928, 1934, 1952, 1955, 1957; Moore, 1930, 1936, 1939, 1941, 1946, 1950, 1952, 1958; Moore and Hodson, 1958; and see Butcher and Hodson, 1960; Ramsbottom in Earp *et al.*, 1961; Matthews, 1970; Ramsbottom and Saunders, 1985; Riley, 1985, 1990b, 1991, 1996; Korn, 1986, 1988; Kullman *et al.*, 1991; Brandon *et al.*, 1995, 1998).

Additional work by Hill (1938–1941), Mitchell and Green (1965), Nudds (1975, 1977, 1980, 1981, 1993, 1999), Ramsbottom and Mitchell (1980), Sutherland and Mitchell (1980), Mitchell (1981, 1989), Somerville and Strank (1984a,b), Somerville and Jones (1985), Mitchell *et al.* (1986), Somerville *et al.* (1986, 1989) Nudds and Somerville (1987), Sevastopulo and Nudds (1987) and Mitchell and Somerville (1988) has led to significant improvements in our understanding of the distribution of coral taxa and to the refinement of the Vaughanian coral–brachiopod scheme.

Biostratigraphical schemes for selected parts of the Lower Carboniferous sequence based on the distribution of trilobites (Riley, 1982a) and ostracodes (Robinson, 1978; Gooday, 1983) have also been proposed. Additional information on the distribution of Lower Carboniferous trilobite taxa is presented by Osmólska (1970), Miller (1973), Tilsley (1977, 1988), Owens

(1984, 1986), Riley (1984, 1990a) and Owens and Tilsley (1995).

Recent developments in stratigraphical practice have witnessed the introduction of seismic sequence stratigraphy as a method of evaluating successions, and a seismic sequence stratigraphical scheme for the Carboniferous System has been proposed by Ebdon *et al.* (1990), Fraser and Gawthorpe (1990) and Fraser *et al.* (1990). Sequences for the Dinantian Subsystem were originally based on seismic evidence from the East Midlands, and Ebdon *et al.* (1990) considered that their scheme might form the basis of a chronostratigraphical division of the Lower Carboniferous sequence. However, Riley (1993) showed that seismic stratigraphy is unable to provide sufficient stratigraphical resolution and is inferior to biostratigraphical techniques in recognizing some sequence boundaries.

For further information on the development and application of these stratigraphical schemes the reader is referred to the detailed and comprehensive review paper on British Dinantian stratigraphy by Riley (1993), in which a table (fig. 1) of the most commonly used biostratigraphical zonation schemes is presented alongside the currently accepted chronostratigraphical framework for the Dinantian Subsystem. An updated and extended version of this figure, incorporating biozones from the Pendleian and Arnsbergian stages (in keeping with the concept of the Lower Carboniferous Subsystem used in this volume – see 'Scope of this volume', this chapter) is presented in Figure 1.4. It is to this figure that the reader is referred when cross-referencing between chronostratigraphical stage names and biostratigraphical zonal subdivisions mentioned in the text. A correlation chart illustrating the varied lithostratigraphy of each palaeogeographical area considered in this volume is presented towards the beginning of each chapter. Note that in each of these charts, the illustrated stratigraphy shows only representative sections of the strata present in each of the areas shown and that the majority of those stratigraphical units recorded are, unless otherwise stated, of formation status.

For further information relating to the geochronometric (radiometric) age data presented in Figure 1.4, the reader is referred to the recently published review paper by Menning *et al.* (2001).

RATIONALE FOR GCR SITE SELECTION

The Lower Carboniferous GCR sites documented in this volume have been selected in general accordance with the principles of the Geological Conservation Review as outlined by Ellis *et al.* (1996). They therefore include: sites of importance to the international community of Earth scientists (e.g. time interval/boundary stratotypes, type localities for a variety of other geological phenomena, such as rocks and fossils, and historically important sites where, for example, particular geological theories were first established); sites containing exceptional features (e.g. a unique fossil assemblage, reef, deep-water carbonate buildup, palaeokarst, sedimentary structure); and sites of national significance that are representative of a particular geological process, event or feature regarded as fundamental to the understanding of Britain's Earth history (e.g. glacio-eustatic sea-level changes, depositional events, tectonic episodes). An additional and important factor in the site selection process was to choose the minimum number of sites that adequately represent the chronostratigraphical and sedimentary evolution of those clearly defined palaeogeographical

Figure 1.4▶ Chronostratigraphical and biostratigraphical classification schemes for the Lower Carboniferous Subsystem. After Riley (1993, fig. 1) with additional information for the Pendleian and Arnsbergian stages supplied by the same author. Absolute age data from Guion *et al.* (2000) based mainly on information by Lippolt *et al.* (1984), Hess and Lippolt (1986), Leeder and McMahon (1988) and Claué-Long *et al.* (1995). Ammonoid abbreviations used in this figure: *N.* – *Nuculoceras*; *Ct.* – *Cravenoceratoides*; *E.* – *Eumorphoceras*; *C.* – *Cravenoceras*; *T.* – *Tumulites*; *Lyrog.* – *Lyrogoniatites*; *Neoglyph.* – *Neoglyphioceras*; *Lusit.* – *Lusitanoceras*; *Parag.* – *Paraglyphioceras*; *Arnsb.* – *Arnsbergites*; *G.* – *Goniatites*; *B.* – *Bollandoceras*. Conodont abbreviations used: *Gn.* – *Gnathodus*; *Gn. collinsoni* – *Gnathodus girtyi collinsoni*; *L. mono.* – *Lochriea mononodosa*; *L.* – *Lochriea*; *hom.* – *Gnathodus homopunctatus*; *prae.* – *Mestognathus praebeckmanni*; *anch.* – *Scaliognathus anchoralis*; *bis.* – *Polygnathus bischoffi*; *bur.* – *Eotaphrus burlingtonensis*; *lat.* – *Doliognathus latus*; *bouc.* – *Dollymae. bouckaerti*; *bul.* – *Eotaphrus bultyncki*; *bas.* – *Dollymae bassi*; *Siph.* – *Siphonodella*; *Ps.* – *Pseudopolygnathus*; *in.* – *Polygnathus inornatus*; *spic.* – *Polygnathus spicatus*. Stipple ornament shows interzones (conodonts and miospores) or non-sequences (brachiopods).

Rationale for GCR site selection

Age (Ma)	Series	Stages	Conodonts	Miospores	Ammonoids	Foraminifera	Coral/brachiopod				Macroflora	Generalized eustatic curve (3rd order)
							Mitchell (1981, 1989)	Vaughan (1905)	Garwood (1913)	Hill (1938-1941)		Low High
327	Namurian (part)	Arnsbergian	<i>Gnathodus bilineatus bollandensis</i>	SO (part)	<i>N. nuculum</i> <i>N. stellarum</i> <i>Ct. nititoides</i> <i>Ct. nitidus</i> <i>Ct. edalensis</i> <i>E. yatesae</i> <i>C. gressinghamense</i> <i>E. ferrimontanum</i> <i>C. cowlingsense</i>	<i>Eosigmoilina</i> Cf7				4	<i>Lyginopteris larischi</i> <i>Lyginopteris bermudensisformis</i> / <i>Lyginopteris stangen</i>	
341	Viséan	Pendleian	<i>Kladognathus</i> - <i>Gn. girtyi simplex</i>	NC	<i>C. malhamense</i> <i>T. pseudobilinguis</i> <i>C. brandoni</i> <i>C. leion</i> <i>Lyrog. georgiensis</i> <i>Neoglyph. subcirculare</i> <i>Lusit. granosus</i> <i>Parag. koboldi</i> <i>Parag. elegans</i> <i>Arnsb. falcatus</i> <i>G. crenistria</i> <i>G. globostriatus</i> <i>G. hudsoni</i>	<i>Neurchaedis</i> Cf6	K	Horizon E	D ₇	3	<i>Lyginopteris bermudensisformis</i> / <i>Neuropteris antedecens</i>	
354	Tournaisian (part)	Brigantian	<i>Gn. collinsoni</i> <i>L. mono.</i>	VF	<i>Beyrichoceras</i> <i>B. hodderense</i> <i>Bollandites</i> - <i>Bollandoceras</i> BB	<i>Koskinotextularia</i> <i>Pojarkovella nibelis</i> Cf5	δ	J	D ₂	D ₂	2	
354	Tournaisian (part)	Asbian	<i>Gn. bilineatus</i>	NM	<i>G. globostriatus</i> <i>G. hudsoni</i>	<i>Neurchaedis</i> Cf6	γ	G	D ₁	D ₁	1	
354	Tournaisian (part)	Holkerman	<i>L. commutata</i>	TC	<i>B. hodderense</i> <i>Bollandites</i> - <i>Bollandoceras</i> BB	<i>Koskinotextularia</i> <i>Pojarkovella nibelis</i> Cf5	α-β	F	D ₁	D ₁	1	
354	Tournaisian (part)	Arundian	<i>L. commutata</i>	TS	<i>B. hodderense</i> <i>Bollandites</i> - <i>Bollandoceras</i> BB	<i>Koskinotextularia</i> <i>Pojarkovella nibelis</i> Cf5	δ	D	S ₂ (part)	S ₂	1	
354	Tournaisian (part)	Chadian	<i>Polygnathus mehl</i>	Pu	<i>Fascipericyclus</i> - <i>Ammonellipsites</i> FA	<i>Eoparastaffella</i> Cf4	α2	A	C ₂ (part)	C ₂ (part)	1	
354	Tournaisian (part)	Courcayan	<i>Polygnathus mehl</i>	CM	<i>Pericyclus</i>	<i>Tetrastaxis-Eotextularia</i> Cf3	α1	<i>S. cylindrica</i> <i>C. patulum</i>	Z	Z ?	1	
354	Tournaisian (part)	Chadian	<i>Polygnathus mehl</i>	PC	<i>Gattendorfia</i>	<i>Chernysheina</i> Cf1	V. vetus	K	M	1		

Introduction to British Lower Carboniferous stratigraphy

areas (sedimentary basins) recognized in the British Lower Carboniferous Subsystem. It is this palaeogeographical framework that provides the structure to this volume. To understand more about how these site selection criteria influenced the choice of sites in each area the reader is referred to the 'GCR site coverage' section in each of the 'introductions' to the chapters that follow.

The Lower Carboniferous GCR sites were assessed and selected after widespread consultation with subject specialists and after consideration of a list of existing and potential Lower Carboniferous SSSIs compiled by the former Nature Conservancy Council in the late 1970s and the 1980s. The results of this initial review were consolidated by M.A. Whyte (Dinantian of Scotland), P.J. Cossey (Dinantian of northern England and North Wales), M.J. Weedon and V.P. Wright (Dinantian of southern England and South Wales), M.J. Whiteley (Dinantian of Devon and Cornwall) and D. Owen-Roberts (Pendleian and Arnsbergian stages of the Namurian Series).

The documentation and justification relating to the selected sites recorded in this volume has therefore been compiled retrospectively and this has caused some problems. For example, some sites may have deteriorated and features originally used to justify their selection may not be visible at present. In such cases, provided those original features of interest still exist (i.e. the site has not been totally lost) and the site is deemed conservable, the original justification for selection still holds and the site will have been retained. Inevitably, however, there has been some change to the Lower Carboniferous GCR site network as information from new sites of national and/or international significance has come to light, the details of which are documented in the pages that follow.

In this volume, the GCR sites are divided between nine chapters arranged palaeogeographically from north to south. The GCR blocks that are represented are organized into these chapters as follows:

- Dinantian of Scotland – Chapter 2 (Midland Valley Basin);
- Dinantian of northern England and North Wales – Chapter 3 (Northumberland Trough), Chapter 4 (Lake District Block and Alston Block), Chapter 5 (Stainmore Basin and

Askrigg Block), Chapter 6 (Craven Basin), Chapter 7 (Derbyshire Platform, North Staffordshire Basin and the Hathern Shelf) and Chapter 8 (North Wales Shelf);

- Dinantian of southern England and South Wales – Chapter 9 (South Wales–Mendip Shelf);
- Dinantian of Devon and Cornwall – Chapter 10 (Culm Trough);
- Namurian (lower part, i.e. Pendleian and Arnsbergian stages only) – spread between all chapters.

Invertebrate fossils in the GCR

N.V. Ellis

Although the relatively common invertebrate fossils do not have a separate selection category in the GCR in their own right, the scientific importance of many stratigraphy sites lies in their fossil content. Invertebrate fossils are important in stratigraphy because they help to characterize stratal units. In practice, stratigraphy is at its most secure where adequate fossils are found. One of the main tasks of stratigraphers is to determine the relative ages of strata and to compare or correlate them with strata of the same age elsewhere. Fossils have long provided one of the most reliable and accurate means of approaching these problems.

Therefore, some 'stratigraphy' GCR sites are selected specifically for their faunal content, which facilitates stratal correlation and enables the interpretation of the environments in which the animals lived. Other 'stratigraphy' GCR sites are of crucial importance palaeontologically and palaeobiologically, because they yield significant assemblages of invertebrates that provide evidence for past ecosystems and the evolution of life. Moreover, some sites have international significance because they have yielded fossils that are the 'type' material for a species.

In contrast to the manner in which most invertebrate fossils are represented in the GCR, fossils of vertebrates (Benton and Spencer, 1995; Dineley and Metcalf, 1999; Benton *et al.*, in prep.), arthropods (except trilobites) (Palmer *et al.*, in prep.) and terrestrial plants (Cleal *et al.*, 2001) do have their own dedicated selection categories, owing to the relative rarity of the fossil material.